

NATIONALIZED HIGHWAYS ARE BEING URGED

Washington, July 5.—Over two score of bills already introduced in the special session of the Sixty-sixth Congress make evident the positive demand that is developing all over the United States for nationalized highways and more complete control of federal expenditures on roads by the government.

Though the extra session is yet young, some forty bills having highways as their major theme have been dropped into the legislative hopper, while more than a score of others which deal with the road situation to a greater or lesser degree are now on file.

Chief among these in interest, of course, is the measure of Senator Charles E. Townsend, of Michigan, providing for a national system of highways, a federal highway commission, and a thorough study of the principles governing transportation.

This bill was first introduced at the last session. Since then it has been subjected to a nation-wide criticism, with the result that numerous powerful organizations have gone on record favoring it as a bill which treats the subject in the broadest manner and with due consideration for the varying needs of the respective states.

Evidently the present bureau plan of federal road administration does not now meet with country-wide favor, as aside from the Townsend measure, several others have been introduced which would create a radically different form of control. For instance Senator Wesley Jones of Washington calls for the creation of a department of public works, which would among its other duties include all that is now done by the bureau of public roads.

Representative Osborne of California would create a department of federal highways and establish a national highway system, while Representative Reavis of Nebraska introduces in the house the same measure as is sponsored in the senate by Mr. Jones.

Senator Morris Sheppard of Texas is the author of one bill calling for a military highway along the Southwestern border while Representative Hayden of Arizona also would have the war department at once undertake a survey and investigate the need for a boundary road. Representative Hudsaruth of Texas, has about the same idea, except that he would not delay in starting the building of such a military highway.

Senator Jones of Washington would have a survey of the entire Pacific coast for the purpose of investigating the need of a military road. He would also survey and locate a military and post road from St. Louis to Olympia, Wash.

Representative Lea of California, proposes a highway for military coast defense purposes along the Northern Pacific coast of his state, to be known as the Roosevelt highway. Mr. Hawley of Oregon secures this idea and calls for the construction of the Roosevelt highway along the coast of his commonwealth.

Senator Shields of Tennessee would authorize the secretary of war to prepare a preliminary plan for a system of improved national highways, keeping in mind possible future military requirements.

Representative Robison of Kentucky asks for an increase of \$1,000,000,000 to present federal aid road act appropriations while Representative Ferris of Oklahoma believes that \$400,000,000 should be added.

Many of the Rocky Mountain states representatives have favored measures which would provide for the sale of public lands for use in road improvement, one of the most important of these bills coming from Senator Phipps of Colorado.

There are a number of bills which call for special appropriations for the construction of national park thoroughfares, memorial roads, and military highways.

Delegate Kalaniano'le, of Hawaii, contends that this territory should participate in the distribution of the money appropriated under the federal aid road act.

Senator King, of Utah, believes that the national forests should be returned to the department of the interior, instead of being administered by the department of agriculture, which arrangement, it will be remembered, traces back to the Roosevelt administration, when the change was made to meet the wish of Clifford Smith, the then chief forester. A powerful sentiment undoubtedly exists for the return of the forest reserves to the secretary of the interior.

TALLAHASSEE

Tallahassee, July 5.—The Supreme court has affirmed the judgment of the circuit court for Jackson county in the case of the Aycock Lumber Company, plaintiff in error, vs. Ida Pickle, defendant in error. Memorandum decision. Attorneys: Price and Carter for plaintiff in error; Paul Carter for defendant in error.

The court has affirmed the decree of the circuit court of Putnam county in the case of Palatka Waterworks, plaintiff in error, vs. the City of Palatka, defendant in error. Memorandum decision. Attorneys: Axtell & Rinehart for plaintiff in error; Hilburn & Merryday for defendant in error.

The court has affirmed the decree of the circuit court for Hillsborough county in the case of Crystal River Rock Company, appellant, vs. Finance and Guaranty Company, appellee. Memorandum decision. Attorneys: J. T. Watson, Jr. for appellant; William Hunter and J. W. Frazier for appellee.

The court has affirmed the judgment of the circuit court for Manatee county in the case of Tampa Southern Railway Company, plaintiff in error, vs. F. W. Pledger et al, defendants in error. Opinion by Judge Taylor. Attorneys: H. A. Carr for plaintiff in error; John B. Singletary for defendants in error. This was a writ of error to a judgment in condemnation proceedings.

The court has affirmed the judgment of the circuit court for Duval county in the case of Mildred P. Shoemaker et al, appellants, vs. Arthur M. Powers et al, appellees. The opinion is by Chief Justice Browne. Justices Ellis and West dissent. Attorneys: Baker & Baker for appellants; John T. and Julian Hartridge for appellees.

The court has affirmed the decree of the circuit court for Duval county in the case of Thomas Berry et al, appellants, vs. Eunice Locke et al, appellees. Memorandum decision. Attorneys: Kelsey Blanton for appellants; Milam & Milam for appellees.

The court has affirmed the judgment of the circuit court for Lafayette county in the case of M. B. Lamb, plaintiff in error, vs. D. M. Henderson, defendant in error. Memorandum decision. Attorneys: J. Albert Breur for plaintiff in error; W. P. Chavous for defendant in error.

The court has affirmed the judgment of the circuit court for Jackson county in the case of Isom Wilson, plaintiff in error, vs. the State of Florida, defendant in error. The opinion is by Justice West. Attorneys: W. E. B. Smith for plaintiff in error; Van C. Swearingen, attorney general, and Charles O. Andrews, assistant, for the state.

The court has affirmed the judgment of the court of record for Escambia county in the case of Cary Ellis as marshal of the city of Pensacola, plaintiff in error, vs. Chis Thiesen, defendant in error. The opinion is by Chief Justice Browne. The decision declares inoperative and void an ordinance of the city of Pensacola providing "That every house and building located within the limits of the city of Pensacola, however used or occupied, shall be provided with a sanitary privy that shall be connected with a sewer as provided by existing ordinances." Attorneys: John B. Jones for plaintiff in error; John S. Beard, for defendant in error.

The court has affirmed the judgment of the circuit court for Alachua county in the case of E. A. McCloskey et al, plaintiffs in error, vs. Gainesville National Bank, defendant in error. Memorandum decision. Attorneys: Robert E. Davis for plaintiff in error; W. S. Broome for defendant in error.

The court has affirmed the judgment of the circuit court for Duval county in the case of Seaboard Air Line Railway, plaintiff in error, vs. Edward McLaughlin, defendant in error. Memorandum decision. Attorneys: Fleming & Fleming for plaintiff in error; Thomas B. Adams and R. E. Stillman for defendant in error.

The court has affirmed the judgment of the circuit court for Washington county in the case of T. D. White, Sr., plaintiff in error, vs. State of Florida, defendant in error. The opinion is by Justice West. Attorneys: John H. Carter, L. D. McRae and O. L. Crocker for plaintiff in error; Van C. Swearingen, attorney general, and Charles O. Andrews, assistant, for the state.

The court has affirmed the judgment of the circuit court for Clay county in the case of S. D. Morgan et al, plaintiffs in error, vs. The Commissioners of the Town of Orange Park, Florida, defendants in error. The opinion is by Hon. O. K. Reaves, circuit judge, prepared under the act of 1918, and approved by the justices of the supreme court. Attorneys: James H. Bunch for plaintiffs in error; Milam and Milam for defendants in error.

FORCHIE FASHIONABLE CLEARANCE

The Store for Women

Our Semi-Annual Sale Period Has Come Around
Merchandise Over from One Season to Another
A Sale with Us is More Than Just a Sale
We Have in Stock. Every Garmen

REMEMBER, THE EARLY CHOICE

TOMORROW, MONDAY

THAT'S THE OPENING DATE AND HOUR OF THIS GREAT
NOTHING CHARGED & NOTHING ON



SUITS

Serges, Poiret Twills, Gaberdines, mostly navies, a few tan, brown, grey; sizes 16 to 48; prices \$14.50, \$24.50, \$34.50—Values \$22.50 to \$97.50.

SILK DRESSES

Georgette, Crepe de Chine, Foulards, Satins, Fancy Georgette, assorted priced garments, valued up to \$125.00, are grouped in five lots as follows: \$14.95, \$19.95, \$29.95, \$39.95, \$49.95.

CAPES

Serges and Velours, about 35 to select from, values up to \$29.50, Clearance Sale \$11.95

COATS

All Spring Coats, assorted colors, styles and materials, Your choice \$14.95

WASH DRESSES

White and fancy Voiles and Organdies, a very colossal line to select from at 1/3 off

SILK UNDERWEAR

No doubt you are aware of the scarcity and the high prices on raw silks and all silk materials. We have a great variety of Jersey and Crepe de Chine Underwear which we offer you during our Clearance sale at 1/4 off

This Sale Does Not Mean a Reserve of Any Merchandise Has Been Omitted Here, But the Prices Are Reduced

