

Published by A. MORFORD, at the Herald Office, No. 111 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona.

Subscription Rates: Daily Herald: \$1.00 per month, \$3.00 per quarter, \$10.00 per annum.

For Sale: James G. Blaine, John A. Logan, etc.

For Sale: C. C. Bean, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: R. L. Public Instruction, of Gila county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. Y. T. Smith, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

For Sale: J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county.

planted by commercial interest the case becomes very strong in favor of the proposition.

Major Ingalls informs us that he is already hearing from California in a most promising way, and we will probably have quite a California exhibit.

The Prescott Courier gets out its political pen point, and makes various and sundry jabs at the Herald for opposing Head and favoring Dr. Ainsworth, and in general, for being a Republican.

Of course we heard Head talk about railroad lands and all that, but happened to know that as a minion of the Southern Pacific he opposed the Atlantic & Pacific, and once a S. P. man always an S. P. man till the company kicks him out.

Yes, and we support Dr. Ainsworth who voted against the freight and fare bill of the "wild ass of the Audhucahuca" simply because he did not believe that we should pass a railroad bill to cinch railroad passes before we had such railroad lines as the Territory needed badly, and still needs, and by which act the Territory would drive out and keep off such capital as might be considering the matter of making investment in railroads within it.

Two years ago we advocated the very doctrine upon which Dr. Ainsworth voted against the freight and fare bill, and we support him now because we believe he did right. Then we were not in favor of cutting off our Territory nose to suit our face, even if the nose has a boil on it.

Then again, the former, speaking of the Texas Public Land grant says, and the whole crew of Democratic demagogues, that the Democratic candidates say with the Democratic platform of Congress passed a bill putting these lands back to the public domain, but your portion of Congress, your monopoly Senate, took good care to keep the measure from being enacted into a law.

That misrepresenting assertion has been most ably and conclusively answered by the Hon. Ed. W. Wells, of Prescott, in a recent speech; answered in a way that should shut off Democratic croaking on that subject for all time to come. We quote:

"The House of Representatives at its last session foisted away nearly the entire section trying to do something with the land grant, but it collapsed like a balloon, bringing distrust upon your party leaders and ruin to the land grant project of the Democratic party. This held the land grant resumption bills back until the latter part of May and June before they reached the Senate when there they were promptly rejected after the appropriate committee for consideration. Two were specifically reported back from the committee, the Atlantic & Pacific re-union and the Sioux City & St. Paul being the other. The former passed the Senate with amendments, and was sent back to the House where it received no further action.

It is not a law because the House failed to act on favorable amendments, which were in the nature of protection to benefit settlers on the railroad lands. The Texas & Pacific bill, known as commonly called "the back-bone land grant" was killed by a vote in the House by which it was laid on the table under the leadership of Mr. Ellis, Democrat, from Louisiana.

The Hon. Ed. Wells has simply stated the facts in the case as we have quoted them, and if facts will not suffice to convince the Courier it must be truly Democratic in its habits of thinking.

As to "winking at polygamy," we have said to the Courier that the Republican party so far has had no Jerry Black to do the winking thing, but the party would swallow that thing wholesale, as has been the case in the party to which the Courier reports the winking thing, the Republican party, except on Mormon votes in this Territory and elsewhere.

The Republican party would ever insulted the nation and stood reproach to the nation that bears the name of a Republic, by the winking thing, a tender state's death by poisoning itself in the halls of Congress by lying and winking.

So far as the Courier's mention of the slave business is concerned, it has been known to some people in the country that slavery is dead and the war over for the past twenty years; we had supposed that the news had found their way to the Courier office. Tumble to the times, Bro. Marlon; wake up.

THE CAMPAIGN

From all we can learn, the campaign in Arizona, so far as Col. Bean is concerned, is a triumphant success. From the meeting held here on the 13th Col. Bean went to Yuma to begin his eastward tour. At Maricopa he was enthusiastically received and made a telling speech. At Yuma the courthouse was packed by an enthusiastic crowd, among which were many ladies; in fact at all the meetings of Republicans the ladies have been present in large numbers.

At Tucson Col. Bean addressed a crowd of about 3,000 persons on the plaza with great effect. At Benson

the entire population turned out to hear him, and at Tombstone, Sheriff Hall told me he had a portion of the crowd which he had to listen to the eloquent speech of our great Delegate to Congress.

At these meetings Col. Bean spoke effectively, and in fact, his tour has been a perfect oration. Last night he spoke in Florence, and as Col. Head was there the night previous, the people had an opportunity of comparing the candidates directly. The old miners go for Bean, the Republicans are solid for him, the ladies endorse him and every man to the Territory who is in earnest about securing some substantial advantages for this Territory endorse him. He will be elected by a handsome majority.

TELEGRAPHIC.

CLEVELAND ASSAULTED. ALBANY, N. Y., Oct. 21.—This city was thrown into excitement yesterday morning by the report that Governor Cleveland had been assaulted while on his way from the executive mansion to the capitol.

Several reports had it that he had been assaulted and others that he had been fatally wounded. The reports spread with marvelous rapidity, and soon hundreds thronged the capitol and sought out the Governor's room to enquire as to the foundation for the rumors and the condition of the Governor. As is usually the case, there was a slight foundation for the rumor, upon which a superstition or fiction had built, on passing from south to north, stripped of all epithets, it merely says that he had been assaulted in front of the capitol, and that this morning, by Sam Boone, of Chicago, who, who was ejected from the executive chamber last week for creating a disturbance while seeking a pardon. About a week or ten days after Cleveland returned, Boone turned up. The Governor said that he had not reached the case yet. Boone made some threatening remarks and returned. Boone followed the Governor back and forth to the executive mansion, that he had determined that he would leave him but not a speck of his property. Last Monday he was accompanied with his wife, Mrs. Boone, to the executive chamber while her husband remained in the jail room. She became excited and violent in her language and the Governor's attention was suddenly struck at Cleveland who seized her arm before the blow came and requested the woman to be seated and be calm. He rose and she sat down and then screaming fell to the floor in violent hysterics. Two officers then removed her across the street to a house where she was treated. She has been hysterical most of the time since. Boone states that when he heard his wife screaming he rushed into the executive chamber and found the Governor just letting go of her. He was put into the jail room by the orderlies who removed the woman. He claims that the treatment of his wife was so severe that she is in a dying condition, and, overcome by his feelings, he attacked the Governor. A reporter of the Albany Journal who called at the house where Mrs. Boone is, saw her and he says she was shown him by her attendants, where it is alleged, the Governor seized her. She was in a coma condition and apparently dying. Boone was released and went quickly to his boarding house on Lancaster street, where he was arrested shortly afterwards. Dr. Houshoun overtook the Governor and conversed briefly with him about the assault. The Governor proceeded to the capitol and went to work, attending only casually to the matter to the satisfaction of the office. In the office, Boone pleaded "not guilty" and asked for two days in which to prepare his case, as he wanted to telegraph to Judge Boardman Smith, of the Supreme Court before him. The case was put down for Wednesday afternoon. Boone has been seeking a pardon for his brother-in-law, Byron B. Fairbanks, who was sentenced to two years at Auburn for shooting him a crowd assembled near his house on Hall's way, Boone seriously wounding a lay. Boone was with him on the Governor's return, during his recent visit to the State Fair, and asked for the pardon of Fairbanks. The Governor said he would look into the matter, and was recommended to Albany. He mentioned the matter to District Attorney Stonefield, who expressed himself as strongly opposed to granting the pardon, as he did not think there was any ground for clemency.

A TERRIFIC EXPLOSION. ELIZABETH, N. Y., Oct. 21.—An explosion here this morning at the Chamung cement bank created great excitement. The gas had evidently been left turned on in the vault since Saturday night, and had smothered itself. John Ames, a congressman and a cashier of the bank who attempted to enter the vault with a light lamp was the cause of the explosion, which blew him across the room against a counter burning his face and hair. Every window in the bank was shattered and a door also and the lock torn off. A great crowd was attracted by the explosion. Ames' injuries while painful, are not serious.

PO-ITAL TREATY. WASHINGTON, Oct. 21.—The Post Master General and Mexican Minister today concluded a postal convention providing for the exchange of mail matter between the United States and Mexico, at domestic rates of postage.

LOW PRICE OF WHEAT. NEW YORK, Oct. 21.—The Commercial Bulletin says: Wheat sold in

London Saturday lower than at any time within the last hundred years, and in the market at lower prices than have been known since the civil war, with serious questions whether the bed rock has ever been reached.

A TERRIBLE DREAM. CONNELSVILLE, Pa., Oct. 21.—This morning when Rice Orbin came down to breakfast at his parents' home in Bradford, he exclaimed in frightened tones, "I had terrible dream last night, I dreamed I shot a man while out hunting last Thursday." His relatives laugh at the matter, but young Orbin insisted upon going to the scene of the shooting and finally persuaded his brother-in-law named Jensen, to accompany him. Upon arriving at the spot, some five miles from Bradford, in a dense thicket of laurel, was found the body of Jacob Kling with a bullet hole through the brain. Orbin and companion, horrified, return to town; Justice Campbell will hold an inquest to-morrow. Orbin's story is received with some degree of incredulity. The general opinion is that young Orbin shot him accidentally and was afraid to confess both men bear good reputations.

CLEVELAND GETS UP. NEW YORK, Oct. 18.—It was 1.30 this morning when Governor Cleveland returned to the Fifth Avenue Hotel from Brooklyn. He awoke refreshed and arose this morning at 9 o'clock, breakfasted with Colonel Lamont, then received callers until 11 o'clock. Chairman Barlow, Senators Jones and Gorman, Chairman Smith of the State Democratic Committee, delegates from Virginia and a party of gentlemen from Alabama, Nevada, Kansas, Kentucky, and Senator Phillips were among those who called. Governor Cleveland will leave for Albany on Wednesday.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. WASHINGTON, Oct. 18.—General Brad Alvord, U. S. A., expired, it is said.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. EAST SAVANNAH, Mich., Oct. 18.—A dispatch from St. Ignace, Mich., states that the Hon. J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county, died at 11 o'clock, after a long illness, at the residence of his wife, Mrs. Cannon, in East Savannah, Mich.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. WASHINGTON, Oct. 18.—General Brad Alvord, U. S. A., expired, it is said.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. EAST SAVANNAH, Mich., Oct. 18.—A dispatch from St. Ignace, Mich., states that the Hon. J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county, died at 11 o'clock, after a long illness, at the residence of his wife, Mrs. Cannon, in East Savannah, Mich.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. WASHINGTON, Oct. 18.—General Brad Alvord, U. S. A., expired, it is said.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. EAST SAVANNAH, Mich., Oct. 18.—A dispatch from St. Ignace, Mich., states that the Hon. J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county, died at 11 o'clock, after a long illness, at the residence of his wife, Mrs. Cannon, in East Savannah, Mich.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. WASHINGTON, Oct. 18.—General Brad Alvord, U. S. A., expired, it is said.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. EAST SAVANNAH, Mich., Oct. 18.—A dispatch from St. Ignace, Mich., states that the Hon. J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county, died at 11 o'clock, after a long illness, at the residence of his wife, Mrs. Cannon, in East Savannah, Mich.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. WASHINGTON, Oct. 18.—General Brad Alvord, U. S. A., expired, it is said.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. EAST SAVANNAH, Mich., Oct. 18.—A dispatch from St. Ignace, Mich., states that the Hon. J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county, died at 11 o'clock, after a long illness, at the residence of his wife, Mrs. Cannon, in East Savannah, Mich.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. WASHINGTON, Oct. 18.—General Brad Alvord, U. S. A., expired, it is said.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. EAST SAVANNAH, Mich., Oct. 18.—A dispatch from St. Ignace, Mich., states that the Hon. J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county, died at 11 o'clock, after a long illness, at the residence of his wife, Mrs. Cannon, in East Savannah, Mich.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. WASHINGTON, Oct. 18.—General Brad Alvord, U. S. A., expired, it is said.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. EAST SAVANNAH, Mich., Oct. 18.—A dispatch from St. Ignace, Mich., states that the Hon. J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county, died at 11 o'clock, after a long illness, at the residence of his wife, Mrs. Cannon, in East Savannah, Mich.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. WASHINGTON, Oct. 18.—General Brad Alvord, U. S. A., expired, it is said.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. EAST SAVANNAH, Mich., Oct. 18.—A dispatch from St. Ignace, Mich., states that the Hon. J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county, died at 11 o'clock, after a long illness, at the residence of his wife, Mrs. Cannon, in East Savannah, Mich.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. WASHINGTON, Oct. 18.—General Brad Alvord, U. S. A., expired, it is said.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. EAST SAVANNAH, Mich., Oct. 18.—A dispatch from St. Ignace, Mich., states that the Hon. J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county, died at 11 o'clock, after a long illness, at the residence of his wife, Mrs. Cannon, in East Savannah, Mich.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. WASHINGTON, Oct. 18.—General Brad Alvord, U. S. A., expired, it is said.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. EAST SAVANNAH, Mich., Oct. 18.—A dispatch from St. Ignace, Mich., states that the Hon. J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county, died at 11 o'clock, after a long illness, at the residence of his wife, Mrs. Cannon, in East Savannah, Mich.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. WASHINGTON, Oct. 18.—General Brad Alvord, U. S. A., expired, it is said.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. EAST SAVANNAH, Mich., Oct. 18.—A dispatch from St. Ignace, Mich., states that the Hon. J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county, died at 11 o'clock, after a long illness, at the residence of his wife, Mrs. Cannon, in East Savannah, Mich.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. WASHINGTON, Oct. 18.—General Brad Alvord, U. S. A., expired, it is said.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. EAST SAVANNAH, Mich., Oct. 18.—A dispatch from St. Ignace, Mich., states that the Hon. J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county, died at 11 o'clock, after a long illness, at the residence of his wife, Mrs. Cannon, in East Savannah, Mich.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. WASHINGTON, Oct. 18.—General Brad Alvord, U. S. A., expired, it is said.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. EAST SAVANNAH, Mich., Oct. 18.—A dispatch from St. Ignace, Mich., states that the Hon. J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county, died at 11 o'clock, after a long illness, at the residence of his wife, Mrs. Cannon, in East Savannah, Mich.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. WASHINGTON, Oct. 18.—General Brad Alvord, U. S. A., expired, it is said.

DEATH OF GEN. ALYD. EAST SAVANNAH, Mich., Oct. 18.—A dispatch from St. Ignace, Mich., states that the Hon. J. P. Cannon, of Yavapai county, died at 11 o'clock, after a long illness, at the residence of his wife, Mrs. Cannon, in East Savannah, Mich.

Inspector, F. W. Trout, Judges, W. D. Fetter and J. N. Norton. In the matter of the settlement of the judgment of the S. P. R. R. Company against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. In the matter of the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the judgment against the tax collector of Maricopa county, I have to say, first, that there is no doubt but what the county must at some time and in some way settle this claim; second, that while the way in which it is proposed by the S. P. R. R. Company to settle the same is perhaps not strictly legal, yet the practical application of the way is no objection to it. The money went into the different funds of the county; the Supreme Court of the Territory say, by their reversal of the judgment of your District Court, that the money was not due to the county; now, by accepting the proposition of the S. P. R. R. Co. you keep the same sum of money out this year from the funds which you have used for nearly two years. I can see no wrong done, no injustice to any party interested, I am of the opinion that the proposition will be accepted by the board of county commissioners as a matter of good policy on the part of the county. I base my opinion on the power generally given by the board of supervisors to compromise matters of their respective counties.