

FOR THE FAIR SEX

FASHIONS FROM VOGUE

Prepared Specially for THE GLOBE



Although redingote and long coat effects will be much used during the spring, it does not imply that eon and boleros are to be discarded, and for young girls it must be admitted that these latter styles are far more suitable and becoming.

So many variations may be made to boleros and eon coats. By effective trimmings that give a more dressy appearance as a rule—a costume than a long coat and then again they are suitable for both indoor and outdoor wear.

The gown illustrated, for instance, is a model that would look equally well worn in the street or house and for a spring costume this has many advantages.

It is made of reseda green cloth, braided with rows and rows of fine black silk cord, and lined with taffeta.

The skirt is made with a narrow front breadth of the plain cloth overlapped by tapering pieces of cloth that has the corners of the shaped flounce at the bottom, and which are

covered by rows of the black silk cord. This much of the skirt is mounted on the silk foundation and over it falls the full circular slides that are tucked carefully to the foundation down the front and here and there at the bottom. The front points are rounded off and trimmed with black braid ornaments.

The bodice is made with a tight fitting front, stocks and girdle of the braided cloth and a short rounded bolero trimmed with a shaped band of braided cloth with an ornament above.

The sleeves are large leg o' mutton, full and draped from the elbow up and trimmed with a wide flare cuff at the elbow and smaller cuffs at the wrist.

This model would also be pretty made of silk or linen, in which cases, however, some other trimming should be substituted for the braiding.

If it were made of a plain colored silk a two-toned brocade of the same shade would make a charming substitute for the braided portions, and if of linen the trimming might be of an effective embroidery in the same or contrasting color.

WOMEN INTERESTED IN LEGISLATION

Oppose One Bill Pending at Albany and Favor Others

ALBANY, N. Y., Feb. 21.—A score or more of women, representing mothers' clubs and other bodies, appeared before the assembly judiciary committee today in opposition to the Kavanagh bill entitling a husband to a one-third dollar interest in the property of a wife who dies intestate.

Mrs. Lillian Devereux Blake, of New York, representing the New York Legislative league, marshaled the forces of the opposition, and after addressing the committee, presented other women.

Mrs. Blake championed equal legal rights for men and women, declaring that four states had already established equal rights and that in those states marriages are just as happy as those in New York state. "We look to the time when we shall have also equal political rights."

Emilie M. Holloway, a New York attorney, cited President Roosevelt, and said: "We want a square deal; no more, no less."

She advocated the passage of the Wood bill and the Coggeshall bill, providing that where necessary are purchased by the wife she shall be held responsible for their payment.

Won't Answer Mrs. Davis

BOSTON, Mass., Feb. 21.—Gen. Nelson A. Miles, inspector general of the Massachusetts militia, said today that he did not intend to make any response to the letter which Mrs. Jefferson Davis recently made public, in which she charged Gen. Miles with perpetrating cruelties as guardian of her husband at the close of the civil war. Gen. Miles said: "The letter is so offensive in its character as not to call for any reply." With reference to Mrs. Davis' demand that he produce a photographic copy of a letter which Gen. Miles declared he had received from Mrs. Davis, thanking him for his care of Mr. Davis as his prisoner, Gen. Miles said he thought it entirely probable that he would make public such reproduction.

GOSSIP FROM GOTHAM

It has been the boast of Montreal that more rosy-cheeked women can be seen on a winter day there than in any other city on the American continent. But it is doubtful if that will hold good, in proportion to population, if a campaign is made with Cedarhurst, Woodmere and Lawrence, L. I.—this year particularly. And at Hempstead—well, the Canadian roses will have to put on an extra bloom to compete with the ones there, headed by Mrs. Reginald Brooks, Mrs. J. E. Smith Hadden, Mrs. James L. Kernochan and Mrs. Randolph. They are as rosy as fancy can paint, and all because of constant outdoor exercise and outdoor sports, chief of which is the toboggan. There is just enough suggestion of danger about it—although there is no real danger—to brighten the eye and bring the blood tingling to the cheek. It is no disparagement to our cousins across the border to say they cannot produce any prettier girls or any pluckier ones.

A fine toboggan slide has been built near the Rockaway Hunt club, and rare sport is enjoyed every night under the glare of electric lights. Every morning water is run down the large slide, and in a few minutes in freezing weather the boards are covered with several inches of ice. Sliding parties have become popular and are followed by dinners and suppers in the Rockaway Hunt club house. Members of the Meadowbrook colony have been

attar of roses. The idea is a new one and is the invention of a Pittsburg genius who got carte blanche to design the most luxurious and artistic bathroom in the United States.

No marriage engagement in recent years, especially among church folk, has attracted such attention as the betrothal of Miss Weddell Whistler, of Baltimore, to the Rev. Dr. Richard Lewis Howell, who is one of the most particular figures in the Episcopal church in the South. The marriage was celebrated in Palm Beach on Feb. 11, but the main point of interest was the great wealth of the bridegroom. Dean Hoffman was accounted the richest clergyman in the Episcopal church, outside of Bishop Potter before the bishop married Mrs. Clark, but Dr. Howell goes ahead of both in his territorial possessions. He is a widower, and it is said his annual income exceeds \$250,000 a year. He is accredited with the ownership of three great apartment houses—the Regent hotel, the Aberdeen and Great Bretton hall, one of the most massive structures of its character in New York, and next to W. E. D. Stokes' Ansonia, the most costly of modern houses in the city. Dr. Howell is one of the most successful real estate operators in New York and has made a signal success in Baltimore, where he has lived since he has here. He was ordained a deacon in 1882 by Bishop Stevens.

Words almost without end have been flung under seas about the plentiful "most popular American in London"—her name is legionary if not legendary—but nothing has come over the cable concerning the Englishwoman who is admired most widely by Uncle Sam's daughters dwelling in the British capital. She was until last month Mrs. Arden Birch; she is Lady Barrington, having been married to the viscount of that name with one of the most elaborate ceremonies ever performed even in Brompton's Holy Trinity church. There were enough countesses present that night to make a good dinner for Queen Alexandra, and as for persons of real distinction they flocked thither from all parts of Mayfair and Belgrave. Perhaps Lady Barrington is beloved by Americans because she shows her liking for them so unmistakably. She did so even when the silly old practice was in full swing a couple of decades ago, when when she was the wife of the brilliant Mr. Birch, but when she was Miss Stopford, daughter of one of the best liked officers in the Royal Engineers. The viscountess was "given away" by her son, and after a reception in Ennismore gardens, she and her new lord left London for the south of France, where they are to come to New York for a brief visit before they return home.

One of New York's loveliest daughters—Mrs. Jay Phipps, the niece of former Mayor William R. Grace, and daughter of the late Andrew Carnegie's one-time partner, Henry Phipps, multi-millionaire—soon will come back for a long stay in the city of her birth. She and her husband have been having a royal time in London since the young man's sister became Mrs. Bradley Marchmont, and now they are visiting Battle Abbey (a farewell to England) and the history of the Jay Phipps' doings in the united kingdom recently would be part a chronicle of British society. They have been winged and dived by Claridge's with Crown Princess Stephanie of Austria, Count Metternich, the ever sparkling Mrs. Hwa Williams, the late Lady of Arundel, and the Countess and popular Harry de Paravicini—which means a good deal to those who know London. Young Mrs. Phipps has been wined and dined. She has hunted lions, tigers and crocodiles with her husband and been all round the world with him on shooting trips. It is said that the young couple will go from Manhattan to the Rockies in search of more big game.

Current Verse

To the Czar
Imperial minion, swollen with a pride
That seeks to heaven; not pride that still
May rear
Aloft an honest brow to face the world,
But pride that builds itself on craven
fear,
Gnawing his visals like a stinging worm,
That opens a deadly way to death more
near;

Who art thou that hast dared to crown
thine
Now the day of brotherly desire,
With power of a God? What gave thee
warrant
To cany strong equal men into the mire
Beneath thy foot, or pour the deadening
slime
Of thyat power upon their sacred fire?

By what divine decree hast thou yet
The long, sad yearning question of thy
race,
Or cast thy fellows, oft more than thy
peers,
Enchained in some dark, vermin-writing
place,
Where Shame sat gaunt by women's
Whist, thou swept on nor slackest thy
—wanton pace.

From what high, universe-dividing power
Drawst thou thy wondrous, ripe brutality?
Is it from Jesus, standing at thy gate,
And murmuring, "Little children, come to
me,"
While babes lie bathed in gore about thy
feet,
With more than seven wounds that gape
at thee?

O horrible . . . Thou God who seest
these things
Help to bring this terror from the earth.
Count, in Thy memory divine, the lives
That cast into this chasm their noble
weight,
And grant to Russia in her dying need
From Thine own hand a radiant new
birth!

—Louise Morgan Hill in Harper's Weekly.

When You Care for a Girl
Gee! ain't it funny the things that you do,
An' gee, ain't it funny the life seems
An' how yer ambition has suddenly grew.
When you care for a girl!
An' then you don't care to be stayin' out
late,
An' your home-goin' always is sober an'
straight,
An' your mind's always thinkin' o' Wed-
nesday night's date,
When you care for a girl!
Never before had you owned a clothes
brush;
No longer you say to the married man,
"Tush!"
An' you find out how easy it is to talk
back,
When you care for a girl!
My! how you hated to carry ashaw! Now you'd lug bundles all day till you fall; You even say "Music," when kids start to bowl!
When you care for a girl!
Flowers were things that you'd never prefer;
Now every rosebud reminds you o' her.
Yes, things as they is ain't like things as they were
When you care for a girl!
—John Edward Hazzard in March Smart Set.

MRS. CHADWICK IS INDICTED FURTHER

Five Ohio Bankers Receive Like Attention From Federal Grand Jury

CLEVELAND, Ohio, Feb. 21.—The federal grand jury today reported two additional indictments against Mrs. Chadwick in connection with her operations through the closed Citizens National bank of Oberlin. A. B. Spear, cashier of the Oberlin bank, was indicted upon the charge of misappropriating \$80,000 of the bank's money on Aug. 24, 1903; also issuing two drafts on the Importers and Traders National bank of New York, on the same date, for \$50,000 and \$30,000, respectively, and making false entries in the bank's books. Mrs. Cassie L. Cradwick, alias C. L. Chadwick, alias Mme. Devere, was indicted for aiding and abetting the said A. B. Spear in all of said offenses.

Another indictment charges Mrs. Chadwick with conspiring with C. T. Beckwith, president, and A. B. Spear, cashier, to commit offenses against the United States. This refers to the bank officials certifying checks drawn by Mrs. Chadwick, when, it is alleged, she had no funds on deposit. There are also two indictments against Mrs. Chadwick in the United States district court, and three in the state courts.

Wooster and Conneaut Men Also
The federal grand jury also returned an indictment against L. P. Ohlinger, president of the Wooster National bank, of Wooster, Ohio. He is charged with embezzlement, abstracting the bank's funds and making false entries. J. Zimmerman, managing director of the same bank, was indicted for aiding and abetting in the same offenses. Ohlinger was also indicted separately for the charge of perjury in having made a false oath to the report of the bank's condition to the comptroller of the currency.

C. M. Traver and O. O. Lillie, president and cashier, respectively, of the First National bank, of Conneaut, Ohio, were jointly indicted on eighteen counts. They are accused of making false entries in the books of the bank and the reports; with misappropriation of the bank's funds; with embezzlement and conspiracy to commit offenses against the United States. Lillie is also separately indicted for making false oath on the report to the comptroller of the currency.

Contravene Idea of Propriety
BERLIN, Feb. 21.—A person in the confidence of Crown Prince Frederick William tells how the story of the breaking off of the prince's engagement with Duchess Cecilia of Mecklenberg-Schwerin originated. When the plan was first proposed for the crown prince to visit Duchess Cecilia in the south of France, Emperor William made the condition she must be accompanied by her mother, which conformed with German ideas of propriety in such cases. Grand Duchess Anastasia was angry because the emperor had not permitted the meeting to take place at Cannes, but finally investigated the cause of labor disturbance is now taking shape. The workmen of large factories have elected representatives to the assemblage, which will choose the labor members of the commission. The outfit company's men have taken a bold step in selecting among their representatives those who were put in jail after the fair of Jan. 22, including Innozenzoff, the chief vice president of Father Gopon's organization.

These are our leaders," say the workmen. "Now see if the government is sincere in saying it will allow us free choice and immunity from arrest."

South America to Have Cardinal
ROME, Feb. 21.—As there are nine vacancies at present in the sacred college, Pope Pius X. has expressed his intention to appoint new cardinals at the next consistory. It has been almost definitely decided to nominate for the first time a South American cardinal in the person of the archbishop of Rio de Janeiro. It is probable that the cardinal will be given to Hungarians and Italians, but none will go to the United States.

Let Gov. White Out
CHARLESTON, W. Va., Feb. 21.—The senate investigating committee adjourned tonight without substantiating any of the charges of malfeasance, etc., made against Gov. White or any other state officer. The charges have been withdrawn.

CASTORIA

For Infants and Children.

The Kind You Have Always Bought Bears the Signature of *Dr. J. C. Ayer & Co.* In Use For Over Thirty Years

900 Drops

Vegetable Preparation for Assimilating the Food and Regulating the Stomach and Bowels of INFANTS, CHILDREN

Promotes Digestion, Cheerfulness and Rest. Contains neither Opium, Morphine nor Mineral. NOT NARCOTIC.

Perfect Remedy for Constipation, Sour Stomach, Diarrhoea, Worms, Convulsions, Fevers, and Loss of Sleep.

Fac-Simile Signature of *Dr. J. C. Ayer & Co.* NEW YORK.

35 Doses—35 CENTS

EXACT COPY OF WRAPPER.

Has Her Father Arrested
CHICAGO, Feb. 21.—Cuthbert W. Laing, a real estate dealer, was arrested today on a warrant issued at the instance of his daughter, Mrs. Irene Laing Malcom, charging the larceny of \$249,842. Laing furnished bonds for \$10,000 and will be arraigned March 2. The arrest is the latest step in litigation which has been in progress between him and his daughter several years. When Mrs. Malcom was six years old her mother died, leaving her a large fortune of which her father was made executor. For many years the daughter knew nothing of the fortune, but after her marriage she made a demand on her father for the money left her and he was unable to produce it, the greater part of it, according to his statement, having been lost in business ventures. Suit against Laing's bondsmen is still pending.

Enter the

Proverb Contest

\$800.00 given as prizes.

See page 2 this morning.

Test Government's Faith
ST. PETERSBURG, Feb. 21.—The joint commission of employers and workmen instituted by the emperor to investigate the cause of labor disturbance is now taking shape. The workmen of large factories have elected representatives to the assemblage, which will choose the labor members of the commission. The outfit company's men have taken a bold step in selecting among their representatives those who were put in jail after the fair of Jan. 22, including Innozenzoff, the chief vice president of Father Gopon's organization.

These are our leaders," say the workmen. "Now see if the government is sincere in saying it will allow us free choice and immunity from arrest."

Begins to Run in Spitters
CHICAGO, Feb. 21.—Rigid enforcement of Chicago's anti-spitting ordinance which renders those who exporate on sidewalks, in public conveyances or in public places liable to arrest and fine, led to more than fifty arrests today in the retail business district. Among those arrested were business men from other cities. Business men, clerks, workmen and messenger boys hurrying along, were halted, placed under arrest and locked in jail. Many were dumfounded at being so quickly taken from work or leisure and confined in a cell. Others expressed indignation. Some of those arrested were let off with small fines, some were discharged without fines and some were released, giving bond for appearance later. Today's arrests were the result of an anti-spitting crusade carried on by Assistant Chief of Police Schuetter.

Geo. W. Turner

Begs to announce that he has a shop devoted exclusively to fine decorations, Wall Papers, Special Furniture, Draperies and Rugs.

AT 61 EAST SIXTH STREET

You are respectfully invited to inspect the shop and its wares at your convenience. Mr. Turner will be pleased to give your commands his careful and personal attention, as in the past, and will gladly estimate on any class of work pertaining to house furnishings. A department is maintained for the renovation and restoration of furniture. Mr. O. Edw. Thulin, the well known artist will devote special attention to painting, frescoes and wall decorations.

UPHOLSTERY STUFFS Comprising the "choicest collection" shown in the Northwest. Fur periods are represented, and from the inexpensive to the highest class of decorative fabrics.

61 EAST SIXTH STREET. Phone—M 531-L2; T. C., 1671.

A FEW WORDS ABOUT WIDOWERS

The Length of Their Mourning and What to Wear Worried One of Them

A man wrote to one of the local papers recently and asked what a widower should wear to a quiet family dinner. Now, that man wrote to the wrong paper; he should have written to this one, for if there is anything upon which this department feels that it can give expert testimony it is upon the dress and conduct of widowers. First this particular bereaved one wants to know how long he should wear mourning. The fact that he asks the question tells a good deal. A year is a long time for a widower to mourn, but if he can hold out a year it looks better and he is more likely to make a hit with the surviving relatives of the dear deceased. A year by all means. But what is mourning for a widower? That is—I fear I do not make myself clear—what does a widower call mourning? Is it wearing a black necktie or is it a certain constant look of the eyes and droop of mouth. After an exhaustive study of widowers I fear this question will have to be left to some wiser—if less sympathetic—head. The next question asked by the grieving one is, what should he wear to dine with a dear friend? Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that dear friend is a widow. He ought to think twice about dining with a dear friend. Well, why dine with a dear friend? Does he really think he should dine with a dear friend while in mourning? Nothing being said as to the dear friend is, great latitude for conjecture is permissible. Suppose that