THE NOME NUGGET

Published Monday, Wednesday and Friday by The NOME PUBLISHING CO.

Nome, Alaska

Telephone: Main 125 \$1.50 PER MONTH

P. O. Box 613 \$16.00 A YEAR

W. A. and EMILY BOUCHER.....

Entered as second class matter October 14, 1943, at the postoffice at Nome, Alaska, under the Act of March 3, 1879.

Monday, July 15, 1946

EVIDENCE IN RATE HEARING

URING THE THIRD Commission case in six years dealing with Alaskan steamship rates, much evidence was submitted by various agencies of and for the Territory of Alaska. Of these the Alaska Development Board, the O.P.A., the several major cities, and chambers of commerce submitted the majority of the testimony against increased rates, fares and charges for transportation to and from Alaskan ports and within the Territory.

In 1940, the steamship companies were granted increases in freight rates, giving them a net increase of approximately 15 per cent.

In December 1941, an additional surcharge of 45 per cent was allowed for the three major steamship companies serving Alaska.

Although the rate of the surcharge has been reduced to 16 per cent for all areas of Alaska, the rate is still much too high for the Territorial pocketbook.

David E. Scoll, Tttorney for the Alaska Development Board, and Ralph J. Rivers, Attorney General of the Territory of Alaska, filed a brief before the U. S. Maritime Commission. It contained the following summary:

Summary of Testimony

The war and its ending have aggravated all that was wrong with the rates, service and equipment in the Alaskan trade before the war, and have created new problems that cannot be solved by an increase in rates. this is the gist of the testimony offered in Seattle and Washington by the carriers, the War shipping Administration, and the Office of Price Administration, and the Territory's Alaska Development Board. In the 1218 pages of testimony and voluminous exhibits introduced by the parties, increased operating costs and labor troubles were analyzed and discussed at very great **length.** Though opinions as to the evaluation of these increased costs differ, the record shows that all parties are unanimous upon the point that the Alaskan trade cannot stand another general rate increase.

The issue which faces the U.S. Maritime Commission in this proceeding, therefore, is one which cannot be resolved by a finding of fair value and the fixing of an allowable rate of return against which revenue and expense may be measured. No fair value testimony was introduced by any of the parties. Revenue and expense data were presented by the War Shipping Administration for the Federal government's operation during the calendar year of 1945. The carriers offered their projections of revenue and expenses, based upon their 1939 operations, to which they applied various percentage increases. With such a record, there is nothing upon which the Commission may base a rate order.

The concensus of the parties is that special legislation is required to provide Alaska with adequate water transportation at reasonable rates and charges, in order to secure a permanent solution to this problem. However, before any such program for a permanent solution of Alaskan shipping needs can be really effective, a more careful analysis of the rates applicable to various commodities and classes of traffic must be made than was made at this proceeding. Otherwise, government assistance will merely perpetuate the inequalities and inequities of the existing tariff structure. Similarly, more information is needed on possible ecenomies of operation, and in the matter of suitable designs for and cost of new tonnage.



WHY NOT LIKE CANADA?

THE CANADIAN government at Ottawa has I nounced a reduction in personal income taxes, effective January 1, which will free 25 per cent of the know the use of a whee present taxpayers from payment of these imposts and Some people boast of this cut rates for the majority from 10 to 15 per cent. This nation's blue-blood herit news has given fresh hope to American taxpayers, now age. It was good. paying fantastic sums to Washington in the form of forebears, in large par income levies.

"What can Ottawa do that Washington can't do?" they ask.

Under the Canadian order, exemptions for single taxpayers are raised to \$750, compared to \$500 in the United States, and married persons without dependents will have exemptions of \$1500. Here is one of the erica great. It is a systen most pressing subjects for congressional action when of liberty giving every the members return from their summer vacation. To man the right to pick wha continue present income tax rates beyond January 1 he wants and go after i in the United States is unthinkable.

The bureaucrats who plaintively recommend if he can and enjoy it to continuance of present confiscatory federal tax rates the extent of his capacity in the United States must be rocked back on their so long as it does not tresheels by direct congressional assault if taxes are ever pass on the rights of someto be reduced. Only when the money is no longer forth-body else. It is a sprightcoming will the federal payroll be reduced and other ly and dynamic economy, urgently needed economies be effected. Give a bureau- quickly adjustable to chancrat the money and his enthusiasm for expanding his ging times and conditions. activities knows no bounds.

It is not overlooked by American taxpayers that Canada reduced income taxes an average of 15 per cent on January 1, 1946, compared to the 9 per cent reduction reluctantly agreed to by Truman when a have borne a rich harvest. movement was under way in Congress to reduce rates 20 per cent in the United States.

The time for beginning worthwhile tax reductions foreign lands. Our laboris here. If Congress postpones this vitally necessary action until the bureaucrats admit they have more ers anywhere have ever money than they can use, taxes will never be reduced.

(The Anchorage Times)





DECISIONS

MEN AND NATIONS gain or lose by the quality of decisions they make. Founders of this remark-

able republic made a wise as much as they could, as more with his pay for a

well as they knew ho and gain as much of a 1 ward for themselves they were able.

NOT ALL Americans a models of progress at success but they all ha benefitted more or le from the achievements those who did have the ir mortal spark. You car pick successful men befor they try, but more men outstanding talent are sur to come to light where ev eryone is allowed to do hi best. A large number (thinkers at work alway adds up to more for every body.

Not Luck At All

DEOPLE may orate abou America's greatness be ing from her vast natura resources. The resource exist all right but the rec skins had them before Co umbus came and didn came from Europe. Tha was probably the smartes thing most of them eve did.

▲ MERICA's economic sy stem is what made Am hammer-and-tongs, get i

What Developed?

I IMITLESS opportunity and keen competition Our farmers live better than the peerage of some ers have more than workhad at any time. America has seven percent of the world's population and 80 percent of the world's automobiles. We send more children to high school and college than the rest of the world combined.

DURING the last two years of World War II, decision long ago, in favor from a startled start in Deof personal self reliance cember 1941, America and individual achieve- made more war materials ment. America is great to- than all her allies and her day because so many smart foes put together. An Ampeople were left free to do erican carpenter can buy