

Many have been killed by the law who were later proved innocent. The truth came when it was too late.

Every one of his has to do his duty toward justice and humanity, so sign Leo Frank's petition and sent it to the Anti-Capital Punishment Society of America, 624 S. Michigan av.

It will help save a human life.—
Morris Minovitch, 1548 S. Spaulding.

SHE'S FOND OF THE DOC—NOT!—In The Day Book some time ago I saw an article that "certainly" got my agate.

It was about the said Dr. (What's his name?) and his wonderful test that pronounces you O. K. or otherwise at the morals court.

I took that test myself, and Lord help us, but I'm glad I'm a healthy human being. Of all the fool questions I ever heard Doc has surely got the world cheated.

It reminds me of the day of "kindergarten." I remember one question especially. It was: "Would you forgive any one who struck you sooner than when they were not?"

Now imagine the foolishness of such a question. Ain't that a slicker?

I heard Doc telling one of the lady doctors he thought he ought to charge for the lectures he was delivering somewhere. I didn't quite get it all. Poor Doc! Here's the way he gets his idea of whether you are all there or not; his patients, as it were:

You're pinched, say at 8:30 p. m. Can't locate your bondsman, so you stay in all night. You're a habitual drinker, and, say, "three sheets in the wind" when you go in and by morning it's dying out.

No supper, for a civilized human being couldn't eat what they hand out. (No slam on the bill of fare).

Awake all night and naturally your being in don't make you feel like splitting your sides laughing and, being a woman, you'll naturally worry.

No bath in the morning, no comb, no finger file, no powder, seeing as

how you didn't intend to stay away from your virtuous couch that night. Nice cold water on a December morning to wash in.

Say you've been in before and you don't know whether the judge is going to say \$200 and costs for soliciting.

No breakfast and then, when you are about ready to collapse and you'd give your right eye for one drink, Doc puts you through the "Wonderful Test" that decides and tells you whether you are either crazy or sane.

Now will someone kindly hint how any one in that condition can answer questions? I wonder if he could answer them correctly.

I passed the test and Doc said I was a very bright girl and ought to be given a chance, but he wants it known "He is no officer." Not Doc, He said he would fix it with the judge. He did—\$25 and costs.

I was asked what I thought of the test and pronounced it FINE and at the same time I could have screamed I was so nervous.

Fine chance of your mind being on what they are talking about under those conditions. He gets his subjects free. Why charge for the lectures? And they say he draws a salary.

Well, if Doc is all right, I'm glad I'm not.—"One Who Took the Test."

BREVITY WANTED.—Writers to The Open Forum are again violating your rules of brevity. Frank Smith is a bad offender.

Why not compel the writers to make their notes short and sweet? Cut out the rambling metaphors and similes. We can get their ideas with half the words now used. It would make The Open Forum more interesting and easier to read.

Publicity seekers should also be instructed to confine their important remarks to topics of the day, social and economic questions.

Great writers have said that if you