

THE MISSISSIPPI LYNX.

By Rockett & Middleton.

Devoted to News, Politics, Commerce, Agriculture, &c.

Two Dollars in Advance

"ETERNAL VIGILANCE IS THE PRICE OF LIBERTY."

VOL. 2.

PANOLA, MI., SATURDAY, JULY 18, 1846.

NO. 22

SALE OF JUDGMENTS.

IN PANOLA COUNTY.

J. J. CALDWELL, Sheriff of Panola county, and in obedience to an order of State to me, directed from the Hon. Circuit Court of said county—will on the 26th and 27th days of October, A. D. 1846, offer for sale at the Court House door in the town of Panola, at public auction for cash, the judgments hereafter described, to satisfy Clerks' Sheriffs' fees, and jury tax—and all other costs due thereon, To wit:

One judgment in favor of Joseph Taylor, vs J C Burney, on the 7th day of May 1839, for dol 154,40—costs 24, 104 cents.

One do in favor of Cyrus H Butt, vs Pleasant Thorp on the 7th day of May 1839, for dol 301,95—and dol 23,72 costs.

One do in favor of Thos D Long, vs James M Ross, on the 9th May 1839, for dol 493,60—and dol 22,67 costs.

One do in favor of Mary Derbina, vs John Rayburn, and Geo P Anderson, on the 7th May 1839, for dol 453,65—and 24,794 costs.

One do in favor of Bayliss and Hart, vs Cowan & Phillips, on the 8th Nov 1837, for dol 391,39—and dol 37,67 costs.

One do in favor of Roderick McIver, vs James Davidson, on the 8th Nov 1837, for dol 237,85—costs dol 25,29.

One judgment in favor of Park & Graham, vs Moses Ford, rendered the 8th day of Nov 1837, for dol 640,23—and dol 27,67 costs.

One do in favor of A F McWilliams vs James Davidson, rendered on the 8th day of Nov 1837, for dol 239,34—and dol 27,67 costs.

One do in favor of Horvey and Colbert, vs A F Vizer, rendered on the 8th day of Nov 1837, for dol 132,88—and 36,294 costs.

One do in favor of Dickens, and Taylor, vs Reuben Hearn, rendered the 8th day of Nov 1837, for dol 142,70—and dol 27,67 costs.

One do in favor of Spencer & Brown, vs Alex. F Robertson, rendered the 8th day of Nov 1838, for dol 399,61—and dol 29,42 costs.

One do in favor of James H Hogan, vs William R Robertson, rendered on the 8th day of Nov 1837, for dol 104, 48 cents, and dol 27,544 costs.

One do in favor of James H Curtis, vs James Davidson, rendered the 8th day of Nov 1837, for dol 272,93—and dol 25,92 costs.

And one do in favor of William B Miller, vs David H & N M Mims, rendered on the 8th day of May 1838, for dol 686—50 costs.

One do in favor of John S Browning, Josiah Harris & John B Lewis, vs Robt H Hannah, rendered the 8th day of May 1838, for dol 232,25—and 27, 67 costs.

One do in favor of Werts & Musgraves, & Werts, vs John Allison, rendered the 21st Feb 1840, for dol 771, 57—and 27,794 costs.

One do in favor of Neadham B Farrar, vs Robt E Baity, & John Rayburn, rendered the 7th May 1839, for dol 89,96—and 34,68 costs.

One do in favor of Abselem Robinson & James A Elliot, vs James M Ross, rendered the 19th Feb 1840, for dol 1912,90—and dol 22,794 costs.

One do in favor of George Peery, vs John Rayburn rendered the 19th Feb 1840, for dol 315,71—and 21,844 costs.

One do in favor of T Gilbert & Son, vs John Allison, rendered the 21st Feb 1840, for dol 619,26—and dol 24,294 costs.

One do in favor of Thomas Elms & Co, vs John Allison, rendered the 21st Feb 1840, for dol 300,87—and dol 27,794 costs.

One do in favor of Sam'l Johnson use &c, vs Henry Harrison, rendered the 4th May 1843, for dol 67,30—and dol 24,54 costs.

One do in favor of William H Keeland, vs William Tippet, rendered the 7th May 1845, for dol 274—and dol 21,17 costs.

One do in favor of J Widdle vs Albert G Ellis, rendered the 5th May 1841, for dol 74,80—and dol 21,544 costs.

One do in favor of Edward L Carney use of Neadham B Farrar against Robert E Baity, rendered on the 7th day of May 1839, for dol 94,18—and dol 33,48 costs.

One do in favor of Samuel W. Oakley against G P Anderson, rendered on the 10th day of Nov 1838, for dol 468, 55—and 17,794 costs.

One do in favor of Thomas J Burrows, & Ja's A Taylor, use Ja's F Ruffin, against William S Henderson, rendered on the 7th day of May 1839, for dol 354,33—and dol 22,67 costs.

One do in favor of Lawson McKee, Wm. Young and Isaac McKee, against Henry Wooten, rendered on the 7th day of May 1839, for dol 273,38—and dol 26,17 costs.

One do in favor of B G & W W Mitchell, against John C Burney, John and J M Rayburn, rendered on the 7th day of May 1839, for dol 94,52—and dol 30,00 costs.

One do in favor of John Brown assignee of R H Hanna, against James

May 1839, for \$128,54—and \$24,67 costs.

One do in favor of Spencer & Brown, vs Reuben Hearn, rendered on the 8th day of May 1839, for \$402,41—and \$26,42 costs.

One do in favor of Ja's H Hogan, & William D. Miller, vs John F. Mattingly, rendered on the 6th day of Nov 1838, for \$72,87—and \$27,42 costs.

One do in favor of S and J E Wilkinson, vs John S. Tipton, rendered on the 9th day of Nov 1838, for \$136,88—and \$27,67 costs.

One do in favor of Charles F McKee assignee of William Smith vs Carter F Buckley, rendered on the 9th day of Nov 1838, for \$105,33—and \$25,044 costs.

One do in favor of Wm. Harper, vs Henry Stevens, Wright, Thornton and Neadham Stevens, rendered on the 6th day of Nov 1838, for \$282,50—and \$27,42 costs.

One do in favor of Hiram S Morgan, John S Morgan and Charles H Alenander, vs James H Hogan and Wm. D Miller, rendered on the 6th day of Nov 1838, for \$353,66—and \$29,42 costs.

One do in favor of Kendall F Edgill, vs Wm. B Pollard, and Neadham Stevens, rendered on the 6th Nov 1838, for \$352,50—and \$29,42 costs.

One do in favor of B G and W Mitchell, vs Neadham Stevens, Daniel Stevens and Garland G Nelson, rendered on the 8th day of May 1839, for \$316, 50—and 27,67 costs.

One do in favor of John O Cage, vs Robt H Hanna, rendered the 8th May 1838, for \$165,16—and \$26,42 costs.

One do in favor of Bayless and Hart, vs William J Cowan, rendered the 8th Nov 1837, for \$116,91—and \$27,67 costs.

One do in favor of Bayliss and Hart, vs Cowan, and Phillips, rendered the 8th Nov 1837, for \$450,66—and 27, 67 costs.

One do in favor of Wm. H Moore, and Gideon Moore, vs Daniel Stevens, rendered the 6th Nov 1838, for \$138, 63—and 27,42 costs.

One do in favor of John E Wilkinson, vs Wm N Webster rendered the 6th Nov 1838 for \$158,72—and 26,42 costs.

One do in favor of James C Crenshaw, vs Garland G Nelson, rendered the 6th Nov 1838, for \$419,12—and \$27,22 costs.

One do in favor of Wm Cowan, and James Rose, vs Moses Ford, and Wm Vison, rendered the 6th Nov 1838, for \$227,58 and 29,42 costs.

One do in favor of Wm M and David Park, vs Samuel Arbuckle, rendered the 9th of May 1838, for \$727,02—and \$27,544 costs.

One do in favor of James C Crenshaw, vs A T and Wm Robertson, rendered the 6th Nov 1838, for \$203—and \$29,42 costs.

One do in favor of James Bankhead, vs P R Thornton, rendered the 6th May 1841, for \$4,90—and \$22,67 costs.

One do in favor of James M Allen, Admr, vs James M Ross, rendered the 8th Feb 1840, for 218—and \$24,17 costs.

One do in favor of Charles Baker, vs Pleasant Thorp, rendered the 8th May 1838, for \$411,50—and \$34,47 costs.

One do in favor of L M James use of C Hert Moore, vs James M Ross, rendered the 19th Feb 1840, for \$318,76—and \$22,92 costs.

One do in favor of M D Etridge, vs Eli Justice, rendered 9th Nov 1844, for \$206,89—and 24,17 costs.

One do in favor of W W Coleman, vs Jacob Thompson, rendered 6th May 1841, for \$61,29—and \$25,67 costs.

One do in favor of N. Ragan, vs G W Ragan, rendered 3rd May 1841, for \$259,09—and \$21,294 costs.

One do in favor of F M Sims, vs I C Goff, rendered 5th May 1841, for dol 101,42—and dol 23,294 costs.

One in favor of Geo. Zollicoffer, vs Edward Davis, rendered 4th May 1843, for dol 60,47—and dol 21,92 costs.

One do in favor of Marshall Clarke, vs Edward Davis, rendered 4th May 1843, for dol 624,09—and dol 21,92 costs.

One do in favor of A T and W R Robertson use of Saunders Ford, vs Sam'l A Roberts rendered 5th Nov 1838, for dol 133,60—and dol 31,294 costs.

One do in favor of Barker L Lanier vs Hiram Epps, rendered 8th May 1844, for dol 63,93—and dol 23,67 costs.

One do in favor of W Faison, vs E Stevens, D Stevens and W Roney, rendered 5th May 1841, for dol 140,90—and 28,044 costs.

One do in favor of John R Foy, vs Samuel Arbuckle rendered 5th Nov 1838, for dol 266,67—and dol 41,85 costs.

One judgment in favor of Calvin J. Fields, vs Samuel McCall, rendered on the 8th day of Nov. 1837, for dol 115,64—and dol. 27,67 costs.

One do in favor of A. H. Mitchell, vs James Davidson, rendered on the 8th day of Nov. 1837, for dol. 411,40—and dol. 26,92 costs.

One do in favor of James Davidson, vs A. W. Templeton, rendered the 11th day of Nov. 1837—for costs dol. 25,17.

One do in favor of John E. Wilkerson, assignee, vs. R. Hearne & James

Davidson, rendered on the 8th day of May, 1838, for dol. 154,25—and dol. 28,42 costs.

One do in favor of Calvin J. Fields, Use, W. W. Coleman vs Robert Hanna, rendered on the 8th day of May, 1838, for dol. 191,31—and dol. 27,67 costs.

One do in favor of William Moore, and Gideon Moore, vs. Neadham Stevens, and William B. Pollard, rendered the 6th day, of Nov. 1838, for dol. 138,61—and dol. 29,42 costs.

And do in favor of Charles F. Easton, assignee vs. Neadham Stevens, rendered the 8th Nov. 1838, for dol. 842,66—and dol. 29,42 costs.

One do in favor of Neadham Stevens, vs. Daniel A. Boon, rendered the 7th day, of Nov. 1838, for dol. 3272,46—and dol. 27,17 costs.

One judgment in favor of A W Templeton, Use of J Kelly, vs Robert H Hannah, rendered the 6th Nov 1838, for dol 94,10—and dol 28,17 costs.

One do in favor of Samuel Roberts, Use of Alex D Hutchins, Alex Patton, and William Taylor, vs John Rayburn, and J M Rayburn, rendered on the 6th Nov, 1838, for dol 106,88—and dol 33,20 costs.

One do in favor of F Williamson, vs John Rayburn, Washington Mitchell, James M Rayburn, and G P Anderson, rendered the 6th Nov, 1838, for dol 209,33—and dol 39,64 costs.

One do in favor of Samuel Arbuckle, against James Shegog, rendered the 7th day of May, 1839, for dol 56,72—and dol 24,17 costs.

One do in favor of B G. and W Mitchell, use of Joseph Marshall, against Daniel Stevens, N Stevens, and G G Nelson, rendered on the 8th day, of Nov. 1838, for dol. 304,—and dol 31, 67 costs.

One do in favor of John Gregg, against John Allison, rendered the 21st day, of February, 1840, for dol 153,18,—and dol. 27,794 costs.

One do in favor of Thomas Elms & Co, against S and J E Wilkinson rendered on the 8th day, of May, 1838, for dol 897,—and dol 31,17 costs.

One do in favor of John E Wilkinson, against David Duke, rendered on the 9th day, of May, 1838, for dol 17, 104 costs.

One do in favor of Moses Jackson, against Bazell Graham, and Lawrence G Evans, rendered on the 5th day, of Nov 1838, for dol 87, 02—and dol 27,794 costs.

One do in favor of Everly, & Reese, against John Allison, rendered on the 21st day, of February, 1840, for dol 269,78—and dol 27,794 costs.

One do in favor of B G. and W Mitchell, against John Rayburn, J M Rayburn, and J T Bateman, rendered on the 18th day, of February, 1840, for dol 163,44—and dol 24, 17 costs.

One do in favor of D Hodges, & Co, against John Rayburn, rendered on the 18th day, of February, 1840, for dol 138,35—and dol 23,17 costs.

One do in favor of Alvin Williams, against Neadham Stevens, Daniel Stevens, and John S Kennedy, rendered the 7th day of May, 1839, for dol 90, 88—and dol 32,044 costs.

One do in favor of J E Wilkinson, use of John Williams, against A T Robertson, and J T Bateman, rendered on the 9th day of May, 1839, for dol 292, 48—and dol 23,17 costs.

One do in favor of pleasant Tharp, against Hezekiah Rice, rendered the 9th day of May, 1839 for dol 45,00—and dol 17,67 costs.

One do in favor of Bryan, Rodman, and Heglin, against Vincent Adams, rendered on the 18th day, of February, 1840, for dol 2221,70—and dol 92, 92 costs.

One do in favor of Thomas Parker, against Samuel Arbuckle, rendered on the 18th day of February, 1840, for dol 72,78—and dol 32,92 costs.

One do in favor of Basil B Satterfield, against James Shores, rendered on the 18th day, of February, 1840, for dol 90,10—and dol 33,92 costs.

One do in favor of J E Wilkinson, use of W P Wilkinson, against T F Boyles, rendered on the 18th day, of February, 1840, for dol 86,83—and dol 25,67 costs.

One do in favor of Samuel Arbuckle, against Butler J Burrell, and John C Burney, rendered on the 7th day, of May, 1839, for dol 58,36—and dol 30,42 costs.

One do in favor of Thomas Hunt, use Andrew Govan, against Stephen W Ellis, rendered on the 18th day, of February, 1840, for dol 1031, 10—and dol 21,67 costs.

One do in favor of West H Humphreys, against James M Ross, rendered on the 19th day of February, 1840, for dol 112,33—and dol 22,794 costs.

One do in favor of W C Andrew and J W Thomas, against Reuben Hearn, rendered on the 25th day of April, 1837 for dol 205,33—and dol 27,67 costs.

One do in favor of B G. and W Mitchell, use against J T Bateman, John Rayburn, and B F Morris, rendered on the 9th day, of Nov. 1838, for dol 312,00—and dol 41,044 costs.

One do in favor of Joseph Jones, against Pleasant Tharp, rendered on the 7th day of Nov. 1838, for dol 309,10—and dol 27,544 costs.

One do in favor of James Y. Blocker against Lavid Duke, rendered on the 7th day of May, 1839, for dol 72,00—and dol 20,67 costs.

One do in favor of Robert R Bell, vs A W Templeton and Malcome Henderson, rendered the 8th May, 1838, for \$59,30—and \$32,07 costs.

One do in favor of B G. and W Mitchell use of Joseph Marshall, vs Garland G Nelson, N Stevens, and Daniel Stevens, rendered 8th Nov 1838, for \$506,86—and \$33,92 costs.

One do in favor of Henderson, Walton, and Co vs Lewis Wilkerson, rendered 8th Nov 1837, for \$315,50—and 27,17 costs.

One do in favor of Bayliss and Heart vs Cowan, and Phillips, rendered the 8th Nov 1837, for \$613,30—and \$27, 67 costs.

One do in favor of Bayliss, and Heart vs William J Cowan, rendered the 8th day, of Nov 1837, for \$124,86—and \$27,67 costs.

One do in favor of Bayliss, and Heart, vs Cowan, and Phillips, rendered 8th Nov 1837, for \$612,17—and \$27,67 costs.

One do in favor of Roderick McIver, vs Wm I Cowan, rendered 7th May, 1838, for \$92,50—and \$26,42 costs.

One do, in favor of Nathaniel Howard, use &c, vs William Roberts, J T Bateman, and John Rayburn, rendered 4th Nov 1841, for \$313,19—and \$26, 17 costs.

One do in favor of Thomas Lane, vs Edmund Allen, rendered 9th May, 1839, for dol 83,37—and dol 38,924 costs.

One do in favor of Henry G Smith, vs Solomon Duty, rendered 19th Feb. 1840, for dol 787,50—and dol 23,294 costs.

One do in favor of Fryer, and Anderson, vs John Allison, rendered 21st February, 1840, for dol 445,404—and dol 27,794 costs.

One do in favor of Hildiburn & Watson, vs John Allison, rendered 21st February, 1840, for \$206,28—and dol 29,794 costs.

One do in favor of James Morten, vs W S Henderson, rendered 22nd Feb. 1840, for dol 340,00—and dol 22,794 costs.

One do in favor of Moses Ford, vs James Parks, rendered 22nd February, 1840, for dol 199,24—and dol 22,791-2 costs.

One do in favor of Wm Vison, use Crancer Ford, vs James Parks, rendered 22nd February, 1840, for dol 171,00—and 22,791-2 costs.

One do in favor Wm P Wilkinson, vs John Rayburn, rendered 22nd Feb. 1840, for dol 200,43—and dol 22,791-2 costs.

One do in favor of Levi Beeman, vs Albert G Ellis, rendered 22nd Februn. 1840, for dol 31,01—and dol 13,42 costs.

One do in favor of Lazarus Parker, vs Albert G Ellis, rendered 22nd Feb. 1840, for dol 87,31—and dol 13,42 costs.

One do in favor of J C Wilkerson, and W P Wilkerson, vs Samuel Mc Call, and G P Anderson, rendered 22d February, 1840, for dol 104,93—and dol 22,791-2 costs.

One do in favor James H Hogan, and Wm H Mims, vs Benjamin F Morris, rendered 6th Nov 1838 for dol 109, 83—and dol 28,42 costs.

One do in favor of F Elms, and Sons, vs W and J G Smith, rendered 2nd day of May, 1842, for dol 464,21—and dol 19,541-2 costs.

One do in favor of John Allison, vs Chrales H Morse, Et al rendered 4th May, 1840, for dol 315,44—and dol 27,541-2 costs.

One do in favor Alex T Robertson, vs John R Ward rendered 4th May, 1840, for dol 71,66—and dol 30,42 costs.

One do in favor of S Arbuckle, vs J W Barnett, and T G Hudspeth, rendered 4th May, 1841, for \$122,72—and dol 27,291-2 costs.

One do in favor of S Arbuckle, vs G D Brooks, Et al rendered 3rd May, 1841, for \$83,57—and dol 26,291-2 costs.

One do in favor of Solomon Duty, vs Wm McMillen, rendered 5th May, 1840, for dol 70,96—and dol 23,921-2 costs.

One do in favor of Shahan Beale, & Reynolds, vs Eli Justice, rendered 3rd May, 1843, for dol 85,44—and dol 24,67 costs.

One do in favor of Pleasant Tharp, vs Daniel B Harrison, rendered 3rd May, 1843, for dol 141,03—and dol 23,17 costs.

One do in favor of R H Pattills, vs James Shores, Et al rendered 2nd May, 1843, for dol 142,24—and dol 27,17 costs.

One do in favor of Alfred S Brown, vs David W Cook, rendered 6th Feb. 1838, for dol 61,33—and dol 29,421-2 costs.

One do in favor of C M Cook, adm'x vs Benjamin F Morris, rendered 4th Nov, 1841, for dol 83,00—and dol 30, 541-2 costs.

One do in favor of Sampson, Tams, vs Wm Smith, rendered 8th Nov 1841, for dol 230, eighty eight—and dol 21, 544 costs.

One do in favor of Walton M Shel-

ton, vs Samuel Arbuckle, rendered 7th Nov 1842, for dol 156,34—and dol 29,544 costs.

One do in favor of Charles Temple, vs John B Balch, rendered 10th Nov, 1842, for dol 295,52—and dol 21,544 costs.

One do in favor of Abram Long, vs James Thompson, rendered 10th Nov, 1842, for dol 121,04—and dol 21, 17 costs.

One do in favor of R H Pattills, vs Geo. P Anderson, Et al rendered 2nd May, 1843, for dol 1063,06—and dol 25,67 costs.

One do in favor of Rowland F Hawkins, vs John K Oliver, rendered 8th Nov 1838, for dol 136,95—and dol 27, 42 costs.

One do in favor of James H Hogan, Wm D Miller vs Lawrence G Evans, rendered the 6th day, of Nov 1838, for dol 80,14—and dol 27,42 costs.

Sale within the hours prescribed by law.

J. J. CALDWELL, Sheriff Panola Co. July, 13th 1846.

SELF-MADE MEN—SAM HOUSTON.

The many self-made men who, from the most humble beginnings, have elevated themselves to the highest rank, and are now in Congress, are characteristic traits in the history of our countrymen, and show how superior nature is to artificial embellishment, and what perseverance and indomitably energy will finally effect.

"Look at this newspaper," said one senator recently to another; see how that scamp abuses me."

"Well, the freedom of the press must be sustained. There is no 'divine right' which exempts senators from its scrutiny."

"Very true; but the ungrateful hound of an editor should never have attacked me. Why, sir, he came into our village a ragged fellow, and I bought the cloth and made him the first good suit of clothes he ever wore."

"The devil you did! Why, then, you are a tailor?"

"To be sure I am."

"Oh, how came you to be a lawyer?"

"Why, that was one of the contingencies of getting on the world. I was a common soldier under Gen. Jackson through the Indian wars, and besides that honor, I bid fair to become a common drunkard; and when I got back to our village, I got into so many scrapes—and whipped so many fellows—that I was thrown into jail for sheriff's fees."

"How did you manage to get out of that difficulty?"

"Why, finally my brother paid the costs, and invited me to study law with him."

"You made rapid progress, no doubt?"

"Not very rapid. The difficulty was, that I had never learnt to read; so I educated myself, and studied law at the same time—got admitted—went farther west—got into practice—got into the Legislature—got into Congress—and ecce signum, here I am in the Senate."

That senator is a sensible, well informed, intelligent citizen, fit for any station. Sam Houston, Senator from Texas, is another of those rough and remarkable gems—like the rocky and sterile surface of the Alleghanies, full of mineral riches beneath. Sam was originally a carpenter in Nashville—turned out the shavings from his plane and resolved to go ahead while making grooves and mortices and nailing up posts and sashes. He became Governor of Tennessee, fought as a common soldier in the Indian wars, and secured by his courage and perseverance, the liberty and independence of Texas.

"There, said Gen. Jackson, having a map of Texas before him—"There is the Colorado—there is Bear there's the Alamo? Now, if the Mexicans separate their forces and come down this pass, and Sam can catch 'em under the brow of that range of hills, he'll lick 'em, and take Santy Anny. You'll see!"

Just as the old General predicted, so it turned out at San Jacinto. The English would have made Gen. Houston a duke for achieving such a victory. We see the progress of events: Gen Houston is in the Senate.

One dark, stormy evening, some years ago, a little after tea time, we were coming down Nassau street, and, on turning down Fulton, came upon a tall athletic man, wrapped in a blue cloak.