

TERMS, PAID IN ADVANCE.
One Year..... \$1.00
Six Months..... .75
If not Paid in Advance,
\$3.00 a Year.

The Vermont Watchman.

PEOPLE WANT A LIVE
PAPER
"WATCHMAN" IS
PUBLISHED FOR PEOPLE

VOL. 94-4872.

MONTPELIER, VT. WEDNESDAY AUGUST 30, 1899.

NUMBER 34.

Cotton Blankets.

10-4 SIZE ALL WHITE.

White, Gray and Tan, With Colored Borders.

BUY THEM NOW

and secure an extra quality for the price.

39 CENTS PER PAIR.

L. P. & H. C. Gleason.

CLOTHING AND HATS

for Fall and Winter Wear coming in every day.

The Stein Black Clothes and Guyer Hats will be among the first arrivals.

A. D. FARWELL.

HOW IT LOOKS

The holder of a matured Endowment Policy in the Equitable Society sends the following graphic illustration of his feelings: "Twenty years ago when I was induced by a persistent agent to take out my Endowment Policy, the premium looked very large and appeared to me like this:—

\$49.79

While the Endowment, looked at through the wrong end of the telescope of twenty years, appeared like this:—

\$1,000.00

Now, my policy has matured, and coming just when I need money, it looks like this:—

\$1,568.02

While, looking back at the annual premiums I have paid, and realizing that they are amounts that would have been saved in no other way, this is the appearance they have:—

\$49.79.

W. H. S. WHITCOMB,
Manager.

100 Church Street,
BURLINGTON, VT.



LAST WEEK OF THE Mark Down Sale!

We Give You Trade Winners in Every Department.

SUITS, CAPES AND SKIRTS AT 1-2 PRICE.

TEMPLE-McCUEN CO., Montpelier, Vt.

Kimball Union Academy, LOW TELEPHONE RATES
MERIDEN, N. H. MONTPELIER EXCHANGE.

New buildings, increased facilities, delightful surroundings.
Four years' course of study. Young men and women fitted for the best colleges.
Elocution, Physical training, music.
Students of limited means received on the \$100 "a year plan."
Fall term begins September 13, 1899.
Send for catalogue.

W. H. CUMMINGS, A. M.,
Principal.

REV. FRANCIS E. CLARK, D. D.,
President Board of Trustees.

ONLY \$25 00 A YEAR, partly metallic circuit, measured service for a telephone, at place of business or residence.

Can you afford to be without it?

Manager Will Furnish All Particulars.

NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH CO.

Watchman & Journal.

PUBLISHED EVERY WEDNESDAY BY
The Vermont Watchman Company,
At Montpelier, Vt.
Business Mere Mention.

I want to let the people who suffer from rheumatism and sciatica know that Chamberlain's Pain Balm relieved me after a number of other medicines and a doctor had failed. It is the best liniment I have ever known of. J. A. Deane, 92. Thousands have been cured of rheumatism by this remedy. One application relieves the pain. For sale by C. Blakely and W. E. Merrill & Co.

PREPARE FOR SPRING.—Don't let this season overtake you before you have attended to the important duty of purifying your blood with Hood's Sarsaparilla. By taking this medicine now you may save sickness that will mean time and money as well as suffering later on. Hood's Sarsaparilla will give you rich, red blood, good appetite, good digestion and a sound, healthy body. It is the greatest and best spring medicine because it is the One True Blood Purifier. Its unequalled record of marvelous cures has won for it the confidence of the whole people.

DOES COFFER AGREE WITH YOU?—If not, drink Grain-O—made from pure grains. A lady writes: "The first time I made Grain-O I did not like it but after using it for one week nothing would induce me to go back to coffee." It nourishes and feeds the system. The children can drink it freely with great benefit. It is the strengthening substance extra grains. Get a package to-day from your grocer, follow the directions in making it and you will have a delicious and healthful table beverage for old and young. Fifteen cents and twenty-five cents.

DO YOU WANT ANYTHING IN THE LINE of drugs and medicines—no matter what? If so call on Fred A. Ainsworth, proprietor of the new Williamsport drug store, on Depot street, one door east of J. K. Lynch's store. If, perchance, he may not have exactly what you desire, he will aim to supply it in the shortest possible time. If goods and honest prices and square dealing will do it, he is bound to secure a fair share of public patronage. Sewing-machine supplies and a popular line of stationery are specialties. Give him a call.

HAVING A GREAT RUN ON CHAMBERLAIN'S COUGH REMEDY.—Manager Martin, of the Pierson drug store, informs us that he is having a great run on Chamberlain's Cough Remedy. He sells five bottles of that medicine to one of any other kind, and it gives great satisfaction. In these days of grippe there is nothing like Chamberlain's Cough Remedy to stop the cough, soothe the throat and lungs and give relief within a very short time. The sales are growing, and all who try it are pleased with its prompt action. South Chicago Daily Calumet. For sale by C. Blakely and W. E. Merrill, Druggists.

Have your furs repaired and remodeled now, it will be to your advantage, as my repairs are equal to new. If you think of getting a new fur garment, make it with fur coat, or collar, it will pay you to consult me as I have the correct styles, and my selection of Alaska seal skins, Persian lamb, mink and martens, satins and silks are of the best in the market. The best furs are the cheapest in the end. I have coon skins, Wambaw, natural black lamb, and quilts linings for gents and ladies furs coats. See my goods and prices. Satisfaction guaranteed.

A. C. SPINK, Furrier,
Montpelier, Vt.

Corn on the Cob.

There was a sound of revelry by night, and Vermont's capital had gathered there. Her beauty and her charms attracted the scene was Edgar Cummings' attractive farm premises in East Montpelier, the time Friday evening, the occasion a corn roast, the hostesses the Thomas ladies, single and double, their guests of male and female and Benedictines. Three hay racks with four stout horses to each took the revelers to the feast precincts. In Mr. Cummings' parlour was the banquet hall, arched by the canopy of night, with "stars for tapers tall," supplemented by the world light of a huge bonfire and the steady gleam from a reflector the obliging host had arranged to assist in dispelling the evening darkness a service to which Chinese lanterns suspended from the branches of the trees added their lustre and loaned their powers of fascinating effect.

When the fagots had spent their rage in flame, and were reduced to glowing embers, some bushels of the sweet maize and baskets of sweet tubers were produced, and with the instruments and conveniences for roasting. There soon arose a sound as of innumerable rodents in the good farmer's crib of corn, and there were notes of glad and exclamations of delight. Marshmallows, crackers and cheese varied the festivity that these articles of riotous living should not be lost. The guests of the company had added several cubits to the pleasure of this "old accustomed feast" by inviting all the wandering musicians whose harp and violins have for several days and nights made the town vocal with minstrelsy. On the green the Virginia reel, and the waltzing matrons, who were invited, and with just and song the merry hours of the night passed, till the proximity of a grave yard and the admonition the approach of the hour of midnight suggested, motioned the party to seek their homes.

For the courtesies of Mr. Cummings, his solicitude for the pleasure and comfort of his guests, his kindness in placing his very delightful premises at their disposal, the Thomas express unlimited appreciation.

Death of Father Lynch.

Very Rev. Thomas Lynch of Fair Haven, vicar general of the Catholic diocese of Vermont for the past forty years, died suddenly in his carriage Saturday afternoon. Father Lynch had been to make a sick call at Representative John Delehanty's at Hydeville, two miles east of Fair Haven. He appeared in his usual health on leaving Mr. Delehanty's house. He had not driven far, however before he felt ill, and two young ladies riding by noticed that something was wrong with him and called the attention of the people in the neighborhood. They stopped the carriage and were helping him out of the carriage when he died.

Father Lynch was a native of the county of Meath, Ireland. He was ordained a priest in 1855, and came to Vermont to America. He first located in Vermont at Burlington in 1858. In 1869 when Rev. Bishop De Gonsbriand went to Rome to be consecrated the vicar general of Vermont, Father Lynch was called by Father Lynch to Burlington to become rector of St. Mary's cathedral and administrator of the diocese during the absence of his superior. On three subsequent occasions Father Lynch officiated in the same capacity during the absence of the bishop. Since 1890 he had been pastor at the Catholic church at Fair Haven. Father Lynch was prominent in church affairs outside his own diocese, and was a man of note both as an orator and administrator.

Oliver Bacon, proprietor of Bacon's Camp at Elmore pond, who is well known in Montpelier and Barre, was attacked by a bull in his pasture Sunday evening and dangerously ill, not fatally injured. The savage animal first struck Mr. Bacon in the pit of the stomach knocking him down and breaking his breast bone. Mr. Bacon was then repeatedly tossed a distance of twenty-six rods and finally thrown under a wire fence. Bacon's hired man seeing the at-

MONTPELIER AND VICINITY.

LOCAL HAPPENINGS.

Mrs. Flora Hubbard is packing her household goods preparatory to moving to Albany, N. Y., where her son, is engaged in the restaurant business.

Miss M. E. Tromblee was called to Sagar Hill on Saturday by the illness of Mrs. Lincoln, her sister, who is spending the summer at the Sunset Hill House.

The Sunday-school of the Church of the Messiah will re-open with a general exercise next Sunday noon. The quarterly communion service will be held at 3:30 p. m.

James Burke was convicted in city court Monday evening of a third offense of intoxication. He appealed his case to county court and in the meanwhile is in the custody of the officer.

Capt. F. L. Howe of Northfield was in town Tuesday. He says his company will be recruited to its full strength and will be equipped before the regiment is mustered at Montpelier in October.

Captain H. C. Lull is expected to speak at the campfire of the Orange County Veterans' Association reunion, to be held in Williamstown August 29. The reunion is to last two days, August 29 and 30.

Paul Sgobel and wife have conveyed to Frank E. Simons and wife a parcel of land situated on the easterly side of the road leading from Montpelier to Westchester. The consideration named in the deed is \$700.

Col. Fred E. Smith left this town for Newport to attend the annual meeting of the Officers' Reunion Society. From Newport he will go to Desiring, Me. where J. Elliott Smith, his brother, is sojourning.

The sixty-sixth annual convention of the Universalists of Vermont and Province of Quebec, and the sixth anniversary of the formation of the Vermont Christian Union will be held at Bethel August 28, 29, 30, 31.

The marriage of Miss Bertha L. Stratton and Joseph Viau will occur at the home of Mr. and Mrs. George O. Stratton on Thursday, September 1. It is a quiet wedding to which no invitations will be issued.

Robert Merchant of Barre was convicted in city court Monday afternoon of three offenses of selling. He was fined \$25 and costs of \$20. He appealed his case to county court and furnished bail for his appearance in the amount of \$300.

As a result of the disclosure in city court, Robert Merchant of Barre was arrested on charge of selling, by Officer Deane, who pleaded not guilty. John Sullivan testified to having purchased ale and brandy at Merchant's house in Barre on Saturday.

The Hampton Quartette attracted a small audience at Bethel church Friday evening, but the entertainment given by the quartette was very enjoyable. The programme outlined Friday evening was carried out. A collection was taken at the close of the entertainment.

Sullivan was arrested Saturday evening for intoxication. Sullivan gave Officer Wood a hot chase but the officer's bull dog closed the race by nabbing Sullivan by the bosom of his pantaloons and holding him until he was sober.

The sixty-sixth annual convention of the Universalists of Vermont and the Province of Quebec, and the sixth anniversary of the formation of the Vermont Christian Union will be held in the lecture room at five o'clock in the afternoon when business will be considered of importance to all who have attended the seminary. The movement toward forming a new organization is meeting with favor. About twenty have already signified their intention of being present at the meeting, and they heartily endorse the scheme.

Several visiting and resident alumni of the Montpelier Seminary have, during the summer, considered the advisability of forming a new alumni association to meet in the fall that those who cannot be here in the spring may have an opportunity to come and meet their old school friends. To this end a meeting was held last week and a committee was chosen to invite the young alumni of the Seminary, and in fact all those who have attended the school, whether graduates or not, to attend a meeting to be held Monday, September 18, for the purpose of forming a permanent organization. A light banquet will be served at which toasts will be offered by representatives of Yale, Harvard, Amherst, Wesleyan, Dartmouth and the University of Vermont who are alumni of Montpelier Seminary, after which a reception will be held in the seminary parlors. The business meeting will be held in the lecture room at five o'clock in the afternoon when business will be considered of importance to all who have attended the seminary. The movement toward forming a new organization is meeting with favor. About twenty have already signified their intention of being present at the meeting, and they heartily endorse the scheme.

Boosworth Burglary Case.

The hearing in the case of State against Winford Boosworth was begun in city court Monday afternoon. M. M. Gordon and James Brown appeared for the State and F. L. Laird for the respondent.

It appears that within the past year and a half Mr. Cross' store at Gouldsville has been entered several times and petty burglaries committed in the store. The indictment which Boosworth was arrested the date set up is July 31, 1899. But when he came up for hearing the State exercised the right of postponing the trial within three years which is allowed by the statute limitations and introduced evidence in connection with the burglary which was perpetrated at the store on the night of May 4, 1898. This move on the part of the State disconcerted the plans of defence which had been made by the respondent's counsel as he had prepared to furnish an alibi for his client on the first named date.

Only two witnesses for the State were examined, Mr. Cross, the proprietor of the store, and Eugene Robbins, his clerk. Robbins, who was in the store at the time in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he had a running account, settling the same as he received his pay from time to time. He was on intimate terms with both witnesses, and the contents of the bill of sale taken from the store on the night of the robbery, in all essential points, was in substance as follows: Boosworth was employed as a store clerk in Gouldsville and was a regular customer at the store, where he