

SHARP PRACTICE.

Juggling With the Names on the Ticket.

ATTEMPT TO BURY HENLEY.

One Man Put on in Spite of His Protest.

W. W. FOOT IS AFTER MAHONEY

It Was He and Not His Brother Who Scored Jere the Pure. Political Gossip.

Infinite are the resources of the Registrar and his coadjutors in the work of defeating the will of the people at the polls.

Never have the powers for evil that position have fully demonstrated until they fell in the hands of the Republican boss's brother-in-law. This time they have been fostered with diabolical ingenuity.

To stuff the rolls and to prevent the Election Commission from purging them was not enough for Registrar Evans to do. He has extended his machinations to the printing of the ticket, that paper that sometime was considered sacred to the people.

But nothing escapes the desecrating hand of Evans. He has placed his polluting finger on the ticket itself and endeavored to make it illegal by having printed on it the name of a man who is not a candidate.

John Heenan is put down as a candidate for District Attorney opposite the name of the man who is not a candidate. He resigned from the Populist ticket long before the printing of the ticket, and in order that there might be no possible mistake he went to the office of the Registrar and formally filed a notice that he was not a candidate.

He did not content himself with a verbal notice, but presented a written statement which, from its wording, might be called a protest.

In spite of this his name has been put down among the candidates for District Attorney. The Registrar has been given Barclay Henley a less conspicuous place on the ticket. Running down the list of candidates the voter's eye would have caught Mr. Henley's name on the bottom line of the first column if the ticket had been properly printed.

The less careful voters would naturally have thought that the name of Henley on the ticket had been properly printed. The less careful voters would naturally have thought that the name of Henley on the ticket had been properly printed.

Mr. Heenan said last night: "I did not know my name was on the ticket for any office until the 16th inst., after the Dolan Populists had carried their petition to me. I immediately after I learned that my name was there for District Attorney I repudiated it and entered my protest to Registrar Evans. On Tuesday morning, the 17th, I filed the statutory affidavit, demanding that my name be taken off the ticket."

"This affidavit was taken to the Registrar, who in turn handed it to George Lewis, the Deputy Registrar, and that gentleman stuck my affidavit in his pocket and walked away. I went to the room, I thought no more about it until I found that my name was still on there and several thousand of the official tickets had been printed."

"Did you ask by what reason your name was still on the ticket?" was asked. "Yes, I did," replied Mr. Heenan. "Lewis told me that he would take the rush and hurry of work in the office—that it was an oversight. But Mr. Evans' explanation before the Election Commission did not coincide with Mr. Lewis' statement."

"Mr. Evans said that my affidavit was premature; that it was handed in before he received the mandate from the Supreme Court ordering him to place the Dolan crowd's names on the official ballots. But, of course, that is all nonsense. The Supreme Court cannot compel a man to be a candidate against his will. I went before the Election Commission last Monday and explained my position that I am not a candidate for any office and do not want any votes."

"I cannot understand why the Registrar insisted in keeping my name there—if it was not by oversight—unless it was to divert votes of the Foresters, with whom I am very popular, and the Young Men's Institute. I don't intend to do anything about it because I would not like to have trouble or expense of securing a mandate against it. If anybody else wants to go to the courts he is welcome to sue me."

The Non-Partisan convention met last night at headquarters on Sutter street and held a long executive session at which the details of the campaign were discussed, together with other important matters. The convention passed a resolution setting forth the importance of perpetuating the organization and calling upon the Judiciary committee for report as to what progress it had made toward that end.

A large number of reports were received from canvassing committees. They all showed that the districts have been thoroughly stuffed. The convention will keep the names well in mind and take steps to secure the arrest of the illegally registered men, should they attempt to vote.

A committee of prominent business men have sent out the following notice of a meeting to be held in the interests of M. C. Haley, candidate for County Clerk: "Taxpayers and citizens in favor of the election of M. C. Haley, Democratic and Non-Partisan nominee for County Clerk, will be addressed by prominent citizens on Tuesday evening, Nov. 1, 1894, at 8 o'clock at Mason Hall, 215 Broadway street. Arthur Rodgers, attorney-at-law, Nevada block, H. Barry, publisher and printer, committee."

The Non-Partisans will have a big meeting at the Potrero headquarters this evening at Saratoga Hall on Tuesday evening. The ex-Railroad Commissioner has more shots in his locker for Jere the Pure and will probably be heard from again on this fruitful subject for criticism.

The Non-Partisans are preparing for an immense meeting to be held at Old Fellows Hall on Saturday evening. Eminent speakers are advertised to address the audience.

At a meeting held by the German Democratic club at the Alcazar building, on O'Farrell street, last night it was resolved to endorse the following candidates: For Assessor, John D. Sieber; for Sheriff, James McNab; for Supervisor of the Sec-

DEFENDING JIM BUDD.

Hon. Patrick Reddy Addresses a Democratic Meeting.

Democrats turned out in force last night at Odd Fellows' Hall to hear Hon. Patrick Reddy make a defense to the charges against James H. Budd. They filled the aisles and the galleries and were enthusiastic throughout, although Mr. Reddy's best friends were much disappointed at his address.

He was introduced by R. P. Hammond and given three rousing cheers. He began his address by asking the question were the political opponents of the Democratic party desirous of good government. It was not questioned, he stated, that the Republican party, in so far as it was represented by the Sacramento convention, was bound hand and foot and under the dictation of one man. Looking at the proceedings of that convention, could that convention give the people the government they wanted? Would they go to a man's house for wool? Would they go to a tent for people to represent them?

His first question was, do you want good government? His second, would the people of San Francisco be satisfied with Mr. Budd as themselves satisfied with Mr. Burns? He was in full control of the Sacramento convention and George A. Knight his lieutenant and had full charge for him.

The speaker read from an account of the proceedings of that convention the report of the committee on the platform and called to the attention of the delegates that they were doing it down, and no one was ever called to account for that expression; no one was ever called upon to retract. The means employed in that convention spoke badly for its nominees.

Mr. Budd had called Spencer G. Millard a Kansas grasshopper, and Mr. Knight had taken issue with him. Mr. Knight said Mr. Millard was not a grasshopper and had never been one in Kansas. The issue joined on this point was something awful. Then, said Mr. Reddy, came the charges in the Evening Post against Budd, and he was there to refute them.

Talking up these charges the speaker denied that Mr. Budd was guardian of the person of Nancy Neff, but produced a paper to show that he was guardian of her property. That he did not want that property for himself, but was merely holding it in trust for her brother George, to whom he was guardian, he produced the deed in that transaction and called upon his audience to say if that did not clear him of that charge.

Proceeding, Mr. Reddy, plainly not easy with his subject and making a very poor oratorical effort, read from decisions of the Oregon Supreme Court in the case to show that there was not the slightest evidence of forgery concerning the will of Nancy Neff.

After all this testimony, asked the speaker, was the audience ready to give the verdict? Several in the audience cried "Yes! Not guilty!" And loud cheers followed. The speaker said he had not expected the verdict would have been so prompt, but he wanted them to turn out at the polls and make a more binding one on November 6 next.

Dennis Spencer was next introduced and was asked by numerous voices in the audience to turn loose some of his satirical remarks. He apologized for the day, then read an hour and a half of his satirical remarks. Hon. Pat Reddy, but said that the facts presented showed undoubtedly that Mr. Budd was not guilty of the crimes charged.

He pointed out that charges of moral delinquency had been made against James G. Blaine and Grover Cleveland, and he pointed to the fact that they had made charges against Budd were as clean as snow. He dared the maker of them to stand up in the city of San Francisco for the purpose of comparing his character with that of Jim Budd.

For an hour longer Mr. Spencer held forth in his own inimitable way, telling funny stories and making witty remarks and kept his audience in good humor. He threw in some very sarcastic allusions to Dan Burns, which seemed to have a retort.

Robert Frazer was the next speaker and he began by complimenting Frank H. Budd as a man who had conducted the State campaign. He stated that he was pretty sure the meeting was not A. P. A., for the reason that it had invited a Democrat to address it. There was much applause and the speaker launched into a denunciation of the organization without qualification. He denounced the question of the day and called upon the members of all parties to pitch in together and aid the association into the dust.

There were three cheers for Jim Budd at his conclusion and the meeting dispersed.

YOUNG REPUBLICANS.

They Held a Meeting in Union Hall.

The Young Men's Republican Club held a rally in Union Hall on Howard street last night. The address was made by J. H. Budd and was well received. The speaker was interrupted with applause.

The vice-presidents occupied chairs on the stage. They were nearly all young men, comparative strangers in the political arena. Eugene P. Burr presided, and spoke with authority and vigor.

The Hon. Frank L. Coombs, ex-Minister to Japan, delivered a speech on campaign issues, most important of which is the question of the tariff. He referred to a Tammany orator's peculiar saying, that the country had been drunk for thirty years on protection. He added that it was thoroughly solved in two years and has a strong weakness to go on another wild spree of protection for an indefinite period.

Judge B. J. Stanford made an eloquent address into which he introduced striking illustrations to typify Democratic and Republican ideas. He presumed that the meeting was held to find out how young men stood on issues of the day. Then he asserted that "a condition knocks spots out of a theory. The Democratic philosopher will have to prove by argument, sophistry, etc., that the theory of free trade is perfect, and prosperity must be the result of the campaign."

At this time men are dealing with conditions, not theories. The Democrat has voted himself out of employment. If he would get his gubernatorial candidate a chance now to vote himself back to work. "This is the time," the speaker added, "when the individuality of a man sinks into the profound depths where principle prevails above men."

E. Myron Wolf of the University of California and L. H. Smith of Stanford, both young men, delivered speeches of scholarly color, and were applauded.

The meeting was very poorly attended, as not more than 200 people were scattered over the hall. And it was a comical gathering, as it received a re-enforcement from the Forty-second District, where a meeting was held on account of the few people in attendance.

CHEERING FOR BUDD.

The Democrats of the Mission Turn Out in Force.

Mission Parlor Hall, on Seventeenth street, near Valencia, was fairly packed last evening with enthusiastic Democrats, who were drawn thither by the announcement that their gubernatorial candidate would address them upon the principal issues of the present campaign. Before the arrival of Mr. Budd, the assembled Democrats were entertained with patriotic music and short speeches by prominent speakers and many municipal nominees.

Ex-Judge D. J. Tooby was the first to be called upon and devoted considerable time to endorsing the Democratic State and municipal nominees. He concluded with a funny story and was followed by J. A. Carroll, candidate for Justice of the Peace. He was followed by the principal Democratic ticket from top to bottom. Richard I. Whelan, candidate for Sheriff, received a warm ovation from his admirers and made a short speech, in which he

pledged himself to conduct the affairs of his office in a business-like manner, if elected.

The event of the evening was the speech of James H. Budd. The mention of his name was received with hearty cheers and his remarks appeared to meet with the approval of his auditor. Mr. Budd referred to some length to the charges which had been made against him recently, and emphatically denied the truth of the same. He characterized them as the work of political blackguards, who had grown fearful of his success in the present campaign. But he claimed to feel certain that distribution would follow in due time the disgraceful conduct of his unprincipled enemies.

When I am Governor of the State," continued the speaker, "the first thing I will do is to call the attention of the people to the fact that the Democratic party is desirous of good government. It was not questioned, he stated, that the Republican party, in so far as it was represented by the Sacramento convention, was bound hand and foot and under the dictation of one man. Looking at the proceedings of that convention, could that convention give the people the government they wanted? Would they go to a man's house for wool? Would they go to a tent for people to represent them?"

His first question was, do you want good government? His second, would the people of San Francisco be satisfied with Mr. Budd as themselves satisfied with Mr. Burns? He was in full control of the Sacramento convention and George A. Knight his lieutenant and had full charge for him.

The speaker read from an account of the proceedings of that convention the report of the committee on the platform and called to the attention of the delegates that they were doing it down, and no one was ever called to account for that expression; no one was ever called upon to retract. The means employed in that convention spoke badly for its nominees.

Mr. Budd had called Spencer G. Millard a Kansas grasshopper, and Mr. Knight had taken issue with him. Mr. Knight said Mr. Millard was not a grasshopper and had never been one in Kansas. The issue joined on this point was something awful. Then, said Mr. Reddy, came the charges in the Evening Post against Budd, and he was there to refute them.

Talking up these charges the speaker denied that Mr. Budd was guardian of the person of Nancy Neff, but produced a paper to show that he was guardian of her property. That he did not want that property for himself, but was merely holding it in trust for her brother George, to whom he was guardian, he produced the deed in that transaction and called upon his audience to say if that did not clear him of that charge.

Proceeding, Mr. Reddy, plainly not easy with his subject and making a very poor oratorical effort, read from decisions of the Oregon Supreme Court in the case to show that there was not the slightest evidence of forgery concerning the will of Nancy Neff.

After all this testimony, asked the speaker, was the audience ready to give the verdict? Several in the audience cried "Yes! Not guilty!" And loud cheers followed. The speaker said he had not expected the verdict would have been so prompt, but he wanted them to turn out at the polls and make a more binding one on November 6 next.

Dennis Spencer was next introduced and was asked by numerous voices in the audience to turn loose some of his satirical remarks. He apologized for the day, then read an hour and a half of his satirical remarks. Hon. Pat Reddy, but said that the facts presented showed undoubtedly that Mr. Budd was not guilty of the crimes charged.

He pointed out that charges of moral delinquency had been made against James G. Blaine and Grover Cleveland, and he pointed to the fact that they had made charges against Budd were as clean as snow. He dared the maker of them to stand up in the city of San Francisco for the purpose of comparing his character with that of Jim Budd.

For an hour longer Mr. Spencer held forth in his own inimitable way, telling funny stories and making witty remarks and kept his audience in good humor. He threw in some very sarcastic allusions to Dan Burns, which seemed to have a retort.

Robert Frazer was the next speaker and he began by complimenting Frank H. Budd as a man who had conducted the State campaign. He stated that he was pretty sure the meeting was not A. P. A., for the reason that it had invited a Democrat to address it. There was much applause and the speaker launched into a denunciation of the organization without qualification. He denounced the question of the day and called upon the members of all parties to pitch in together and aid the association into the dust.

There were three cheers for Jim Budd at his conclusion and the meeting dispersed.

THE LAGUNA CLUB.

An Enthusiastic Meeting of Democrats in Hayes Valley.

There was a meeting of Democrats held at Mowry's Hall on Grove and Laguna streets last evening under the auspices of the Laguna Club. President Hughes called the meeting to order and introduced Frank Marasky as chairman of the evening.

The hall was crowded to overflowing, and Budd and Jeter, the principal speakers of the evening, received an ovation equally since the days of Jefferson. Finally he appealed to Democrats to work earnestly for the election of a Democratic Senate and Assembly, for the election of a Republican Legislature means the election of Dan Burns or M. H. de Young to the United States Senate. He believed that neither party nor the people of California wanted either Burns or de Young, and a thunderous "No" was his answer from the auditorium.

There was a meeting of Democrats held at Mowry's Hall on Grove and Laguna streets last evening under the auspices of the Laguna Club. President Hughes called the meeting to order and introduced Frank Marasky as chairman of the evening.

The hall was crowded to overflowing, and Budd and Jeter, the principal speakers of the evening, received an ovation equally since the days of Jefferson. Finally he appealed to Democrats to work earnestly for the election of a Democratic Senate and Assembly, for the election of a Republican Legislature means the election of Dan Burns or M. H. de Young to the United States Senate. He believed that neither party nor the people of California wanted either Burns or de Young, and a thunderous "No" was his answer from the auditorium.

There was a meeting of Democrats held at Mowry's Hall on Grove and Laguna streets last evening under the auspices of the Laguna Club. President Hughes called the meeting to order and introduced Frank Marasky as chairman of the evening.

The hall was crowded to overflowing, and Budd and Jeter, the principal speakers of the evening, received an ovation equally since the days of Jefferson. Finally he appealed to Democrats to work earnestly for the election of a Democratic Senate and Assembly, for the election of a Republican Legislature means the election of Dan Burns or M. H. de Young to the United States Senate. He believed that neither party nor the people of California wanted either Burns or de Young, and a thunderous "No" was his answer from the auditorium.

There was a meeting of Democrats held at Mowry's Hall on Grove and Laguna streets last evening under the auspices of the Laguna Club. President Hughes called the meeting to order and introduced Frank Marasky as chairman of the evening.

The hall was crowded to overflowing, and Budd and Jeter, the principal speakers of the evening, received an ovation equally since the days of Jefferson. Finally he appealed to Democrats to work earnestly for the election of a Democratic Senate and Assembly, for the election of a Republican Legislature means the election of Dan Burns or M. H. de Young to the United States Senate. He believed that neither party nor the people of California wanted either Burns or de Young, and a thunderous "No" was his answer from the auditorium.

There was a meeting of Democrats held at Mowry's Hall on Grove and Laguna streets last evening under the auspices of the Laguna Club. President Hughes called the meeting to order and introduced Frank Marasky as chairman of the evening.

The hall was crowded to overflowing, and Budd and Jeter, the principal speakers of the evening, received an ovation equally since the days of Jefferson. Finally he appealed to Democrats to work earnestly for the election of a Democratic Senate and Assembly, for the election of a Republican Legislature means the election of Dan Burns or M. H. de Young to the United States Senate. He believed that neither party nor the people of California wanted either Burns or de Young, and a thunderous "No" was his answer from the auditorium.

They would have to earn the approval of a convention owned by the railroad and operated by its agent, Dan Burns.

The event of the evening was the speech of James H. Budd. The mention of his name was received with hearty cheers and his remarks appeared to meet with the approval of his auditor. Mr. Budd referred to some length to the charges which had been made against him recently, and emphatically denied the truth of the same. He characterized them as the work of political blackguards, who had grown fearful of his success in the present campaign. But he claimed to feel certain that distribution would follow in due time the disgraceful conduct of his unprincipled enemies.

When I am Governor of the State," continued the speaker, "the first thing I will do is to call the attention of the people to the fact that the Democratic party is desirous of good government. It was not questioned, he stated, that the Republican party, in so far as it was represented by the Sacramento convention, was bound hand and foot and under the dictation of one man. Looking at the proceedings of that convention, could that convention give the people the government they wanted? Would they go to a man's house for wool? Would they go to a tent for people to represent them?"

His first question was, do you want good government? His second, would the people of San Francisco be satisfied with Mr. Budd as themselves satisfied with Mr. Burns? He was in full control of the Sacramento convention and George A. Knight his lieutenant and had full charge for him.

The speaker read from an account of the proceedings of that convention the report of the committee on the platform and called to the attention of the delegates that they were doing it down, and no one was ever called to account for that expression; no one was ever called upon to retract. The means employed in that convention spoke badly for its nominees.

Mr. Budd had called Spencer G. Millard a Kansas grasshopper, and Mr. Knight had taken issue with him. Mr. Knight said Mr. Millard was not a grasshopper and had never been one in Kansas. The issue joined on this point was something awful. Then, said Mr. Reddy, came the charges in the Evening Post against Budd, and he was there to refute them.

Talking up these charges the speaker denied that Mr. Budd was guardian of the person of Nancy Neff, but produced a paper to show that he was guardian of her property. That he did not want that property for himself, but was merely holding it in trust for her brother George, to whom he was guardian, he produced the deed in that transaction and called upon his audience to say if that did not clear him of that charge.

Proceeding, Mr. Reddy, plainly not easy with his subject and making a very poor oratorical effort, read from decisions of the Oregon Supreme Court in the case to show that there was not the slightest evidence of forgery concerning the will of Nancy Neff.

After all this testimony, asked the speaker, was the audience ready to give the verdict? Several in the audience cried "Yes! Not guilty!" And loud cheers followed. The speaker said he had not expected the verdict would have been so prompt, but he wanted them to turn out at the polls and make a more binding one on November 6 next.

Dennis Spencer was next introduced and was asked by numerous voices in the audience to turn loose some of his satirical remarks. He apologized for the day, then read an hour and a half of his satirical remarks. Hon. Pat Reddy, but said that the facts presented showed undoubtedly that Mr. Budd was not guilty of the crimes charged.

He pointed out that charges of moral delinquency had been made against James G. Blaine and Grover Cleveland, and he pointed to the fact that they had made charges against Budd were as clean as snow. He dared the maker of them to stand up in the city of San Francisco for the purpose of comparing his character with that of Jim Budd.

For an hour longer Mr. Spencer held forth in his own inimitable way, telling funny stories and making witty remarks and kept his audience in good humor. He threw in some very sarcastic allusions to Dan Burns, which seemed to have a retort.

Robert Frazer was the next speaker and he began by complimenting Frank H. Budd as a man who had conducted the State campaign. He stated that he was pretty sure the meeting was not A. P. A., for the reason that it had invited a Democrat to address it. There was much applause and the speaker launched into a denunciation of the organization without qualification. He denounced the question of the day and called upon the members of all parties to pitch in together and aid the association into the dust.

There were three cheers for Jim Budd at his conclusion and the meeting dispersed.

DEMOCRATS ALERT.

Budd and Jeter Will Do a Lot of Talking.

The Democratic State Central Committee is making extensive preparations for a skyrocket close of the campaign in this city. Budd and Jeter will talk to just as many people as they can. The committee announces that they will address six meetings in the city.

The first will be the German Democratic mass-meeting at Metropolitan Hall. Then the candidates will proceed to East and Mission streets and speak to the Democrats in Sailors' Hall. They will next invade the Thirty-first District, and more speeches in Teutonia Hall, 1322 Howard street. The speakers will be at MacCotte Hall, Golden Gate avenue, near Buchanan street; an open-air meeting at Seventeenth and No., and a mass-meeting at the hall, Twenty-eighth and Center streets.

The big Democratic event of the week will take place at the Mechanics' Pavilion on Saturday evening. The speakers will be Budd and Jeter. A large number of clubs will assemble at the California Hotel and come to the hall for the evening. The speakers of the evening will be James H. Budd, J. T. Jeter, J. G. Maguire, J. V. Colquhoun, W. F. Felt, J. H. Spencer, J. T. Clunie, J. P. Irish, J. C. Nealon.

At the regular weekly meeting of the American Club, held at Third and Howard streets, last night, a question was asked whether the club indorsed the entire Democratic ticket and that it will use every effort to secure its success in an election day.

UNDER A CANOPY.

A Dazzling Galaxy of Young Republicans at Old City Hall.

Five thousand men gathered in front of the old City Hall last night to listen to addresses by Republican speakers and gaze upon the features of the candidates selected for the party by Colonel Burns.

Under a broad canopy sat Thomas O'Brien, the able former Mayor of San Francisco, the keynote investigator, Jos. Kinney, the owner of his hotel, William S. Barnes, who is not very good is surely very beautiful, and the eminent jurist, James A. Campbell.

The oratory pleased the audience, which was largely composed of men to whom fortune had been unkind, and, as the addresses were many and short, those who did not have the opportunity to speak had not long to wait for the music of the organ. Campbell and Mahoney were most liberally applauded, although they had little to say. The speakers were all well received. Orator O'Brien denounced the Non-Partisans as mugwumps and allies of the Democracy, and boldly charged them with leading the party to ruin.

The other speakers were J. Richard Ford, Joseph King, Charles E. Benjamin, F. Worth, C. F. Curry, W. S. Barnes, E. P. Perry, and others. Candidate Kinney was serenaded after the meeting.

Veterans Rally.

The Army and Navy League gathered several Republicans together last night in Saratoga Hall, where a meeting was held under the League's auspices. John W. Murray, president of Harrison Camp No. 1, presided.

H. G. W. Dunkelshiel, the Republican candidate for the Assembly from the Thirty-ninth District, spoke upon the differences of the two parties and how California is threatened by Democratic fallacies of free trade. He also told the story of the party's independence, and after giving corporations and business men a lecture on the subject of the tariff, he declared he was not tied to any corporation or boss.

W. F. Felt delivered a brief address and promised to faithfully perform the duties of his office if elected.

POPULISTS RALLY.

Metropolitan Hall Packed With People.

SHOTS FOR THE MONOPOLY.

Mr. Sutro With His War Paint and Heavy Guns.

J. V. WEBSTER ALSO SPEAKS.

The Populists Demand a Complete Change of Base and Methods in the State.

There were over 3000 Populists in the rally mass-meeting at Metropolitan Temple on Fifth street last night. But more than one-fourth of this number have no votes to cast in the coming election because they are ladies. The large hall was crowded at an early hour, the principal attractions being Adolph Sutro, candidate for Mayor, and J. V. Webster, Populist candidate for Governor, both of whom had been highly spoken of.

The meeting was called to order and presided over by George W. Van Gulpen, candidate for County Clerk. He said that he holds, with Grover Cleveland, that public office is a public trust and not a private snap. He then introduced Adolph Sutro as the next Mayor of San Francisco. Mr. Sutro spoke in part as follows: "In five days from now the die will be cast. You are to say whether you will be freemen or slaves; whether you will again be American citizens or the dependents of an overbearing and indecent corporation. In 1856 the people rose up in their might to break the chains of the corrupt man. Found in the year 1856, it is the white man who is to throw off the yoke of slavery. We are no longer free American citizens, we are no longer free American fathers, who pride themselves upon their rights and liberties guaranteed by the constitution of the United States. When that constitution was formed its framers had before them the example of the British Empire, which was a new and then a revolution took place and a new ruler was installed; so in their wisdom they gave four years in order to give us a chance to change our ruler. Instead of the vote of the people being heard, it is the voice of corruption which has assumed the place of that of the people.

Are we to stand this any longer? Will we permit the face of a foreign emperor to be put in the face of a foreign emperor? Will we permit it to be dragged into the dust by a local politician who is determined to defy the people? I appeal to the women of our country to come to the rescue. Women hate cowards, so let them shame the men who are so cowardly. Let the women arouse them into a realization of the noble position they occupy; make them shake off the yoke of slavery. Let the women be a spark of the spirit of the revolutionary fathers left in them.

The old political parties are rotten to the core. Under the pretext of a high or a low tariff, they have divided the country into Democratic and Republican. Men accustomed to resort to the ballot box have never before had a chance to vote for the Democratic or Republican ticket, as the case may be; that they have done so, and that they propose to stick to their party and vote the ticket straight. Poor, misguided men! They are stuck with a business proposition, and they should have a guardian appointed over them. They cannot see that it is no longer a question of that or that, but a question of whether this country is to be ruled by the combined forces of the "big" people. That is the question, and that is all there is to it. Look at our own local political affairs. We have a handful of men who are ruling the country, and they are ruling the country. Townsend streets. Blind, blind, blind are the men who propose to vote for either of these tickets. They are ruling the country. The Southern Pacific of Kentucky. These candidates are the only ones who should have a guardian appointed over them. They cannot see that it is no longer a question of that or that, but a question of whether this country is to be ruled by the combined forces of the "big" people. That is the question, and that is all there is to it. Look at our own local political affairs. We have a handful of men who are ruling the country, and they are ruling the country. Townsend streets. Blind, blind, blind are the men who propose to vote for either of these tickets. They are ruling the country. The Southern Pacific of Kentucky. These candidates are the only ones who should have a guardian appointed over them. They cannot see that it is no longer a question of that or that, but a question of whether this country is to be ruled by the combined forces of the "big" people. That is the question, and that is all there is to it. Look at our own local political affairs. We have a handful of men who are ruling the country, and they are ruling the country. Townsend streets. Blind, blind, blind are the men who propose to vote for either of these tickets. They are ruling the country. The Southern Pacific of Kentucky. These candidates are the only ones who should have a guardian appointed over them. They cannot see that it is no longer a question of that or that, but a question of whether this country is to be ruled by the combined forces of the "big" people. That is the question, and that is all there is to it. Look at our own local political affairs. We have a handful of men who are ruling the country, and they are ruling the country. Townsend streets. Blind, blind, blind are the men who propose to vote for either of these tickets. They are ruling the country. The Southern Pacific of Kentucky. These candidates are the only ones who should have a guardian appointed over them. They cannot see that it is no longer a question of that or that, but a question of whether this country is to be ruled by the combined forces of the "big" people. That is the question, and that is all there is to it. Look at our own local political affairs. We have a handful of men who are ruling the country, and they are ruling the country. Townsend streets. Blind, blind, blind are the men who propose to vote for either of these tickets. They are ruling the country. The Southern Pacific of Kentucky. These candidates are the only ones who should have a guardian appointed over them. They cannot see that it is no longer a question of that or that, but a question of whether this country is to be ruled by the combined forces of the "big" people. That is the question, and that is all there is to it. Look at our own local political affairs. We have a handful of men who are ruling the country, and they are ruling the country. Townsend streets. Blind, blind, blind are the men who propose to vote for either of these tickets. They are ruling the country. The Southern Pacific of Kentucky. These candidates are the only ones who should have a guardian appointed over them. They cannot see that it is no longer a question of that or that, but a question of whether this country is to be ruled by the combined forces of the "big" people. That is the question, and that is all there is to it. Look at our own local political affairs. We have a handful of men who are ruling the country, and they are ruling the country. Townsend streets. Blind, blind, blind are the men who propose to vote for either of these tickets. They are ruling the country. The Southern Pacific of Kentucky. These candidates are the only ones who should have a guardian appointed over them. They cannot see that it is no longer a question of that or that, but a question of whether this country is to be ruled by the combined forces of the "big" people. That is the question, and that is all there is to it. Look at our own local political affairs. We have a handful of men who are ruling the country, and they are ruling the country. Townsend streets. Blind, blind, blind are the men who propose to vote for either of these tickets. They are ruling the country. The Southern Pacific of Kentucky. These candidates are the only ones who should have a guardian appointed over them. They cannot see that it is no longer a question of that or that, but a question of whether this country is to be ruled by the combined forces of the "big" people. That is the question, and that is all there is to it. Look at our own local political affairs. We have a handful of men who are ruling the country, and they are ruling the country. Townsend streets. Blind, blind, blind are the men who propose to vote for either of these tickets. They are ruling the country. The Southern Pacific of Kentucky. These candidates are the only ones who should have a guardian appointed over them. They cannot see that it is no longer a question of that or that, but a question of whether this country is to be ruled by the combined forces of the "big" people. That is the question, and that is all there is to it. Look at our own local political affairs. We have a handful of men who are ruling the country, and they are ruling the country. Townsend streets. Blind, blind, blind are the men who propose to vote for either of these tickets. They are ruling the country. The Southern Pacific of Kentucky. These candidates are the only ones who should have a guardian appointed over them. They cannot see that it is no longer a question of that or that, but a question of whether this country is to be ruled by the combined forces of the "big" people. That is the question, and that is all there is to it. Look at our own local political affairs. We have a handful of men who are ruling the country, and they are ruling the country. Townsend streets. Blind, blind, blind are the men who propose to vote for either of these tickets. They are ruling the country. The Southern Pacific of Kentucky. These candidates are the only ones who should have a guardian appointed over them. They cannot see that it is no longer a question of that or that, but a question of whether this country is to be ruled by the combined forces of the "big" people. That is the question, and that is all there is to it. Look at our own local political affairs. We have a handful of men who are ruling