

SMALL REASONS AND ITS GRADUATES

Address By Hon. W. C. Emerson of Washington

DR. MESERVE'S WORDS

Hon. B. F. Dixon Awards the Diplomas and Confers the Degrees

On the Graduates in Medicine, in Pharmacy and in Law, a Total of Twenty-Nine.

It was a time of special interest at Shaw University yesterday, for at the exercises held during the morning twenty-nine of the students received their diplomas.

The commencement exercises of the Leonard schools of medicine and pharmacy and the department of law of Shaw University was the event and this took place in the chapel yesterday morning, beginning at 10 o'clock. Although the weather was unfavorable the chapel was well filled.

He told the class that Shaw University has simply prepared them to go to work—they were just beginning their career and that today they would, for the first time, stand alone.

The chapel was decorated in palms and ferns and the scene was one of animation. The graduates, the male and female students of the university, and the friends who came to witness the exercises showed the deep interest felt in the audience for the graduates.

There was an unusually large number of graduates of the professional schools present. Among them were Drs. J. J. Jones, Dr. E. A. Atkins, W. Hampton, Va., Dr. W. W. Jones, Dr. C. H. Shepard, of Danville, Dr. C. H. Shepard, of Danville, Dr. C. H. Shepard, of Danville, Dr. C. H. Shepard, of Danville.

The exercises began with the invocation, this followed by a chorus, "Qui tollis," from Fauriel's Mass in B flat. Then came the oration by W. E. Brimley, his theme, "Loyalty to the South."

After returning thanks for the opportunity given him to speak, and saying that he knew of the great work of Shaw University, Mr. Emerson declared that he came with no special message, but rather as a free lance observer.

Then the speaker spoke of the people of this life as seen by others, and told of various incidents showing the rush of life. He talked of the horrors of war and the efforts to have arbitration settle the strife of nations.

With but slow progress, and of the vast sums spent in wars, and in the vast numbers of an armless man never gets into a fight.

Mr. Emerson held that there is too much effort in times of peace to get alive a martial spirit and that America is not getting in the hands of the high authority for the injunction "speak softly, but carry a big stick" he said it was all right except the big stick, that soft words will turn away almost any wrath the swagging big stick will bring it on.

The speaker talked of strife in high finance, he craze of money getting, and said this is the curse of the time in America, bringing on the trust evil with all its dangers to the masses of government.

There's a reason. GRAPE-NUTS

CAMPAIGN BEGUN To Free Lactal Fluid From Bacteriological Condition.

The committee appointed at a recent meeting of the Raleigh Board of Health to inspect the dairies of Raleigh and prepare a new ordinance for the government of the dairies and control of the milk supply, yesterday decided to begin work actively and at once.

A special sub-committee was selected by the committee to take up the matter of inspection, the sub-committee being composed of Dr. Tait Butler, State veterinarian; Dr. Joseph Graham and Prof. F. L. Stevens, of A. and M. College.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

The campaign for pure milk for Raleigh began several weeks ago, originating with the city physicians, and it is their purpose not to stop until their objects are achieved.

MAD DOG RUNS AMUCK. He Necessitates the Killing of Several Other Dogs.

(Special to News and Observer.) Greensboro, N. C., April 13.—A hydrophobia dog, created considerable excitement by biting five dogs and a fine horse belonging to Alderman Phipps before being killed by Phipps' son.

Posses of citizens and policemen are searching for dogs bitten as the mad dog was seen to bite a dog and a horse suffering from rabies. Two dogs so far have been killed.

In the Federal court this afternoon prayer for judgment on James Taylor's appeal was continued to April 24th, following fifteen hundred dollars being the convicted store keeper's bond.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

SHOT BY A WOMAN Husband Opened Door to Wife, Who Fired.

(By the Associated Press.) Cripple Creek, Colo., April 13.—Mrs. S. W. Vidler, wife of a newspaper man, shot and killed Mrs. H. Douglas in a room in which Mrs. Vidler was visiting.

After the shooting Mrs. Vidler expressed regret over the affair. She was released on a \$5,000 bond. No charge was made against her husband, and he also was released.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

Mr. Vidler says that Mrs. Douglas had told him she was born in Calcutta, India, was a divorced wife and had two children in New York. She was about 32 years old. She had lived in Denver, and Colorado City during the last eight months and had been in Cripple Creek but a few days.

TO OUST THE NEGRO Washington Presbyterians Draw Color Line.

Excited Discussion Over the Proposition For Separate Presbyteries in Northern Presbyterian Church.

Despite the fight made by sturdy friends of the negro in the Northern Presbyterian Church, his days there in the Presbyteries with the white men seem to be numbered, even with Justice Harlan and other prominent men pleading for him.

There was a clashing of opposing views on the color line at a meeting of Presbyterians in Westminster Church in Washington, D. C., on Tuesday, and the overture in favor of favoring Presbyteries on race and color lines was adopted. This view has already been adopted by a majority of the Presbyteries, as has the overture for a union with the Cumberland Presbyterian church.

Chairman Radcliffe opposed the whole business, saying that the overture did not recognize the rights of whites and blacks and the overture would involve discrimination. This brought the only colored preacher in the Presbytery, Rev. J. F. Dinkie, to the platform. He opposed the overture and said: "It may not be popular, but we must stand up for principle, and there is no option left to us as Christians. Christ was not ashamed to eat with publicans and sinners, and he drew no distinction among men on account of their color. Christ died for all races." He declared the growth of Presbyterianism had nothing to do with white or black, but depended on "push and energy," quoting an Englishman who said: "I have worked hard for thirty years among colored people, and there are not today five white men in the city of Atlanta who would invite me into their homes." In closing he declared: "It is a base surrender to such sentiment as that for the great Presbyterian church to adopt such an overture as that proposed. It would be a surrender to the union with the Cumberland Presbyterians, thus diluting Calvinism and sacrificing twenty or thirty thousand faithful old members of the colored race." Much applause.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

Justice John M. Harlan, of the United States Supreme Court, spoke against turning out the negro. He objected to the use of the word "Northern" he said that during the war was for the Union, for his country, but not as a "Northern" man.

"King Quality" GARDEN SEEDS

We believe the assortment of garden and flower seeds we are now offering has never been equaled in Raleigh. IN PRICES, VARIETY and QUALITY.

Bulst's Morning Star Peas, q. t. . . . . 20c.; bushel \$5.00 Selected Early Acme Tomato, oz. . . . . 15c.; lb. 1.00 Early Curled Simpson Lettuce, oz. . . . . 5c.; lb. 50c. Early Deep Scarlet Raddish, oz. . . . . 5c.; lb. 50c. Early Jersey Wakefield Cabbage, oz. . . . . 10c.; lb. \$2.00

Market gardeners and public institutions should send us a list of their requirements and get our prices before buying.

Market gardeners and public institutions should send us a list of their requirements and get our prices before buying.

W. H. King Drug Co. Raleigh, N. C.

Two Stores A. J. RUFFIN, President LEO. D. HEARTT, Vice-President & Gen. Mgr. H. F. SMITH, Cashier.

Carolina Trust Company. Capital, \$100,000.

Deposits Received, Interest Allowed Acts in all Trust Capacities, Registrar of Stocks and Bonds, Business of Residents and Non-Residents given Special Attention. Safe Deposit Vaults.

ROAD EXEMPTION WORDS OF PRAISE

Special Meeting of Board of County Commissioners. Kindest of Treatment at Keeley. Cured Five Years Ago.

The Board of County Commissioners met in special session yesterday, the principal business being to advise with the new road superintendent relative to the work on the county roads.

An important order was made in regard to road exemption, and the present amount to be paid for exemption is \$2.50. The amount was formerly \$3.00.

The order is as follows: "In view of the fact that the new road law prescribes that every man in the county between the ages of 21 and 45 years of age, except residents of incorporated towns, are liable for road duty, and in view of the fact that a man can secure an exemption from said road duty by paying to the county treasurer \$2.50. It is, therefore, ordered that the treasurer is instructed to secure said amount and issue an exemption up to and including May 5th, 1905, at sunset, after which time the board will enforce the law to the letter."

L. E. Smith, declined the road supervisors of Middle Creek township, and W.