

NEW JOCKEY CLUB STARTS BIG TANGLE

Horsemen With Entries for Stake Events Are in Trouble

Special to The Globe CHICAGO, Feb. 25.—Several prominent horsemen appear likely to become involved in the Western turf situation as the result of the secession of Louisville and Nashville from the control of the Western Jockey club, unless some amicable arrangement can be made to cover the complications that are bound to appear inevitable. The stake events at Louisville and Nashville were opened while the tracks were under the jurisdiction of the Western Jockey club, and the horsemen entered under the impression that the conditions then prevailing would stand. By accepting the American Turf association's rules and control, the horsemen will, under the rules of the jockey club, be relieved from further obligation to carry out the conditions which they so elect.

A stake entry is an effective contract, and as the owners who desire to remain within the fold of the Western Jockey club will be unable to start their eligibles there is a chance that they may have recourse to the courts on the ground that the associations mentioned are guilty of a breach of contract. The owners who have put their horses into the hands of the American Turf association are in a similar position, and the matter stands at present in a very unsettled state. The American Turf association has a horse entered in a particular stake and legally claims the right to start for such a stake without regard to the governing body to which the track owed allegiance at the time of the refusal to recognize a horse under such circumstances would lead to complications prevailing some years ago when Edward Corrihan started Huron in the Futurity. On that occasion Huron finished second, but was not officially recognized. In the subsequent court proceedings the colt's owner failed to make an effective case and the \$2,000 claimed was not awarded to him. Mr. Corrihan is devising ways and means of conducting the newly organized governing body in a manner which will give approval of all horsemen who elect to cast their fortunes with him and against the Western Jockey club. His idea is to make Francis Trevelyan a traveling steward, with jurisdiction over all tracks recognizing the American Turf association as the governing body in Western racing affairs. His duties are to visit all tracks where the judgment of the officials is questioned. Disagreement will be at once reported to the steward, who will proceed to the track and listen to the evidence. Failing a satisfactory solution the complaining horseman will take the executive committee to appeal to.

Cricket Schedule Issued NEW YORK, Feb. 25.—The Intercollegiate cricket association has arranged its annual series of championship matches, Cambridge, Mass., where Harvard and Haverford will be the opposing teams. The other dates are: May 10, Cornell vs. Harvard at Ithaca, N. Y.; May 20, Haverford vs. Pennsylvania at Philadelphia; May 23, Harvard vs. Pennsylvania at Cambridge; May 29, Pennsylvania vs. Cornell at Haverford, Pa.

NEW YORK, Feb. 25.—Now that the baseball season of 1905 is practically under way, there are many new men on the roster of the different clubs this year, showing that the manager of each team has been busy since the close of last season securing the best player or players that money could buy to strengthen the club. Here they are:

MAKEUP OF BIG LEAGUES FOR THE COMING SEASON

Special to The Globe NEW YORK, Feb. 25.—Now that the baseball season of 1905 is practically under way, there are many new men on the roster of the different clubs this year, showing that the manager of each team has been busy since the close of last season securing the best player or players that money could buy to strengthen the club. Here they are:

AMERICAN LEAGUE

- Chicago: First base—Frank Ibbell. Second base—Charles Sweeney. Short stop—Tammehill. Right field—George Davis. Left field—Eberfeld or Wallace. Center field—Felder Jones, manager-captain. Catchers—W. Sullivan and Ed. McFarland. Pitchers—Roy Patterson, Harry White, F. Owens, Nick Altrock, Frank Smith. Extra outfielders—James. Highlanders: Left field—Vinson. Catchers—McGuire and Klineow. Pitchers—Chester Putnam, Griffith, Howell, Orth, Clarkon. First base—Clay. Second base—Williams or Eberfeld. Third base—Widm or Conroy. Short stop—Eberfeld or Wallace. Left field—Dougherty. Center field—Fultz or Anderson. Right field—Keeler. Cleveland: Pitchers—Barnhard, Joss, Donahue, Moore, Hess and Ridenour. Catchers—Bess, Bucloc, Clarke. First base—Bradley and Carr. Second base—Lajoie, manager and captain. Third base—Bradley. Short stop—Turner. Right field—Flick. Infield—Kahl, Hittility. Center field—Bay. Lash and Johnson will be extra outfielders. Lash, coach of the team, reports June 1. Stovall will be regular first baseman.

NATIONAL LEAGUE

- Giants: Catchers—Bowerman, Bresnahan and Marshall. Pitchers—McGinnity, Mathewson, Taylor, Ames, Wittie and Elliot. First base—Dan McGann. Second base—Elliott. Third base—Arthur Devlin. Short stop—Bill Dahlen. Left field—Mike Donlin. Right field—George Brown. Utility men—Tommy Dunn, Sammy Strang and Graham. Brooklyn: Catchers—Bergen, Ritter, Jacklitch. Pitchers—Jones, Reising, Cronin, Doscher and Scanlon. First base—Dillon or McGarwell. Second base—Jordan or Loudenschlager. Third base—Batch. Short stop—Crawford or Babb. Left field—Sheppard. Center field—Gessler. Right field—Lumley. Utility men—Dobbs, Malay. Chicago: Catchers—John Kling, John O'Neill. Pitchers—Bob Wicker, Jack Weimer, M. Brown, Bur, Briggs, Carl Lundgren and Gorth. First base—Frank Chance, captain. Second base—John Evers. Third base—Batch. Short stop—Joe Mauer. Right field—Jack Barry. Center field—Jack McCarthy. Left field—Jimmie. Extra outfielders—F. Schulte, A. Hoffman, Arthur Pennell. Extra infielder—Otto Williams. St. Louis Cardinals: Pitchers—Nichols, Taylor, McFarland, Kellum, O'Neill, Brown, Thielman, McGinnity, Gigan, Campbell. Catchers—Grady, Warner, Swindels, Zeasler and Butler. First base—Beckley. Second base—Beckley. Third base—Beckley. Short stop—Wagner or McBride. Left field—Clark. Right field—Beasmont. Utility men—Clymer or Howard, most likely the former.

St. Louis Browns

- Pitchers—Glade, Buchanan, Peltz, Sudhoff, Howell, Morgan. Catchers—Weaver, O'Conner, Sugden. First base—Jones. Second base—Fadden or Rockenfield. Third base—Moran or Wallace. Short stop—Smith. Right field—Gleason. Outfielders—Hedrick, Frick, Hines, Kohler and Vanzant. Manager—James McAleer.

Philadelphia

- Pitchers—Henley, Bender, Waddell, Aplegate, Plank and Pinnace. Catchers—Schreckengost, Noonan, Powers and Barton. First base—Davis. Second base—Murphy. Short stop—M. Cross. Right field—Seibold. Center field—Hoffman. Left field—Hartzel. Substitutes—Brace, Lord and O'Brien.

Washington

- Pitchers—Patten, Hughes, Jacobson, Wolfe, Townsend. Catchers—Kittredge, Clarke, Hayden, Knoll. First base—Jake Stahl, manager. Second base—McCormick, Mullen, Will O'Neill. Third base—Hill. Short stop—Cassidy. Outfielders—Tuleman, O'Neill, Knoll, Shaughnessy, Congalton, Jones.

Boston

- Pitchers—Dineen, Young, Gibson, Tannhill and O'Brien. Catchers—Crisler, Farrell and Doran. First base—Lachance, Grimshaw and Shaffer. Second base—Perris. Third base—Collins. Short stop—Burkett or Selbach. Left field—Stahl. Center field—Stahl. Right field—Greenan.

RACING GOES ON MEKREVEY IN SOUTH

Corrihan Appears to Be Stronger in Popular Favor to Date

Special to The Globe NEW ORLEANS, Feb. 25.—Racing wars are not good things for the magnates involved, but the present one raging here is interesting to horsemen and others as it is a turf fight ever before. It was not surprising when the news began to circulate that there was likely to be a compromise. For the past few weeks they have been doing the sport of kings at the expense of the track owners, and this seems too good to last, so some turtles are bit.

However, it is going to last, despite all reports to the contrary, rumors that there was likely to be a compromise arising from the fact that the judge of the New Orleans Jockey club, Joseph Murphy, thought that he personally might be able to bring the warring factions together and prevent the probable ruin which faces the backers of the two fighting associations. In this he was not successful, for he having a quarrel with George E. Bennett, one of the stockholders in the old club, he gave up the task as a bad one. Now the adherents of the Crescent City Jockey club are loudly proclaiming that the new club is on the verge of weakening, and that it is absolutely untrue for this week it was announced that the New Orleans Jockey club was prepared to fight even harder than its best friends had expected. Corrihan has an ace somewhere, and he and his associates know it. The fact is that racing at the new City park will continue to the end of the meeting season. This means that there will be fully fifty days of racing at City park, and that unless the Crescent City Jockey club fails to prolong the struggle the new club will have some open dates along in April.

Corrihan is prepared to lose at least \$100,000 in his venture. This has been announced officially. He will go along at a losing rate of \$5,000 a day for fifty days and be satisfied. This, however, will not be the case, for at the present time his \$2,000 seems to be the more popular of the two.

Taylor Decision IS GENERALLY PRAISED Action of Judges in Taking Gamblers' Testimony Approved

Special to The Globe NEW YORK, Feb. 25.—Praise of the highest sort is being heaped on the Nation league board of directors for its action in the Jack Taylor case. The board acted fairly in the matter. It appeared to be a case where there was evidence in consideration, and the decision with a characteristic boldness and justice of the highest courts in the United States. The word of the ball player who depends on his reputation for his living is of little precedence over that of the gamblers, some of whom will resort to any means to get their money. It is not surprising that Mr. Taylor was acquitted.

AMATEUR MAT ARTISTS TO MEET IN TOURNEY Big Event Will Take Place in Brooklyn Next Month

Special to The Globe NEW YORK, Feb. 25.—All of last year's wrestling title holders have entered for the championship bouts in the many cities of the nation. The National Athletic Club, Brooklyn, March 2 and 4. Fred Nappo, the champion, will be taken with the welterweight title last season by a fluke, but who has since retrieved himself by winning leading titles in the 145-pound and heavyweight classes in the N. Y. C. championships, will try again. The classes to contend under follows: Bantam weight, 105 pounds and under; feather weight, 115; special weight, 125; light weight, 135; welter weight, 145; middle weight, 155 and under, and heavy weight over 155.

HARRY DAVIS CHOSEN TO COACH BATTERS Athletics' First Baseman Will Teach Stick Work at Pennsylvania

Special to The Globe PHILADELPHIA, Feb. 25.—The baseball committee of the University of Pennsylvania has selected Harry Davis, first baseman on the Athletics' team, as batting coach for the Red Blue nine this spring. He will devote the greater part of his time to them, but will also superintend the work of the freshmen. Owing to the small cage which is placed on the floor of the gymnasium, nothing but batting can be practiced until outdoor work begins. Freshmen began their last two seasons have been poor handers of the stick, but Coach Connor hopes with the assistance of Davis to turn out an strong nine in this respect.

Famous Horse Killed Special Cable to The Globe LONDON, Feb. 25.—The famous race horse Donovan was destroyed at Worsop on Monday. He was killed by a tree which he dashed into while running loose in his paddock. He was not only suffering from concussion, some small bones in his head being broken, and on several occasions he had been run over by a motor car. He was valued at \$200,000. The Duke of Portland, only \$15,000 below the record winnings.

THE VALUE OF CHARCOAL Few People Know How Useful It is in Preserving Health and Beauty

Nearly everybody knows that charcoal is the safest and most efficient disinfectant and purifier in nature, but few realize its value when taken into the human system for the same cleansing purpose. Charcoal is a remedy that the more you take of it the better; it is not a drug at all, but simply absorbs the gases and impurities always present in the stomach and intestines, and carries them out of the system. Charcoal sweetens the breath after smoking, drinking or after eating onions and other odorous vegetables, and improves the complexion. It whitens the teeth and further acts as a natural and eminently safe cathartic. It absorbs the injurious gases which collect in the stomach, and purifies the mouth and throat from the poison of catarrh. Charcoal is sold in one form or another, but probably the best charcoal and the most for the money is in Stuart's Charcoal Lozenges; they are composed of the finest powdered Willow charcoal, and other harmless and appetizing, in tablet form, or rather in the form of large, pleasant tasting lozenges, the charcoal being mixed with honey. The daily use of these lozenges will soon tell in a much improved condition of the general health, better complexion, sweet breath and purer blood, and the beauty of it is, that no possible harm can result from their continued use, but on the contrary, great benefit. A Buffalo physician, in speaking of the benefits of charcoal, says: "I advise Stuart's Charcoal Lozenges to all patients suffering from gas in stomach and bowels, and to clear the complexion and purify the breath, mouth and throat; I also believe the liver is greatly benefited by the daily use of them; they cost but 25 cents a box at the drug stores, and although in some cases a patient preparation, yet I believe I get more and better charcoal in Stuart's Charcoal Lozenges than in any of the ordinary charcoal tablets."

A Great Many Men

LOOK "like Sancho" if you get the wrong hat on them. The store that handles

McKibbin hats has just the hat for any head—for your head. This is true because in the score or more of new and up-to-date McKibbin styles now being shown there are sure to be one or more shapes that will suit you to a tee. \$3 Sold Everywhere \$3 HAS A FREAK COLT Sanders Places Confidence in Deformed Filly's Speed

Special to The Globe MEMPHIS, Tenn., Feb. 25.—Millard Sanders, the man who made the Dillon horse family a household word wherever the trotting and pacing horse is raised, is busy engaged these days in developing another family of harness horses, and many turf followers are of the opinion that he is handling a young filly, daughter of Axworthy, that though considered almost a monstrosity in general terms, may develop into one of the cracks of the grand circuit. In fact, though thoroughbred swabbers, including the noted Tennessee, have been numerous in past turf struggles, Sanders believes that the young filly is at present training well, and will first race to appear with a real bump. So prominent is the filly's head, that she has already dubbed her "Dromedary," and it is likely that she will carry this title in grand circles. The filly has shown as much speed as any young star that Sanders has ever handled, and the bump has no apparent effect on her speed.

In addition to this daughter of Axworthy, Sanders is having additional sons and daughters of Axworthy. In some of the humped back Sanders has thirteen young ones, but he does not entertain superstitious ideas about the hump, he is going right along with them. A chestnut two-year-old has been named Bold Axworthy. The filly's dam was Yaural, by the dam of Laura, 2:15, and she has all the early signs of a trotter. There are also a number of other filly daughters of Axworthy, and Sanders is confident that he will land the bulk of the money for his employer, John H. Shultz, this year.

Settled in France People who would howl at events as the Prix Seymour and the Prix Royal Oak figure in the programmes of the meetings at Longchamp, will be surprised to find their native bred, Tom Carter settled in France. He died at Chantilly a very old man in September, 1875, leaving behind him a name which will remain his well won reputation. In his day he trained nearly all the best horses of France for the most part, and he was a successful breeder of the best of the breed. He was a member of the English Club, and he was a member of the English Club, and he was a member of the English Club.

YALE'S BASKETBALL TEAM IN TROUBLE Colleges May Break Away From Governing Body Control

Special to The Globe NEW HAVEN, Feb. 25.—The Amateur Athletic Union has notified that the Yale university basketball team, the action was taken because of the fact that the collegians had played the team of Am Hampton, Mass., which is claimed to be a semi-professional five. This bars the Yale players from competing in the national basketball tournament held under the A. A. U. throughout the United States. The decision against Yale is based on the fact that the team back, who played on the basketball team during the winter season, were not amateurs. The other players affected are William Barker, C. Kinney, F. M. Carter, W. B. Church, E. A. Anderson and C. O. Crummen. The Yale team holds second place in the Intercollegiate Basketball league, with a record of 10 wins and 2 losses. It is interesting to watch the attitude of the other colleges toward the disfranchised Yale team, and to see how they will stand in the A. A. U. It is probable that they will uphold their fellow collegians and refuse to take any action that will tend to break up the intercollegiate league in spite of the displeasure of the national organization.

SYRACUSE CREWS ARE GETTING INTO SHAPE Varsity and Freshmen Are Showing Good Early Season Form

Special to The Globe SYRACUSE, N. Y., Feb. 25.—The work of the Syracuse university crews has been progressing steadily for the past few weeks, and both the freshmen and the varsity are rowing in good form for so early in the season. About twenty men have already been accepted as candidates for the varsity, and a large number of Cashmere are trying their class crews. Nearly the whole of last year's varsity crew is back in college and eligible to try for a berth on the team. Some of the most promising candidates are E. Packard, Race, Dempster, Anderson, W. C. Spencer, S. S. Saltsman, S. Saltsman, Armstrong, D. Stone, Robinson, Brown, Lincoln, House, Dale, Davies, C. Packard and S. F. Toboggan.

TOBOGGAN LOOKS GOOD Special to The Globe NEW ORLEANS, La., Feb. 25.—W. S. Street, who is racing a string of horses here, is pointing Toboggan for the Monticello handicap. The colt is handicapped and Glide has been under suspicion as to his underpinning for some time, but appears to be getting better and in good condition if his easy victory at the fair grounds course recently may be taken as an indication. The colt is handicapped and Glide has been under suspicion as to his underpinning for some time, but appears to be getting better and in good condition if his easy victory at the fair grounds course recently may be taken as an indication.

Tragic Death of Trainer Carter Recalls Turf History

Special Cable to The Globe LONDON, Feb. 25.—The recent death of T. R. Carter, the famous trainer of French race horses, is of peculiar interest, because it was largely through the exertions of his predecessor, Tom Carter, the "doyen" of trainers that the present turf was built up into a concrete and substantial institution. French racing has greatly progressed since the days when the Duke of Orleans was the only English "get up"—toda his horses over the course at Chantilly in imitation of the manners of the court of the first gentleman of Europe. But the sport as we know it today—sport of high class, tested by horses capable of entering the lists with the best of the English, sport which fills us with recurring yearly apprehensions that the Gladiator soup of 1865 is to be repeated in our own Derby—owes its existence to the efforts of an English nobleman, whose centenary our neighbors might very fitly and gratefully observe.

One hundred years ago—in 1805—was born a son to a third Marquis of Hertford. He came into the world in Paris, and grew up to be such an eccentric personage that he never set foot in England, which was to all intents and purposes his native land. An English Jockey club and Pigeon Shooting club, founded by Thomas Byron, existed at Paris in the year 1833, and Lord Henry Seymour started with three or four courses a more regular institution, from which the present French turf has been evolved. Lord Henry was at that president, with Louis Philippe as patron.

Was a Practical Joker He was a noble of not wholly lovable disposition, but a practical joker, and his joking caused more uneasiness than even the erratic tendencies of Joseph Surface. He was a practical joker, and his joking caused more uneasiness than even the erratic tendencies of Joseph Surface. He was a practical joker, and his joking caused more uneasiness than even the erratic tendencies of Joseph Surface.

Returned auto-mobilists from the winter racing carnivals at Ormonde beach and Cuba, have been and with hardly an exception have brought enthusiastic reports of the racing, and of the Cuban carnival, making it a point of honor for the fact that it was the first attempt of the kind on the Island Republic, and, under the circumstances, was conducted with considerable success. There are those who claim that it is credit to be awarded for the better conducted of the two, the palm would go to the Cuban course, despite the advantages of the Ormonde-Daytona beach over the narrow road course, upon which the Cuban race was held. The conversation of the returned auto-mobilists from the South, either an actual competitor or merely a spectator, lead to the opinion that notwithstanding the natural advantages of the ocean beach course, much was wanting in the way of a perfect carrying out of the race programme.

Special to The Globe NEW YORK, Feb. 25.—Returning auto-mobilists from the winter racing carnivals at Ormonde beach and Cuba, have been and with hardly an exception have brought enthusiastic reports of the racing, and of the Cuban carnival, making it a point of honor for the fact that it was the first attempt of the kind on the Island Republic, and, under the circumstances, was conducted with considerable success. There are those who claim that it is credit to be awarded for the better conducted of the two, the palm would go to the Cuban course, despite the advantages of the Ormonde-Daytona beach over the narrow road course, upon which the Cuban race was held.

PENN OARSMEN ARE LATE IN REPORTING Coach Ward Is Discouraged Over Lack of Interest

PHILADELPHIA, Feb. 25.—The rowing outlook for the University of Pennsylvania is not hopeful as conditions are. Of the 150 candidates who reported for work on the machines at the opening of the year, only half that number are working now. Coach Ward is discouraged at the lack of interest in the rowing men, especially the freshmen class, which has only ninety men at work. It will be almost a hopeless task to develop a kind of a first year eight to compete at the regatta in the fall. The captain had the varsity men now at work register this week, and found that only fifty-eight men are on the machines.

Cornell Has Good Material ITHACA, N. Y., Feb. 25.—The Cornell crew has again resumed training on the machine after a two weeks' recess during the winter. The crew consists of thirty men are reporting regularly for practice, but hard work will have to be done to develop a championship varsity. Only three of last year's best are back. These vacancies will have to be filled from last year's four and freshmen. I told there are only about eighteen or twenty experienced men for the vacant positions. There seems to be an abundance of good material for the freshmen, however.

Special to The Globe ANNAPOLIS, Md., Feb. 25.—The Navy Athletic association will shortly propose to the United States government the procuring of suitable grounds for their own future Annapolis-West Point football grounds. It is suggested that a United States government reservation in the vicinity of Philadelphia be used. Stands and dressing rooms to cost \$50,000, it is suggested, should be erected. This amount, it is thought, can easily be raised by charging for admission to the first game, subsequent admission to be by invitation as heretofore.

Special to The Globe NEW YORK, Feb. 25.—The semi-official formation received here this week that the United States Lawn Tennis association has definitely decided to send a team to London this summer has given the liveliest satisfaction in British tennis circles. America was the original holder of the Davis challenge cup. She has twice refused an invitation from England, and only her inability to raise a representative team last year prevented them from being one of the challengers at Wimbledon.

Special to The Globe LONDON, Feb. 25.—The editor of Foot-ball Chat, the leading paper exclusively devoted to football, says this week: "The football league management committee have carried out their warning that they would cancel the engagement of any of their referees who persisted in reporting games in which they treated the work of the referee as a mere official duty. In my humble opinion this is the wisest action the league ever performed. Mr. Horrocks, the referee who has had his appointment canceled, is only doing what Mr. Bentley did for many years, and did without the slightest complaint from any one, and to the delight of many thousands of his readers. Today Mr. Bentley no longer referees, but Mr. Lewis, and though the latter does not write lengthy reports of the games in which he officiates, he comments on some, or did so until quite recently, and also says a lot about the work of other referees. If he will be temporary that Mr. Lewis deals with several referee incidents that have occurred in former days, I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr. Ashworth did at Nottingham, these referees, Mr. Horrocks and all others, should have the right to write of their own referee work, and of other referees, if they so choose. It seems exceedingly strange that this objection should have come along against a few latter day men. I hold no brief for Mr. Lewis can criticize what Fred Bye did at Manchester, and Mr