

CALLS IN INSPECTOR Relations Between the State Board of Health and Twin Cities Severed

BRACKEN TAKES LEAD Says Inspection of Dairy Cattle is a Farce, and Refuses to Have Anything More to Do With It.

Disatisfied with the methods followed by the local health department of the Twin Cities in the inspection of dairy cattle and condemnation of animals found to be suffering with tuberculosis, and unable to reach a satisfactory agreement as to work in that line to be done in the future, the state department of health has determined to withdraw from all further participation and to call in its special cattle inspector, W. J. Pomplun, from the field. This, in short, was the result of a conference held yesterday morning between representative of the state board of health and the St. Paul and Minneapolis health departments.

From conversation between Dr. Bracken and the Globe yesterday afternoon it was gathered that while this action may have been precipitated by the recent communications and published interviews which have passed between the Minneapolis health office and the state department, the cause of dissatisfaction on the part of the state officers has existed for some time past and previous efforts at a satisfactory understanding have been attempted. In part the Dr. Bracken said:

"The present method is incomplete and statistics show conclusively that it is ineffective, for in the past three years tuberculosis among cattle, instead of decreasing as it should, has steadily increased. When a certain number of dairyman's cattle are found to be tuberculous and condemned, he must replace them with other animals. He goes into the open market and buys as many cows as he has been condemned, and those animals which have in all probability, never been tested, are taken into his herd and allowed to remain there for perhaps a year, until the herd is again tested by an inspector.

Cities Would Not Help. "We have for several years employed Mr. Pomplun as special inspector, and the only reason for keeping him has been to assist the local inspectors and make their work easy in the districts beyond their own jurisdiction. It was at first agreed that the state should pay one-half of his salary and each of the cities one-quarter, but the cities did not see their way clear to carry out this agreement. My relations with both Dr. Ohage and Dr. Hall have been entirely pleasant and I hope they will remain so."

Dr. Bracken Has Proposition. Dr. Bracken, on behalf of the state board, presented the following proposition:

"While we endorse the tuberculin test as a means of detecting the presence of bovine tuberculosis, we do not consider the present methods employed in St. Paul and Minneapolis, in the testing of cattle, the condemnation of those which react to tuberculin test, the removal of the condemned animals from the herd, the purchase of new animals to take the place of those condemned—such purchases not having been submitted to the tuberculin test—entirely satisfactory for dairy purposes, of such untested animals for an indefinite period, is tending to secure a non-tuberculous milk supply. We therefore suggest one of the following propositions:

"1. That the compulsory testing of dairy herds be continued only on condition that some means are provided by which dairymen can replenish their herds with tuberculin-tested cattle.

"2. That attention be given to the disinfection of dairy barns from which condemned animals have been removed.

"3. That proper attention be given to the ventilation and general condition of dairy barns, and the places in which milk is stored.

"4. That sufficient inspection be made of these places to secure a permanent improvement of dairy barns and milk supplies.

"5. That if the above plan is not feasible, the testing of dairy herds with tuberculin be discontinued until such time as it can be adopted, recognizing as a reason for the discontinuance of such testing the fact that the present method is unsatisfactory and not yielding the results claimed for it."

Dr. Ohage Not Present. The various phases of the question were discussed at some length, but as Dr. Ohage was not present, the negotiations were chiefly between Dr. Bracken and Dr. Hall, of Minneapolis.

Dr. Bracken finally stated that unless the city departments were willing to suggest the terms of provision No. 3 of his proposition, the state board would entirely withdraw from the work of inspecting cattle and depend upon the state inspector. Dr. Hall said that would be entirely satisfactory to him, and the matter so remains.

St. Paul Will Keep On. The representatives of the St. Paul department, in the absence of Dr. Ohage, were unwilling to take any action upon the proposition. Dr. Ohage announced last night that the question at issue was not bothering him. "We shut keep on as we always have," he said, "because no state sees it to quit that is no reason why my department should relax its vigilance."

THE ANDREW GREGORY CO., Broadway and 7th. Closed Today On account of Grocers' Picnic. Plenty of everything for everybody at School's prices.

HOME IS DEDICATED Order of Notre Dame Formally Takes Possession of New Convent

AN IMPOSING EDIFICE Archbishop Ireland and a Large Number of Priests in Attendance at the Exercises Yesterday Morning.

With appropriate exercises the new St. Paul home for the sisters of the order of Notre Dame was dedicated yesterday morning. The new convent is situated at 54 Lafont street, and is an imposing structure, containing twenty-two rooms besides a large chapel. Archbishop Ireland conducted the exercises yesterday, assisted by the following priests: Fathers A. Solnce, Funka, Fey, Jung, Gosgrove, Mayer, Rynda, Dolphin and Gores and Dr. P. R. Heffron. Low mass was celebrated in the new chapel, the sisters of the order singing the responses. A sister presided at the organ. With the organ a number of stringed instruments furnished the instrumental music. The archbishop was attended by six knights of honor and by five acolytes. The trustees of the church were present during the ceremonies.

FUND IS INADEQUATE SPRINKLING APPROPRIATION NOT EQUAL TO DEMANDS UPON IT

Archbishop Ireland delivered a brief address to the sisters, after which a luncheon was served for the clergy in the convent. Yesterday afternoon the members of St. Agnes' congregation and all interested in the new edifice visited the chapel. The room has been most attractively fitted up. The parquet floor, the alabaster vases, the pews and numerous other pieces of furniture in the chapel were presented by the sisters of the Order of Notre Dame.

The following persons presented the windows: Bishop Trobec, St. Cloud; Rev. J. M. Solnce, Rev. Stuhlmiller, Rev. Kotz, Heidelberg, Minn.; Rev. Kant, former assistant pastor at St. Agnes, and Architect George Ries. Mr. Ries gave the window that is directly over the altar chapel, and Father Solnce gave the altar window, which was painted by the Munich artist, Walter.

The new convent owes its existence to the energetic efforts of Father J. M. Schade-Smidt, who has been in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

HOME IS DEDICATED Order of Notre Dame Formally Takes Possession of New Convent

AN IMPOSING EDIFICE Archbishop Ireland and a Large Number of Priests in Attendance at the Exercises Yesterday Morning.

With appropriate exercises the new St. Paul home for the sisters of the order of Notre Dame was dedicated yesterday morning. The new convent is situated at 54 Lafont street, and is an imposing structure, containing twenty-two rooms besides a large chapel. Archbishop Ireland conducted the exercises yesterday, assisted by the following priests: Fathers A. Solnce, Funka, Fey, Jung, Gosgrove, Mayer, Rynda, Dolphin and Gores and Dr. P. R. Heffron. Low mass was celebrated in the new chapel, the sisters of the order singing the responses. A sister presided at the organ. With the organ a number of stringed instruments furnished the instrumental music. The archbishop was attended by six knights of honor and by five acolytes. The trustees of the church were present during the ceremonies.

FUND IS INADEQUATE SPRINKLING APPROPRIATION NOT EQUAL TO DEMANDS UPON IT

Archbishop Ireland delivered a brief address to the sisters, after which a luncheon was served for the clergy in the convent. Yesterday afternoon the members of St. Agnes' congregation and all interested in the new edifice visited the chapel. The room has been most attractively fitted up. The parquet floor, the alabaster vases, the pews and numerous other pieces of furniture in the chapel were presented by the sisters of the Order of Notre Dame.

The following persons presented the windows: Bishop Trobec, St. Cloud; Rev. J. M. Solnce, Rev. Stuhlmiller, Rev. Kotz, Heidelberg, Minn.; Rev. Kant, former assistant pastor at St. Agnes, and Architect George Ries. Mr. Ries gave the window that is directly over the altar chapel, and Father Solnce gave the altar window, which was painted by the Munich artist, Walter.

The new convent owes its existence to the energetic efforts of Father J. M. Schade-Smidt, who has been in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

HOME IS DEDICATED Order of Notre Dame Formally Takes Possession of New Convent

AN IMPOSING EDIFICE Archbishop Ireland and a Large Number of Priests in Attendance at the Exercises Yesterday Morning.

With appropriate exercises the new St. Paul home for the sisters of the order of Notre Dame was dedicated yesterday morning. The new convent is situated at 54 Lafont street, and is an imposing structure, containing twenty-two rooms besides a large chapel. Archbishop Ireland conducted the exercises yesterday, assisted by the following priests: Fathers A. Solnce, Funka, Fey, Jung, Gosgrove, Mayer, Rynda, Dolphin and Gores and Dr. P. R. Heffron. Low mass was celebrated in the new chapel, the sisters of the order singing the responses. A sister presided at the organ. With the organ a number of stringed instruments furnished the instrumental music. The archbishop was attended by six knights of honor and by five acolytes. The trustees of the church were present during the ceremonies.

FUND IS INADEQUATE SPRINKLING APPROPRIATION NOT EQUAL TO DEMANDS UPON IT

Archbishop Ireland delivered a brief address to the sisters, after which a luncheon was served for the clergy in the convent. Yesterday afternoon the members of St. Agnes' congregation and all interested in the new edifice visited the chapel. The room has been most attractively fitted up. The parquet floor, the alabaster vases, the pews and numerous other pieces of furniture in the chapel were presented by the sisters of the Order of Notre Dame.

The following persons presented the windows: Bishop Trobec, St. Cloud; Rev. J. M. Solnce, Rev. Stuhlmiller, Rev. Kotz, Heidelberg, Minn.; Rev. Kant, former assistant pastor at St. Agnes, and Architect George Ries. Mr. Ries gave the window that is directly over the altar chapel, and Father Solnce gave the altar window, which was painted by the Munich artist, Walter.

The new convent owes its existence to the energetic efforts of Father J. M. Schade-Smidt, who has been in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

HOME IS DEDICATED Order of Notre Dame Formally Takes Possession of New Convent

AN IMPOSING EDIFICE Archbishop Ireland and a Large Number of Priests in Attendance at the Exercises Yesterday Morning.

With appropriate exercises the new St. Paul home for the sisters of the order of Notre Dame was dedicated yesterday morning. The new convent is situated at 54 Lafont street, and is an imposing structure, containing twenty-two rooms besides a large chapel. Archbishop Ireland conducted the exercises yesterday, assisted by the following priests: Fathers A. Solnce, Funka, Fey, Jung, Gosgrove, Mayer, Rynda, Dolphin and Gores and Dr. P. R. Heffron. Low mass was celebrated in the new chapel, the sisters of the order singing the responses. A sister presided at the organ. With the organ a number of stringed instruments furnished the instrumental music. The archbishop was attended by six knights of honor and by five acolytes. The trustees of the church were present during the ceremonies.

FUND IS INADEQUATE SPRINKLING APPROPRIATION NOT EQUAL TO DEMANDS UPON IT

Archbishop Ireland delivered a brief address to the sisters, after which a luncheon was served for the clergy in the convent. Yesterday afternoon the members of St. Agnes' congregation and all interested in the new edifice visited the chapel. The room has been most attractively fitted up. The parquet floor, the alabaster vases, the pews and numerous other pieces of furniture in the chapel were presented by the sisters of the Order of Notre Dame.

The following persons presented the windows: Bishop Trobec, St. Cloud; Rev. J. M. Solnce, Rev. Stuhlmiller, Rev. Kotz, Heidelberg, Minn.; Rev. Kant, former assistant pastor at St. Agnes, and Architect George Ries. Mr. Ries gave the window that is directly over the altar chapel, and Father Solnce gave the altar window, which was painted by the Munich artist, Walter.

The new convent owes its existence to the energetic efforts of Father J. M. Schade-Smidt, who has been in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

OWENS' SECOND TRIAL STARTS. Defense, It Is Alleged, Will Impeach the Evidence of Keefe.

Dwight M. Owens is on trial before Judge Lewis, in the criminal branch of the district court on the second count of forgery. The case was commenced yesterday, but nearly the entire day was consumed in getting a jury. The prosecution outlined its case, and called one witness, Charles E. Hall, bookkeeper for W. L. Keefe, who was Owens' employer. It is expected that the case will differ materially from the other case, in regard to the evidence submitted. The defense, it is alleged, has a number of witnesses that did not appear in the case before, who will go on the stand for the purpose of impeaching the evidence of Keefe, who is the principal witness of the prosecution.

The largest selling brands of Cigars in the world! CUBANOLA 5¢, CREMO 5¢, GEO. W. CHILDS 5¢, JACKSON SQUARE 5¢. One Band from "FLORODORA" Cigars or Two Bands from "CUBANOLA," "CREMO," "GEO. W. CHILDS" or "JACKSON SQUARE" Cigars are of same value as one Tag from "STAR," "HORSE SHOE," "SPEAR HEAD," "STANDARD NAVY" or "J. T." Tobacco.



GROUP OF WISCONSIN EDITORS AND LOCAL ENTERTAINMENT COMMITTEE AT INDIAN MOUNDS

conference committee to correct the defect in some manner. The increase of the fund, which the charter limits to \$40,000, is not permissible, and the only way out of the difficulty, some of the members think, is to have the city purchase a sprinkling plant and do the work. At the last meeting of the committee an effort was made to obtain \$18,000 with which to buy the necessary plant, but the demands for appropriations for other things was so great that it had to be abandoned. Those favoring the plan say that even if a full plant is not bought a partial outfit would give material aid to the contract system which is now in force.

Mayor Smith wants the sprinkling of streets done as of old by assessment. He thinks it the only proper way, and less subject to the annoyances which exist. Peter Van Horn, of the board of public works, says that those who never paid for sprinkling before are getting it now, while those who always paid before are denied it. The area of St. Paul's improvement, he says, is increasing so rapidly that it is impossible to sprinkle them with the money allowed.

Next year, when the sprinkling districts are defined, it is expected that there will be a merry war between the several members of the council in their efforts to see that their respective wards and districts are taken care of. The full extent of the law was never fully known until now, and the scramble for a little more than each is entitled to is expected to be spirited.

DISTRICT COURT. TODAY'S CASES.

Jury Verdicts. Charles Anderson vs. Eastern Minnesota Railway; Otis, J. David M. Moscrop vs. Edward Hecker, et al.; Jaggard, J. Gribben Lumber Co. vs. H. C. Harmon. Jury Verdicts. C. H. Haberkorn vs. S. E. Brace Jr. & Co.; verdict of \$250.91 for plaintiff. Mary E. Seuer vs. Charles Stickney; verdict of \$25.00 for plaintiff. Schadle vs. Smith; disagreement.

CRIMINAL COURT. Today's Cases.

State vs. Owens; charge, forgery; continued from yesterday. Officer Springer Resigns. William Springer, for the past ten years a member of the police department, has handed his resignation to the police board. Springer leaves the department to join his father in contract work. Springer leaves a good record as a police officer.

Solnce, the pastor of St. Agnes' church. The new building which will house the twenty-two sisters who teach in the St. Agnes' parochial school is both roomy and symmetrical. The style of architecture, though plain, is attractive. The building is of pressed brick, and contains twenty-four nuns of the St. Paul convent, six sisters of Notre Dame, are here from Cologne, St. Michaels, Medalla, Wilona, Red Wing, New Trier, Jordan and Shakopee.

BRITAIN'S KING HAWKERS BETWEEN LIFE AND DEATH

Continued From First Page.

In behalf of the king, in his serious illness. A large congregation in St. Paul's cathedral listened this afternoon to the bishop of Exeter, who is one of King Edward's intimate friends. The bishop referred to the king's recent presence in the cathedral at the peace thanksgiving services, and asked his congregation to pray for his majesty, the royal family, the king's physicians and to learn to hope. Then followed a solemn psalm recited in silent prayer. A short service of intercession followed. Many Americans were present at St. Paul's. A service similar to that which there was also held in St. George's chapel by the dean of Windsor.

Postponement is indefinite. A brief notice has been published in the Gazette as a result of which the entire state social and business arrangements throughout the country are paralyzed. The order is dated from the Earl Marshall's office and reads as follows:

I have to announce that the solemnity of the coronation of their majesties, King Edward VII. and Queen Alexandra, is postponed from the 26th to a date hereafter to be determined. The best opinion places the date of the coronation in about three months' time, providing King Edward progresses well in the meantime. In spite of the royal wish that the provincial celebration be carried out as arranged, many towns have abandoned the festivities which were to be held, although at Liverpool and other large cities, hurried meetings were called and it was decided to carry out all the charitable arrangements as previously made up. The leading business houses of London have declined to keep open June 26 and 27, although the banks will probably be closed those days.

Coronation Honors Postponed. Among the hundreds of postponements caused by the sudden illness of the king, is that of the publication of the list of coronation honors. Portsmouth is perhaps the keenest sufferer among all who lose financially as a result of the postponement of the coronation. The harvest Portsmouth expected

to reap from the naval review will now be lost to the city. The bonfires which top the hills of the United Kingdom from John O'Groats to Land's End will not be lighted, they will be kept intact, however in the hope of the celebration being held at a later date.

Queen Bears Up Well. Queen Alexandra has borne the strain of the past week with the bravest spirit. She was always fearful of what might happen. Her nerves were imperially in the festivities at Ascot and elsewhere without revealing any sign of her inward anxiety, and when she was told today that an operation was imperatively required that the coronation would have to be postponed, she quietly answered: "Have I not felt that this would happen?" and asked, "How does the king bear it?"