

COLONY CASES AGAIN

Porto Rico Omelets Cases Come Before Supreme Court Today.

J. G. CARLISLE COUNSEL FOR PETITIONERS

Brief Filed of Argument on Which Appellants Rest Their Claim.

ISLAND INTEGRAL PART OF UNITED STATES

Entire Sovereignty is Vested in Constituted Powers of This Country.

POWERS OF PRESIDENT ARE QUESTIONED

Right of This Nation to Acquire "Outside Property" Admitted to Be Fully Established.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 7.—Ex-Secretary Carlisle, who appears as leading counsel against the government in the Porto Rican customs case, the argument in which will begin in the United States supreme court tomorrow, will not file an individual brief, but will content himself with a verbal presentation. His name, however, appears as counsel in the brief in the Dooler cases, involving the right to assess duties on articles brought into Porto Rico from "other parts of the United States," and also sent from Porto Rico "into other parts of the United States" before and after the Paris treaty of peace and also after congress passed the Porto Rican act.

Henry W. Ward filed these briefs in the supreme court today. Following is a summary of the argument: At all times since the ratification of the treaty Porto Rico has been a part of the United States. As a consequence of the treaty the entire sovereignty over Porto Rico has become vested in the United States, and the executive and legislative departments of the federal government have only power in relation to Porto Rico as they have in relation to any other territory. It is not the power under the constitution to make or enforce laws, but the power to make or enforce laws, and the power to make or enforce laws, and the power to make or enforce laws.

In sustaining these points no time is spent in discussing the power of the United States to acquire and hold outside property, "that power having become firmly established."

In support of the first point it is contended that by the operation of the Paris treaty Porto Rico has become an integral part of the United States as any of the states or territories.

PORTO RICAN CASES PUT OFF

Supreme Court Delays Hearing for a Day—Each Side to Have Five Hours.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 7.—The argument in the United States supreme court in the Porto Rican cases involving the question of the legality of duties imposed by this country on goods imported from the United States to Porto Rico and from Porto Rico to the United States did not begin today, as other cases preceding had the effect of postponing until tomorrow. The court, however, took sufficient cognizance of the cases to decide what time should be given to each side of the controversy. This question was raised by former Secretary Carlisle, who stated that five of the cases could be consolidated. These five are the cases of Belina and others against Collector Bidwell, S. B. Dowey against Collector Bidwell, two cases of H. W. Dooler against the United States and Carlos Armstrong against the United States. Upon suggestion the court awarded five hours to each side of the controversy.

SEATTLE CITIZENS ASSIST

Pledge \$100,000 to Moran Brothers that They May Build Government's Battleship.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 7.—The navy department has been informed that the citizens of Seattle have pledged themselves to raise a fund of \$100,000, to be paid to Moran Brothers, the New Shipbuilding company, to enable them to accept the proposal of the navy department to build a battleship at the figure named in the act of congress. To comply with the department's requirements, the Morans must advance their bid \$200,000, but even with this bonus of \$100,000, the net reduction must be \$100,000. Nothing has been heard positively by the department, but it is very doubtful if they will be able to accept. In case of a change in plans and readjustment will follow to reduce the cost of the ships, involving the sacrifice of about 1,500 tons displacement.

Secretary Long today sent out the formal notice to the Bath Iron works, Newport News Shipbuilding company, and Moran Bros. of Seattle, that they each had been awarded a contract for the construction of a sheathed battleship upon conditions already set out relative to cost. The Bath Iron works and the Newport News shipbuilding company have not yet indicated whether they will accept the department's proposition. Moran Bros., however, being personally represented in Washington, have told Secretary Long that they will accept the award. They undertake to construct a battleship for \$2,511,000, with 4 per cent added under the law, allowing that bonus on the Pacific coast.

BOERS ARE LOCATED BY KNOX

Result is Several British Officers and Privates Are Killed and Wounded.

LONDON, Jan. 7.—Reporting to the War office, under date of January 6, Lord Kitchener says: Yesterday Habbington engaged Delany's and Steenkamp's commandos at Nauwpoort. The enemy was forced to retire to the northwest. Our casualties have not yet been reported. It is reported that a Boer doctor admitted that twenty Boers were killed or wounded. Commandant Delany was taken prisoner. It appears from reports of the wounded that they arrived at Habbington that the commando came into contact with a superior force near Lindley. I regret to say that Lieutenant Laiding, two other officers and fifteen men were killed and two officers and twenty men wounded. No details have come from Knox of this action. The Nauwpoort mentioned in General Kitchener's report must not be confused with Nauwpoort Junction, Cape Colony. There are several Nauwpoorts. Possibly the scene of the fight is the Nauwpoort on the railroad between Potchefstroom and Frederikstad, Transvaal.

BOER APOSTLE IN GERMANY

Nephew of General Dewet Arousing Kaiser's Subjects to Action in His Country's Favor.

Berlin, Jan. 4.—Christian Dewet, nephew of the Boer general, is making speeches in South Germany in behalf of the Boer cause and arousing great sympathy. The meetings, which he addresses adopt resolutions protesting vigorously against the overthrow of the two republics, the resolutions being telegraphed to Count von Buelow and Mr. Kruger.

CAPTOWN EDITOR IN JAIL

Seditious Utterances of Pro-Boer Newspaper Promptly Punished by Government.

CAPTOWN, Jan. 7.—The editor of Om Landsa, the principal Afrikaander newspaper here, has been arrested on the charge of seditious libel.

READY TO WAGE TARIFF WAR

Germany Said to Have Decided to Meet United States with High Protection Policy.

BRUSSELS, Jan. 7.—The Berlin papers print an article in which the German government is represented as asserting that the great German industrial organization, with the approval of Emperor and Count von Buelow, imperial chancellor, have compromised with the agrarians and will meet the United States with a sharp protective policy. Sixty marks a ton on grain is mentioned as an illustration. Some statements cast doubt upon the authenticity of the interview, but the Berliner Tageblatt sees in it a fresh confirmation of the recent statements of Count von Klenow, an agrarian leader. The Tageblatt severely depreciates a tariff war with the United States, the cost of which, it contends, would be borne by German trade, Germany's flourishing shipping and German consumers generally. The National Zeitung says: "We cannot see that a diplomatic situation, in which Herbert Bismarck would openly advocate a policy favoring Russia at the expense of the United States."

ANNEXATION OF BELGIUM

France Credited with Having Proposed Scheme of Territorial Union to Its Neighbor.

PARIS, Jan. 7.—A dispatch received here from Brussels says the military commission, which is studying the reorganization of the defense of Belgium, has been informed in support of the government's project that General Zurlinden (former French minister of war) proposed in 1897 to the superior war council that France annex Belgium and that the Belgium minister at Paris notified the government of this fact. The dispatch adds that Great Britain at that time was indifferent, while Germany was inclined to acquiesce, regarding the suggested annexation as France's compensation for the loss of Alsace-Lorraine. The statement has caused a sensation in Belgium and has attracted much attention in France. Baron d'Anethan, the Belgian minister here, in an interview, denies that he has attributed such a declaration to General Zurlinden. He admits he sent his government's cuttings from newspapers containing similar assertions, but refrained from comment on them. The minister adds that throughout his career he remembers no incident tending to affect the neutrality of Belgium. He says that the French empire and its schemes regarding the left bank of the Rhine.

AMERICANS GET NO REDRESS

Situation in Venezuela Regarded as Critical—War Ships Are Enroute.

WILLEMSTAD, Curacao, Via Haytian Cable, Jan. 7.—The United States minister at Caracas, Venezuela, Mr. Lewis, according to the latest advices here, has not yet succeeded in obtaining justice for the New York and Bermuda companies in the course of dispute with the local authorities and the situation is regarded as critical. Three American war ships are either at La Guayra or on their way to the Buffalo, Hartford and Scorpion, and the Americans are complaining at the alleged delay in obtaining protection for their interests. The local authorities, it was added, encouraged the natives to invade the company's plant.

Lipton's Tribute to Armour.

LONDON, Jan. 7.—The press here has published kindly notices of the late Philip D. Armour, and the Americans in the course of an interview paid him tribute to the deceased merchant's simplicity of life, untrusting industry and unbounded charity. "Mr. Armour realized more than most men," said Sir Thomas Lipton, "that a great deal of the world had to pay his toll to fortune. Hard man of business as he was, few gentlemen with fair claims for help ever found him turn a deaf ear."

Submarine Boat Trials.

CHEROKEE, Jan. 7.—Important trials of submarine boats took place here today. The minister of marine, M. de Lanessa, came to Cherokee to witness the experiments. After an examination of the submarine boat Morse, M. de Lanessa boarded the Narval and headed for the roadstead, when a number of surface and submerged maneuvers were carried out. General Andre witnessing them, the impenable.

Hamburg-American Line Absorbs It.

HAMBURG, Jan. 7.—The Antwerp-Banana Ayres line of steamers has been sold to the Hamburg-American Steamship company.

BOERS ARE LOCATED BY KNOX

Result is Several British Officers and Privates Are Killed and Wounded.

LONDON, Jan. 7.—Reporting to the War office, under date of January 6, Lord Kitchener says: Yesterday Habbington engaged Delany's and Steenkamp's commandos at Nauwpoort. The enemy was forced to retire to the northwest. Our casualties have not yet been reported. It is reported that a Boer doctor admitted that twenty Boers were killed or wounded. Commandant Delany was taken prisoner. It appears from reports of the wounded that they arrived at Habbington that the commando came into contact with a superior force near Lindley. I regret to say that Lieutenant Laiding, two other officers and fifteen men were killed and two officers and twenty men wounded. No details have come from Knox of this action. The Nauwpoort mentioned in General Kitchener's report must not be confused with Nauwpoort Junction, Cape Colony. There are several Nauwpoorts. Possibly the scene of the fight is the Nauwpoort on the railroad between Potchefstroom and Frederikstad, Transvaal.

BOER APOSTLE IN GERMANY

Nephew of General Dewet Arousing Kaiser's Subjects to Action in His Country's Favor.

Berlin, Jan. 4.—Christian Dewet, nephew of the Boer general, is making speeches in South Germany in behalf of the Boer cause and arousing great sympathy. The meetings, which he addresses adopt resolutions protesting vigorously against the overthrow of the two republics, the resolutions being telegraphed to Count von Buelow and Mr. Kruger.

CAPTOWN EDITOR IN JAIL

Seditious Utterances of Pro-Boer Newspaper Promptly Punished by Government.

CAPTOWN, Jan. 7.—The editor of Om Landsa, the principal Afrikaander newspaper here, has been arrested on the charge of seditious libel.

PULMONARY ARTERY BROKEN

Physicians Discover Grave Cause of Congressman Neville's Illness.

SOLUTION OF SALT APPLIED AS LIFE ELIXIR

Rural Free Delivery Extended to Nebraska Towns—Thanks for Captain Clark—Howard N. Connor Clerk at Council Bluffs Postoffice.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 7.—(Special Telegram.)—Congressman Neville, according to a bulletin issued tonight by his physicians, is just a shade better, and while there are chances of his recovery is considered in a dangerous condition. He is being treated by a specialist in diseases of the chest, and after a minute examination of the patient they agreed that Representative Neville is suffering from a rupture of the pulmonary artery. Last week the doctors thought it was a lesion of the bronchial tubes with which Mr. Neville was afflicted, but the best expert opinion of the city says it is a rupture of the pulmonary artery. Mr. Neville is packed in ice and was treated yesterday with a solution of salt, which is said to have greatly added to the comfort of the patient.

S. C. Bassett of Shelton, president of the Dairy association of Nebraska, expects to appear before the committee of agriculture this week on behalf of the Great oleomargarite bill.

Judge Lacy of Iowa in supporting the Hopkins reappropriation bill, which has been under discussion for the past few days, and which if passed will take one member from Nebraska's present delegation, said today on the floor:

"But complaint is made about the reduction of Nebraska. That is largely due to the overpopulation of the population of Nebraska in 1890. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1890. The same thing exists elsewhere today. The city of Omaha has apparently declined 40,000 from 1890 to 1900. That decline is apparent and not real. It is simply the unquestionable result of the fierce competition between Omaha and other cities on the Missouri river in the census of 1