

CRISPINS STRIKE

A Labor Difficulty on Jesse Street.

SHOEMAKERS ARE ANGRY.

Factory Operators Claim that the Teams Who Turn Out Shoes Are Skilled Mechanics.

There is trouble in the big shoe factory at 25 Jessie street, which William W. Glanville and the Owen Jones estate are the reputed owners.

The word "skipped" is used advisedly, for Jones died about three weeks ago, and it is not known what arrangements have been made for supplying his place in the concern.

This is not the cause of the trouble, however. It is no less a matter than a strike, which may spread by contagion to the other big shoe factories in the neighborhood.

Mr. Glanville was out of town when a CALL reporter visited his residence at 15 1/2 Valencia street yesterday. It was learned that he had gone to the city to see to the business of the strike.

"Here are the simple facts in the case," said the reporter. "The Owen Jones estate, the wholesale shoe manufacturing business, a number of men are called 'turners.' They are the men who turn out shoes, and are to be able to take up the parts of a shoe and turn the thing out complete. The usual course is for two of them to work together, forming what is called a team."

Working together in this way the "turners" have been accustomed to earn from \$20 to \$40 a week for each man.

The Glanville & Jones firm now work on the same plan, but the "turners" are not so well paid as in the past, and instead of the team of two workers, one is now a faster, stretcher, heater and bottom-finisher.

"One result of this change would be to reduce the wages of the turners by getting from \$30 to \$40 a week will only be a small matter to the firm."

"Then, too, the firm want to have all the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"And this is not all. They have introduced a machine for setting that will reduce the cost of the work by about 25 per cent."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

"The firm also want to have the work done on piece at a cut of cents on the dollar, and this is not all."

A MODERN MARVEL.

Professor Elisha Gray's Tautograph.

A DETAILED DESCRIPTION.

Writing and Drawings Reproduced Simultaneously in Fac-Simile Over Any Length of Wire.

Professor Elisha Gray's tautograph was formally exhibited to the public yesterday, says the Chicago Tribune of March 22.

For the first time persons not in the secret performed the novel and significant act of writing over a wire.

Briefly speaking the tautograph is an instrument which enables a person sitting at one end of the wire to write a letter that is reproduced at the other end of the line in fac-simile simultaneously.

It may supplant neither the telephone nor telegraph, but experts say it will take its place beside them in universal utility and importance.

The tests made yesterday over a line four-tenths of a mile long were successful in every respect, as the accompanying reproductions clearly show.

The tautograph is a twofold machine—a transmitter and a receiver, each contained in a wooden case somewhat smaller than a typewriter machine.

The transmitter consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The receiver consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The transmitter consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The receiver consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The transmitter consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The receiver consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The transmitter consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The receiver consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The transmitter consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The receiver consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The transmitter consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The receiver consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The transmitter consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The receiver consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The transmitter consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The receiver consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The transmitter consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The receiver consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The transmitter consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The receiver consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The transmitter consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The receiver consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The transmitter consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The receiver consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The transmitter consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The receiver consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The transmitter consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The receiver consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

The transmitter consists of a pen and a stylus, and a small drum, which is rotated by a hand crank.

THE GAS WAS ON.

Daniel Dill was taken to the Receiving Hospital at 9:30 o'clock yesterday morning from 146 Third street, where he had taken a room, suffering from asphyxiation.

BOUGHT HIS WIFE.

Sixty Dollars Paid for a Swinomial Maiden.

A Lamented Settler's Caucasian and Indian Widows Listen to an Interesting Story in Court.

SEATTLE, March 26.—A Mount Vernon special to the Post-Intelligencer, says that a case which promises to become one of the most famous in the State, and which will no doubt be carried to the Supreme Court of the United States for final settlement, was on trial in the Superior Court during this week.

The case involves the title to 160 acres of splendid farming land below the city of Seattle, and is known as the Wilbur case.

The land was owned by the late Mrs. Sarah J. Wilbur, who died in 1884, and was valued at \$100,000, has since the death of her husband in the year mentioned. It appears that she had a son, a daughter, a son-in-law, and his two half-brothers.

The case was brought up by the attorneys for the Indian heirs filing a petition asking for letters of administration to C. E. Bingham, who was appointed administrator.

The court granted the petition, and the case was set for trial in the Superior Court during this week.

The case involves the title to 160 acres of splendid farming land below the city of Seattle, and is known as the Wilbur case.

The land was owned by the late Mrs. Sarah J. Wilbur, who died in 1884, and was valued at \$100,000, has since the death of her husband in the year mentioned.

The case was brought up by the attorneys for the Indian heirs filing a petition asking for letters of administration to C. E. Bingham, who was appointed administrator.

The court granted the petition, and the case was set for trial in the Superior Court during this week.

The case involves the title to 160 acres of splendid farming land below the city of Seattle, and is known as the Wilbur case.

The land was owned by the late Mrs. Sarah J. Wilbur, who died in 1884, and was valued at \$100,000, has since the death of her husband in the year mentioned.

The case was brought up by the attorneys for the Indian heirs filing a petition asking for letters of administration to C. E. Bingham, who was appointed administrator.

The court granted the petition, and the case was set for trial in the Superior Court during this week.

The case involves the title to 160 acres of splendid farming land below the city of Seattle, and is known as the Wilbur case.

The land was owned by the late Mrs. Sarah J. Wilbur, who died in 1884, and was valued at \$100,000, has since the death of her husband in the year mentioned.

The case was brought up by the attorneys for the Indian heirs filing a petition asking for letters of administration to C. E. Bingham, who was appointed administrator.

The court granted the petition, and the case was set for trial in the Superior Court during this week.

The case involves the title to 160 acres of splendid farming land below the city of Seattle, and is known as the Wilbur case.

The land was owned by the late Mrs. Sarah J. Wilbur, who died in 1884, and was valued at \$100,000, has since the death of her husband in the year mentioned.

The case was brought up by the attorneys for the Indian heirs filing a petition asking for letters of administration to C. E. Bingham, who was appointed administrator.

The court granted the petition, and the case was set for trial in the Superior Court during this week.

The case involves the title to 160 acres of splendid farming land below the city of Seattle, and is known as the Wilbur case.

The land was owned by the late Mrs. Sarah J. Wilbur, who died in 1884, and was valued at \$100,000, has since the death of her husband in the year mentioned.

The case was brought up by the attorneys for the Indian heirs filing a petition asking for letters of administration to C. E. Bingham, who was appointed administrator.

The court granted the petition, and the case was set for trial in the Superior Court during this week.

The case involves the title to 160 acres of splendid farming land below the city of Seattle, and is known as the Wilbur case.

The land was owned by the late Mrs. Sarah J. Wilbur, who died in 1884, and was valued at \$100,000, has since the death of her husband in the year mentioned.

The case was brought up by the attorneys for the Indian heirs filing a petition asking for letters of administration to C. E. Bingham, who was appointed administrator.

The court granted the petition, and the case was set for trial in the Superior Court during this week.

The case involves the title to 160 acres of splendid farming land below the city of Seattle, and is known as the Wilbur case.

The land was owned by the late Mrs. Sarah J. Wilbur, who died in 1884, and was valued at \$100,000, has since the death of her husband in the year mentioned.

The case was brought up by the attorneys for the Indian heirs filing a petition asking for letters of administration to C. E. Bingham, who was appointed administrator.

The court granted the petition, and the case was set for trial in the Superior Court during this week.

The case involves the title to 160 acres of splendid farming land below the city of Seattle, and is known as the Wilbur case.

MARKHAM DID NOT ANSWER.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

MARKHAM DID NOT ANSWER.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

The Final Telegraphic Appeal in Behalf of the Water-Front Bill.

WHAT THE ELECTION COST.

Candidates.

WHAT THE ELECTION COST.

Candidates.

THE ELECTRIC AGE.

Wonderful Things Which Have Been Accomplished.

THE ELECTRIC AGE.

Wonderful Things Which Have Been Accomplished.

IN YELLOW BRICK.

The Engineering Building at Berkeley.

IN YELLOW BRICK.

The Engineering Building at Berkeley.

The Engineering Building at Berkeley.