

times. Mr. Croker stated that his opinion was that the corruption in Tweed's time was...

Q—You were a Judge of the Supreme Court for many years. Was it not a bridge of the Court...

Q—How long were you on the bench altogether? A—Twenty-eight years.

Q—How much have you heard of judicial candidates being assessed large sums of money? A—I have heard of such things.

Q—How much have you heard of their being assessed? A—Have been of assessments ranging from \$10,000 to \$25,000.

Q—Have you ever heard, as has been testified to here by Mr. Croker, that the appointment of referees by judges and other judicial appointments have been controlled by political considerations? A—I have heard of that.

Q—Will you state whether made any such claim as that? A—I have heard of it, but I do not know whether it is true or not.

Q—You were a Judge of the Supreme Court for many years. Was it not a bridge of the Court...

Q—How long were you on the bench altogether? A—Twenty-eight years.

Q—How much have you heard of judicial candidates being assessed large sums of money? A—I have heard of such things.

Q—How much have you heard of their being assessed? A—Have been of assessments ranging from \$10,000 to \$25,000.

Q—Have you ever heard, as has been testified to here by Mr. Croker, that the appointment of referees by judges and other judicial appointments have been controlled by political considerations? A—I have heard of that.

Q—Will you state whether made any such claim as that? A—I have heard of it, but I do not know whether it is true or not.

Q—You were a Judge of the Supreme Court for many years. Was it not a bridge of the Court...

Q—How long were you on the bench altogether? A—Twenty-eight years.

Q—How much have you heard of judicial candidates being assessed large sums of money? A—I have heard of such things.

Q—How much have you heard of their being assessed? A—Have been of assessments ranging from \$10,000 to \$25,000.

Q—Have you ever heard, as has been testified to here by Mr. Croker, that the appointment of referees by judges and other judicial appointments have been controlled by political considerations? A—I have heard of that.

Q—Will you state whether made any such claim as that? A—I have heard of it, but I do not know whether it is true or not.

Q—Is Mr. Richard Croker, Jr., a stockholder? A—I do not know.

Q—Have you the charge of sending out the notices to the stockholders? A—I make out the forms, but the clerk sends them out.

Q—Well, is John F. Carroll a stockholder? Or Peter F. Meyer? Or John B. Sexton? A—Not that I know of.

Q—Who had charge of the incorporation of the company? A—That I do not know.

Q—Your corporation leases piers from the Dock Department, does it not? A—We have one at Forty-sixth street and the North River.

Q—What rental do you pay for that? A—I think \$2,700 or \$3,000.

Q—How much space have you there? A—The whole pier.

Q—Have you any other piers? A—Yes, at Ninety-first street and East River, the whole pier.

Q—What rental do you pay for that? A—My impression is it is the same, but I am not sure. I am not in charge of that.

Q—Have you a pier on Wallabout Market? A—Not now. We had last year.

Q—How much did you pay for that? A—Twenty-five hundred dollars rental.

Q—Was not that the same as the former lessees of the dock said? A—I believe not, about the same.

Q—Who tends to the leases for the Department of Docks? A—I don't know.

Q—Have you ever talked with Mr. Meyer about it? A—I don't think I know the gentleman.

Q—Or Mr. Murphy? A—Nor him.

Q—Or Mr. Crum? A—I have met Mr. Crum, but I never talked to him on the matter.

Q—You supply ice to any of the city departments? A—To the Department of Charities and Correction.

Q—Do you supply all their ice? A—I don't know as to that.

Q—What price do they pay? A—I think \$3.15 a ton.

Q—Were there not lower bids? A—I never heard of them.

Q—Do you supply any other city department? A—The retail branch may be supplying some of the public offices, I do not know.

Q—After getting the names of the men to charge the retail trade Mr. Moss dismissed the witness. He had previously obtained the name of the man who addresses the stock communications, with a view, presumably, to discovering whether the men whose names he had called over were among the stockholders of the concern.

JOHN F. CARROLL CALLED.

There was a great gathering of neeks when John F. Carroll was next called to the stand.

Q—You were a Judge of the Supreme Court for many years. Was it not a bridge of the Court...

Q—How long were you on the bench altogether? A—Twenty-eight years.

Q—How much have you heard of judicial candidates being assessed large sums of money? A—I have heard of such things.

Q—How much have you heard of their being assessed? A—Have been of assessments ranging from \$10,000 to \$25,000.

Q—Have you ever heard, as has been testified to here by Mr. Croker, that the appointment of referees by judges and other judicial appointments have been controlled by political considerations? A—I have heard of that.

Q—Will you state whether made any such claim as that? A—I have heard of it, but I do not know whether it is true or not.

Q—You were a Judge of the Supreme Court for many years. Was it not a bridge of the Court...

Q—How long were you on the bench altogether? A—Twenty-eight years.

Q—How much have you heard of judicial candidates being assessed large sums of money? A—I have heard of such things.

Q—How much have you heard of their being assessed? A—Have been of assessments ranging from \$10,000 to \$25,000.

Q—Have you ever heard, as has been testified to here by Mr. Croker, that the appointment of referees by judges and other judicial appointments have been controlled by political considerations? A—I have heard of that.

Q—Will you state whether made any such claim as that? A—I have heard of it, but I do not know whether it is true or not.

Q—You were a Judge of the Supreme Court for many years. Was it not a bridge of the Court...

Q—How long were you on the bench altogether? A—Twenty-eight years.

any something about his willingness that the paper should be used in questioning the witness. But Mr. Mazeret did not say, in referring to the witness, that he was a "longer reach."

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

Mr. Moss—Now, Mr. Carroll, what is in that paper? The witness reaching for it—Give it to me?

RESTORED HIM TO HEALTH No Fine Phrases Necessary to Tell the Merits of Paine's Celery Compound.



Paine's celery compound has never had to rely upon fine phrases or adages from Poor Richard's almanac to bring its merits to the attention of the public. Its credentials are simply the plain, honest, straightforward, unvarnished reports of those who have used it.

Neighbors would not take one another's immediate benefit some sick member in their household has derived from this great Spring remedy.

Justice Pryor's assessment. The hearing will be continued at 10 o'clock this morning in room 70 or 74, Post office building.

McLaughlin won't answer Grady. His Police Record is Open to Inspection, and He Doesn't Care What Grady Says.