

### The Road For You

Is the one most travelers use

H. D. MACK,  
Gen'l Agent A. T. & S. F. R'y.

Scenery and service  
will please you.  
Chair cars are  
restful.



The Pullmans are  
new. Everybody  
likes Harvey's dining car  
meals.

ROCK ISLAND, ILL.

Take the California Limited via Santa Fe for  
**Los Angeles and 'Frisco.**

### Economy Dental Parlors.



1610 1/2 Second  
Avenue.

#### DENTAL ECONOMY.

Cheap dentistry is not economical dentistry. Pay LESS than we charge and you get less for your money. Pay MORE and you pay more than is enough. Our work is not expensive, yet it is not cheap.

#### Our Work is Guaranteed

to be strictly first-class. Call and see our samples of plates and crowns the largest in the tri-cities. Open evenings till 8 p. m. Sunday, till noon. Old Phone Green 221.

### I Don't Care Whether You Read This Ad or Not...

You can't stop me from wanting to buy, sell, trade, store or make you a loan on anything no matter what the goods are.

**J. JONES,**  
1623 SECOND AVENUE.

Old Phone Union 62.

Open Evenings

### WHEN IN DOUBT CONSULT THE BEST.

25 years of successful experience in curing Chronic, Nervous and Private Diseases of both sexes. Eleven years permanently located in Davenport, where he has cured thousands of cases of Chronic Diseases pronounced incurable by others, proves conclusively that DR. WALSH is the Best and Most Successful Specialist in the Tri-Cities.



**X-RAY**  
Examination Free.



DR. J. E. WALSH.

#### Dr. Walsh Cures When Others Fail.

##### Nervous Debility.

Sleeplessness, Stricture, Weakness of Men, Failing Memory, Mental Debilities, Catarrh, Dyspepsia, Asthma, Bronchitis, Blood Diseases, Scrofula, Piles, and Kidney Diseases.

##### Woman

suffering from Nervous Exhaustion, Headache, Backache, Constipation, Neuralgia, Palpitation of the Heart, or any other disease peculiar to the sex, should consult Dr. Walsh and get the benefit of his vast experience.

REMEMBER, IT PAYS TO CONSULT THE BEST FIRST.

##### Vibration and Electricity.


20 years' experience has made Dr. Walsh a master of these methods of curing chronic diseases. He uses all forms of Electricity, including Faradism, Galvanism, Cataphoresis, Sinusoidal, Static and High Frequency Currents.

##### Varicocele

is a frequent cause of nervous and physical decline. Why treat months with others when we can positively cure you in from one to three treatments?

Only curable cases taken. If you cannot call, write. Hundreds cured by mail.

Office, McCullough Bldg., 124 W. 3d S  
**DR. J. E. WALSH,**  
DAVENPORT, IOWA.



### Do It Now! What?

Telephone us to call for your Carpets and have them cleaned, re-laid, and those old Carpets worn by beating (the old way) made into BEAUTIFUL RUGS

One side of our Rug; the other is just like it.  
**ROCK ISLAND RUG CO.**  
2225 4th Ave, Rock Island, Old Phone 1519 W; New Phone, 5001.

## BRYAN REPLIES TO CRITICS WHO ACCUSE HIM OF "COMMERCIALISM"

### Nebraskan Explains in The Commoner His Relations With the Late Philo S. Bennett and Part Taken in Will Case.

In view of the great amount of criticizing comment that has been made in the press on the motive of William Jennings Bryan in his relation to the Bennett will case it is but fair to the Nebraska statesman that he be heard in his own defense. In the following clear explanation he makes in the current issue of his paper, the Commoner, he uses for his text an editorial published in the Record-Herald entitled "Commercialism and Mr. Bryan."

"It has been impossible as well as useless to take notice of the many criticisms that have appeared in the more partisan of the republican papers and in those papers which, although calling themselves democratic, have been studiously and continuously attacking all who remain true to democratic principles. But the Record-Herald is one of the fairest of the papers calling themselves independent, and for that reason I call the editor's attention to the facts. If he had said my connection with the Bennett will case had given political enemies a chance to misrepresent me, I might have answered that it is impossible to escape misrepresentation, for whether I speak or remain silent—whether I travel or remain at home—no matter what I do, my action is made the basis of misrepresentation. I have grown accustomed to this, and it does not disturb me. But the criticism which the Record-Herald makes, if well founded, is a serious one, because I cannot expect to exert an influence in behalf of moral principles if I can rightfully be accused of conduct inconsistent with the doctrines which I advocate in speech, and through my paper. I cannot complain that the public conscience has been stifled by commercialism if I, myself, am guilty of any act which can be criticized from the standpoint of morals. It is not necessary that one himself shall be blameless in order to criticize others, but it is necessary that he shall endeavor to make his life harmonize with his doctrines and be willing to correct his actions whenever he finds them to be at variance with his utterances.

"As I desire not only, but but in all the years to come, to throw whatever influence I may possess upon the side of righteousness in individual life as well as upon the side of good government and purity in politics, the reader will pardon me for mentioning here enough of the facts connected with the Bennett case to meet the criticism of the Record-Herald.

Three Exceptions Taken. "While the Record-Herald does not specifically set forth the grounds of its criticism, a careful reading of the editorial indicates that it takes exception to three things—first, to the fact that I drew the will; second, to the fact that the bequest was made in an indirect form, and, third, to the fact that I have taken an appeal to the supreme court. Let me take up these criticisms in the above order. First as to the drawing of the will. The undisputed facts are that about the 10th of May 1900, Mr. Bennett made a trip from New York to Nebraska for the purpose of having the will drawn. He brought with him a former will and certain memoranda, which were used in drawing the new will. He did not discuss with me the provisions made for any of his relatives except the provision made for his wife and that of a certain disposition, but merely a statement upon his part that he had left her sufficient to cover all possible needs.

"He did not consult me as a lawyer, but came to me because he desired to leave some money to me, and desired me to distribute an additional sum for him. I had never been his attorney and never discussed any legal question with him, but I stated in the probate court that I was perfectly willing to have any presumption which against me that could be invoked against me had I been his attorney and had I drawn the will for him as his attorney. After making such provision for his family and relatives as he desired to make, he wanted to use certain other sums for the advancement of his political views and for educational and charitable purposes. These purposes were set forth in the will and the sealed letter and they were the only purposes about which he consulted me. The first question is, therefore, should I have declined to assist in drawing the will? Should I have sent him back to New York to have the will drawn by some one else? Was the drawing of the will by me immoral, or did it in any way manifest a commercial spirit?

"The will was not executed in Nebraska. It was carried back to New York and executed there ten days later. Mr. Bennett was fifteen hundred miles away from me when he summoned the witnesses and executed the will, wrote the sealed letter, and put both away in a safe deposit vault of his own selection where they remained under his control until his death, more than three years afterwards.

"The probate judge, a republican, after hearing the testimony, declared

that the circumstances of the case fully and completely rebutted any presumption arising from the fact that I am by profession a lawyer.

In Testator's Hands Till Death. "As to the second question. The sealed letter was excluded by the probate court not because of any undue influence in the making of the will, but on the legal ground that it was not sufficiently identified by the will to be made a part of it. It is my fault that the bequest was not made direct, and I explained in court the reason for suggesting the indirect form. I did not care to accept the gift unconditionally. I preferred to leave the matter to be decided at the time of his death. The will was made just before the opening of the campaign of 1900, and at a time when my renunciation was certain. I told him I did not care to accept the money unless I needed it, and would not need it if, as then seemed probable, I should be elected. I did not care to have my name appear in the will if I did not accept the money, and at my suggestion it was made to the wife in trust, and the terms of the trust were set forth in the sealed letter.

"Was it immoral to accept the bequest conditionally instead of unconditionally? Did it betray a commercial spirit to put the matter in the hands of his wife, instead of making it direct, as in the other cases? Can either Mr. Bennett or I be accused of unfairness to the wife in making the bequest in the form in which it was made? When I objected to receiving it as a direct and unconditional bequest, he stated how he desired it disposed of in case I refused to receive it. The alternative plan was that it should be distributed by me among educational and charitable institutions, and this alternative provision was clearly set forth in the sealed letter, (written with his pen) which was left with the will.

"The third question relates to the appeal. The will and the sealed letter taken together set forth the testator's purpose clearly and unequivocally, and no one who knows the facts in the case can doubt for a moment that I am endeavoring to carry out the will of the testator. I stated both in the probate court and in the circuit court that not a dollar of this money will be used by myself or by my family without the consent of the widow. The widow and heirs will agree that if the \$50,000 shall be distributed among educational and charitable institutions, I know, and everybody knows who understands the facts in the case, that Mr. Bennett wanted this money distributed in this way if I did not receive it for myself and family. The trial judge excluded the sealed letter and all testimony in regard to the testator's purpose in making the will. If I were considering merely my own convenience, I should not contest the case at all, for in contesting it I am spending time and money without any probability of pecuniary return to myself. I am doing it because I cannot do less and be true to the trust imposed upon me by a friend. The question is not a settled one in Connecticut, and there is no way to settle except to obtain an adjudication of the point by the supreme court.

"Is there anything immoral in leaving the question to the supreme court? Do I show a commercial spirit in refusing to consult my own convenience in this matter?

Propriety Rather Than Morals. "But the Record-Herald overlooks the questions which are really of greatest importance. The question of the making of the will, since it involved no undue influence, was at most a matter of propriety and not a matter of morals, and the same is true of the form of the bequest if—as it was, in fact—the desire of the testator to make the bequest. Likewise in regard to the appeal. It cannot be said to be immoral for a contestant to take a question upon appeal to the highest court, especially when, as in this case, I have tried in vain to consolidate the cases so as to occupy as little time and incur as little expense as possible. There are two questions, however, which involve moral principles, and if these could be decided against me my conduct would be subject to just criticism. First, did the testator with my consent do injustice to his wife? It is not sufficient to say that he was the person to decide that question. If, as a matter of fact, he did not make suitable provision for her, I could not throw the blame upon him if I should seek to carry out an unfair provision. But the facts in the case show that the provisions not only express his own wishes, but that in making the disposition he did, he was within his moral as well as his legal rights. In the sealed letter he told his wife that he estimated her bequest at \$100,000, and this, he said, would give her an income larger than she could spend, and enable her to make provision for those whom she desired to remember. The amount left to his wife will enable her to live in the same style as during his life without exhausting her annual income. The income from \$100,000 at 3 per cent will give her more than half the salary paid to senators, members of congress and many other public

officials. She has no children to provide for, and the sum left to her will enable her to leave to each of her brothers \$25,000, which is more than Mr. Bennett thought it necessary or wise to leave either to his sister or to his half-brother. He gave to his sister about \$30,000 and to his half-brother (including his half-brother's family) about \$28,000, besides remembering other relatives. If it is true, as stated by him in the sealed letter, that her income will be more than sufficient for her needs, then any additional sum left to her would have been left not to her, but to her relatives, unless she should dispose of it by will. Is there any reason why her relatives should receive more? Or has she any better right to dispose of it by will than he had?

"The amount left to me conditionally would not have gone to his wife or to his relatives had I refused it at the time. It would have been distributed among educational and charitable institutions, but even this fact would not excuse me if I had accepted, even conditionally, money which in morals belonged to someone else.

"Can it be said, after full consideration of the circumstances in this case, that I was culpable from a moral standpoint in not insisting when the will was made that the amount proposed for me or to be distributed by me should have been given to his wife or to his relatives?

The Most Vital Question. "But the questions which I have considered before have not, altogether, given me as much concern as the last, and, to my mind, the most vital of all the questions in this case, namely: Should I have consented to this bequest under any consideration, not as a matter of expediency, but as a matter of morals? That it is more blessed to give than to receive is recognized by all who have tried both. Instead of refusing the bequest absolutely, it was at my request put in a form where the decision could be postponed until the time of his death. In thus postponing to a future time the decision of the question I acted upon what I believed to be satisfactory reasons. The campaign of 1896 had given me a prominence which, while it greatly increased my earning power, imposed upon me a large additional expense. For years I had been interested in matters of government, and the campaign of 1896 placed me in a position where I could not lay down the work without what seemed to me a betrayal of trust. I could not return to the practice of the law without abandoning political work and ignoring a large correspondence. The field that seemed most suitable for the work I wanted to do was the lecture field, because in it I could earn what I needed and still have a large part of my time for public work. I found, however, that the fact that I received pay for lectures was misrepresented and distorted, and I not only reached a smaller number through my lectures than I could reach through public addresses, but the fact that I received money for lectures was made the basis of the accusation (entirely false) that I received pay for political speeches.

"Mr. Bennett's purpose, as he expressed it in the sealed letter, was to make such a provision for me that I could more freely devote myself to public work—so that I could without compensation work for which I was being compelled to charge.

"During the period between 1896 and the making of the will, I devoted more time to work which brought no remuneration than I did to remunerative work. There was not a year between the two campaigns that I could not have made \$50,000 had I devoted myself entirely to money-making. As it was, I had up to May, 1900, saved only about \$25,000 or \$30,000, and of this about \$6,000 was accumulated before the campaign of 1896 opened. If at that time my health had failed under the stress of my work, I would have had an income of less than \$1,000—a sum not half equal to the annual expense of my correspondence between 1896 and 1900.

Felt He Was Justified. "When Mr. Bennett unexpectedly made this proposition I considered it carefully, and felt that under the circumstances I was justified in accepting it conditionally. I regarded it as an insurance against financial embarrassment, in case of a possible breakdown in health, and as an afterthought we added it was to be made payable to my heirs in case of my death, it being to that extent a life insurance policy and saved me the annual premiums on that amount. Since the making of the will I have accumulated between \$25,000 and \$30,000 more, but so large a part of my accumulations is tied up in a home, in household goods and in lands that yield but a small return, that I am still almost entirely dependent upon my lectures and my paper for my income.

"The Commoner is accomplishing the purpose for which it was established. Through it I reach a large constituency directly, and through it I reach indirectly a still larger constituency. I have drawn from the Commoner about \$5,000 a year, which is not a third as much as I would have received had I accepted offers that came from other newspapers. Aside from property, real and personal, which I call my own, and which I estimate at from \$50,000 to \$55,000, I have a sum in the Commoner reserve fund sufficient to guarantee to the subscribers that they will receive the paper for the period covered by their subscription. As the subscriptions are paid in advance I have felt bound to keep this fund large enough to protect the subscribers.

"Besides giving so large a share of my time to public work which brings no remuneration I have since 1896 con-

tributed in money more than \$32,000 to political, educational, charitable and religious work.

"This statement is made not because it is pleasant to discuss my personal affairs, but because I desire that the readers of the Commoner, and others who may be interested, shall be able to judge the Bennett case according to the facts. Mr. Bennett earned his money honestly, was thoroughly in sympathy with the work that I am trying to do, and he had confidence that the bequest which he endeavored to make would be used for the advancement of principles which he believed to be important. Whether his faith in me was well-founded, whether his friendship was deserved, whether I was worthy of the confidence that he expressed—these must be proved by my conduct in the past and by my conduct in the future. I am satisfied that I have acted as he would have had me act in regard to this transaction. Where I have deviated from the path marked out by him it has been against myself rather than in favor of myself. He intended that I should decide whether I would use the money or distribute it. I have left that question to be decided by his widow. Whether at any point in this entire transaction my conduct has been open to criticism from a moral standpoint is a question which I willingly submit to political enemies as well as to political friends, for a moral question cannot be decided by political bias or upon political grounds. The only decision thus far rendered upon the moral points in the case was rendered by a republican judge, and that decision was emphatically in my favor. When the court rejected the sealed letter and refused the introduction of further testimony, that branch of the case was appealed to the supreme court, and I immediately asked for the trial of the case in which undue influence was charged. The attorney for the widow and heirs asked for a postponement of the case on the ground that the case might be affected by the supreme court decision on the legal point, and I consented to the postponement only on condition that the records should affirmatively show that I was really and anxious to proceed with the trial. That case involves the \$30,000 to be distributed for educational purposes as well as the \$50,000.

"There is still another question to be considered—a question raised by another suit—namely, whether as a matter of equity the sealed letter taken in connection with the will, should be construed as establishing a trust. This question remains to be tried.

"And now, if the readers of the Commoner will forgive this reference to personal matters, I shall spare them any further mention of the Bennett will case until there is a decision to report."

INSANE MAN TRIES TO KILL HIS SON ON A TRAIN. O. Stillwell, aged 64, of New Sharon, Iowa, became violently insane while returning from Chicago last night on a Rock Island train. He and his son, F. E. Stillwell, had been to Chicago with a load of stock. While in Chicago the son noticed his father was acting peculiar. When a short distance west of the city he drew a knife and attempted to kill his son. Several men rushed to his assistance and took the knife away from the old man. At Davenport he was removed from the train and placed in charge of the police.

Bergman Collection Agency and Information Bureau. 207-209 Brady St., Davenport, Iowa. Claims, accounts and information collected everywhere. Both phones.

STYPTED FREE Permanently Cured by DR. KLINE'S GREAT NERVE RESTORER. CONSULTATION, general or by mail. Address and 25 TRIAL BOTTLES FREE. Permanently Cured, and only temporary relief, for all cases of Nervous Debility, Epilepsy, Drunkenness, St. Vitus' Dance, Dizziness, Exaltation, and all other cases. DR. R. H. KLINE, 1931 Arch St., Philadelphia.

## PIANO BARGAINS.

8 good Second hand uprights, Hallet & Davis, Fisher and other old reliable makes, All in good order.

10 Square Pianos, Chickering, Hallet & Davis and other good makes, all right for beginners.

These pianos must go at some price to make room for new stock on the way. Will sell on easy payments and will take them back in exchange for new. Call and see them at

**BOWLBY'S**  
1609-1609 1/2 SECOND AVENUE

### Pianos and Piano Tuning...

To those having pianos that need tuning, regulating, voicing and repairing. Owing to the great demand for first class tuning, I have secured in addition to myself another piano tuner, and will reduce the price for tuning to \$2 for this vicinity only. All work guaranteed. Estimates furnished on repair work. Factory representatives for the Sohmer, Emerson, Ahlstrom, and other pianos, for Illinois and Iowa. New pianos at factory prices, \$175, \$198, and upwards. Call new phone 5119.

**J. M. ZIMMERMAN,**  
407 Eleventh Street,  
Rock Island, Ill.

### Keister's Ladies' Tailoring College.

Bring your material and we will teach you to make your spring tailor suit or fancy gown strictly up-to-date in every style and fashion.

We are ready to receive pupils and visitors. School hours from 9 a. m. until 4 p. m. For benefit of ladies employed during the day we will have a night school from 7 p. m. until 9:30 p. m. Rooms 37, 38, 39 McCullough Building, Davenport, Iowa.

## Arcade Cigar Store

**JOHN P. SEXTON,**  
Harper House Block.

Rock Island Agent for  
**Paine's Perfect Pipe**



See the smoke chamber (A-A). All nicotine and dust stop there. The bowl can be lifted out and the pipe cleaned while lighted. Stem cannot clog. Smoke all you like with this pipe; it won't upset your nerves or burn your tongue. Made of French briar. You get only pure, refreshing smoke without nicotine or dust when using this pipe. We will be pleased to show them to you.

## Pure as a Grape.



Our wines, liquors and cordials will bear comparison with any in the tri-cities. We have keen eyes for good things in this line, and you shall have the benefit of our knowledge and experience. If you will but place an initial order with us. Pints, quarts, or gallons—we can fill the bill.

**SIMON LEWIS, Market Square.**

## Diamonds Going Down Instead of Up.

\$5,000 stock of diamonds, watches, jewelry, clothing, bicycles and other merchandise being sold at great bargains at Siegel's Loan Office 320 Twentieth St. Phone green 163.