

SAYS GAYNOR'S FOES CONVICTED BECKER

Ex-Lieutenant's Counsel Begins
Fight to Have Death Sen-
tence Reversed.

PRISONER'S WIFE PRESENT

Rosenthal Murder Trial Called
Greatest Conspiracy
of This Age.

ABRANT, Dec. 1.—Arguments before the Court of Appeals for a reversal of judgment in the case of ex-Police Lieutenant Charles Becker, who was convicted of the murder of Herman Rosenthal in front of the Hotel Metropole in New York city on July 16 of last year, was commenced at today's session of the court.

Becker was represented by Arthur Palmer and Joseph A. Shay, his trial attorneys, John P. McIntyre, not appearing in the higher court. Assistant District Attorney Robert C. Taylor will conduct the People's side of the case in an hour's argument to-morrow afternoon. Mr. Taylor commenced his argument five minutes before the court adjourned today.

The appeal in the case of the four gunmen convicted for the same crime was to have been argued today, but because the brief of counsel for these four was not served on the district attorney until Saturday, Mr. Taylor asked that the arguments on these appeals be postponed until December 16.

Chief Judge Cullen and Associates Judge John Clinton Gray and William Bartlett are sitting during this term of the court. Judge William E. Wagner presiding as Chief Judge. The session will last until December 15, when an adjournment will be taken until January 5. A decision in the Becker case is not expected before the middle of January.

The appeal in the case of the four gunmen convicted for the same crime was to have been argued today, but because the brief of counsel for these four was not served on the district attorney until Saturday, Mr. Taylor asked that the arguments on these appeals be postponed until December 16.

Chief Judge Cullen and Associates Judge John Clinton Gray and William Bartlett are sitting during this term of the court. Judge William E. Wagner presiding as Chief Judge. The session will last until December 15, when an adjournment will be taken until January 5. A decision in the Becker case is not expected before the middle of January.

The appeal in the case of the four gunmen convicted for the same crime was to have been argued today, but because the brief of counsel for these four was not served on the district attorney until Saturday, Mr. Taylor asked that the arguments on these appeals be postponed until December 16.

Chief Judge Cullen and Associates Judge John Clinton Gray and William Bartlett are sitting during this term of the court. Judge William E. Wagner presiding as Chief Judge. The session will last until December 15, when an adjournment will be taken until January 5. A decision in the Becker case is not expected before the middle of January.

The appeal in the case of the four gunmen convicted for the same crime was to have been argued today, but because the brief of counsel for these four was not served on the district attorney until Saturday, Mr. Taylor asked that the arguments on these appeals be postponed until December 16.

Chief Judge Cullen and Associates Judge John Clinton Gray and William Bartlett are sitting during this term of the court. Judge William E. Wagner presiding as Chief Judge. The session will last until December 15, when an adjournment will be taken until January 5. A decision in the Becker case is not expected before the middle of January.

The appeal in the case of the four gunmen convicted for the same crime was to have been argued today, but because the brief of counsel for these four was not served on the district attorney until Saturday, Mr. Taylor asked that the arguments on these appeals be postponed until December 16.

Chief Judge Cullen and Associates Judge John Clinton Gray and William Bartlett are sitting during this term of the court. Judge William E. Wagner presiding as Chief Judge. The session will last until December 15, when an adjournment will be taken until January 5. A decision in the Becker case is not expected before the middle of January.

The appeal in the case of the four gunmen convicted for the same crime was to have been argued today, but because the brief of counsel for these four was not served on the district attorney until Saturday, Mr. Taylor asked that the arguments on these appeals be postponed until December 16.

Chief Judge Cullen and Associates Judge John Clinton Gray and William Bartlett are sitting during this term of the court. Judge William E. Wagner presiding as Chief Judge. The session will last until December 15, when an adjournment will be taken until January 5. A decision in the Becker case is not expected before the middle of January.

The appeal in the case of the four gunmen convicted for the same crime was to have been argued today, but because the brief of counsel for these four was not served on the district attorney until Saturday, Mr. Taylor asked that the arguments on these appeals be postponed until December 16.

Chief Judge Cullen and Associates Judge John Clinton Gray and William Bartlett are sitting during this term of the court. Judge William E. Wagner presiding as Chief Judge. The session will last until December 15, when an adjournment will be taken until January 5. A decision in the Becker case is not expected before the middle of January.

Becker, as without him District Attorney Whitman would have been unable to obtain corroboration of the plot except from accomplices, and outside corroboration is necessary for a conviction. The attention Schepps has won from the Paris correspondents pleases him immensely, and the little man, not quite so dapper as he was in New York, is sure that he will get his price.

Would Appear Before U. S. Consul. He is trying his best to play one newspaper against the other. At present his hopes have simmered down to three papers, and he is fairly confident that one of the three will accept his terms. He wants \$5,000 down on delivery of the affidavit to the Paris correspondent of the newspaper buying it and \$5,000 upon publication of the affidavit in New York. He agrees to attest to his affidavit before the American Consul here.

The informer needs ready money and that is the reason of the remarkable bargain he is offering for \$500. He thought he had a ready purchaser in one New York newspaper, but that paper suddenly remembered that he had got a fairly large sum from it some months ago on an important tip which he agreed to give it. He never made good on the tip, so that paper has now definitely broken off negotiations with him.

Schepps has hinted at what he will disclose for \$10,000—but only in the most general terms. "It will astound the world," he says. "It will give Becker a new trial and will free him. It will change the whole situation in regard to the case."

Offers Proof of Perjury. He adds that the important parts of his affidavit can be verified in New York. He doesn't hesitate to add that his affidavit contains proof that he perjured himself on the stand. He also says that District Attorney Whitman told him what to say in court when the District Attorney met him at Saratoga. This was when Assistant District Attorney Rubin and Detective Al Thomas were bringing Schepps back to New York from Hot Springs, Ark., where he fled after the murder.

The St. Louis correspondent suggested to Schepps that Becker might have more use for the affidavit than a newspaper, and Schepps answered: "I haven't been able to get in touch with Becker."

District Attorney Whitman smiled yesterday when he read the account of the news from Paris about Sam Schepps. "I believe that Schepps was telling the truth in court," he said. "I believed it at the time and nothing has happened since to make me change my belief."

Mrs. Becker Present. Mrs. Charles Becker, wife of the convicted lieutenant, was present throughout the session of the court. Now and then she bent forward to hear a question. Judge Wagner asked whether she had anything to say in her own defense. She replied "No," and then she turned to her husband and said: "I am here for you."

Becker's counsel made a strong point on the fact that the alleged Rosenthal confederates arranged by Becker with Rose, Weber and Valton had never taken place and referred to the fact that they had found the two chauffeurs who were said to have driven these men to attend the meeting. Judge Cullen asked whether the chauffeurs were not produced at the trial and Mr. Palmer replied that the trial was more like a speed contest than a trial.

Mr. Palmer, in asking the court to reverse Becker's conviction, declared that the weight of the probability of Becker's innocence outweighed the probability of his guilt.

The speaking of innocence was referred to by the counsel of the crime of murder, said Mr. Palmer. "Whether he was guilty of accepting bribes in connection with the operation of gambling resorts as his predecessors may have done we do not know, but we do know that neither the courts nor the people will justify a conviction of murder merely because guilt of a lesser crime is believed against Becker."

Says Rose Shifted Guilt. "Soon after the murder, with the public mind fixed on the guilt of Becker, unmistakable evidence was found fixing Rosenthal's death on Rose and his confederates. The electric chair was their inevitable fate unless they could invent a theory to shift the crime to the shoulders of the man believed by the public to have directed them in the perpetration of the crime."

The District Attorney went to greater lengths to induce such testimony from Rose and his pals than has ever before been done in the history of the State. The stipulation by which Rose and his confederates were to receive a full pardon if they reduced to writing, making the motive to shift the crime irresistible.

"Whether Becker be deemed guilty or innocent, a review of the record of the trial will convince any judge that the testimony is in the main a fabrication. "From the date of the Rosenthal murder, the public enemies of the Gaynor administration not only sought to mould the public mind, but they sought to mould the public mind against Becker in order to weaken the Mayor with the people of New York. Doubtless the owners of some of the great newspapers believed Becker guilty and they used themselves for the present attempts to fire the passions of the public and to intimidate, but the objects of the assaults is manifest."

We say that this man, who has been so shamelessly assailed even in the shadows of the chair, is absolutely innocent and the victim of the greatest conspiracy of the age."

Becker's counsel declared that Justice in the conduct of the trial, violated the provision of the Constitution which requires every man accused of crime a trial. He insisted that the opening address of District Attorney Whitman was extremely prejudicial.

Assistant District Attorney Taylor, in his argument, said in part: "Life in New York city would be no more secure than it is in a traditional mining camp if such acts as are disclosed in this record were permitted to go undisturbed. No valid reason can be discovered for reversing this judgment upon facts and grave as its results must be. The Assistant District Attorney will continue his argument to-morrow."

Schepps CUTS HIS PRICE. Will Now Take \$500 for "Good Summary," He Says. Special Cable Dispatch to THE SUN.

PARIS, Dec. 1.—Sam Schepps is still trying to sell the affidavit which he says will secure a new trial for Charles Becker, the police lieutenant who was convicted of having murdered Herman Rosenthal, the New York gambler. Schepps sticks to his demand for \$10,000, the price he originally asked for his complete affidavit, but has made a new offer.

He offers to sell to any New York newspaper for \$500 a fairly full summary of what he would state in a \$10,000 affidavit.

This summary would not be very long, he says, nor would it include some of his "most astounding revelations." But considering the small price asked for it Schepps is confident that some New York newspaper will, as he phrases it, "fall for his demands."

Schepps understands that he was the most important witness in the trial of

ROYAL BAKING POWDER

Absolutely Pure
NO ALUM, NO LIME PHOSPHATE

TELLS OF BRIBE TO BENSEL AID

Continued from First Page

knew that he had been secretary to Mr. Bensen before he got that job. We ate and drank and after the general conversation had lasted for an hour or more I went home with Mr. Consalus.

"Either that night or the next morning Consalus suggested that I go to see Hasset in reference to the final payment on my contract. I went to the office of the State Engineer, and after talking with Hasset he told me he would see me out in the hall. When he came out into the hall he said they would have to have something on that job."

"I asked him what he meant, and he said that Charles R. Foley had said they ought to have 10 per cent on it before my final estimates were passed and that I was to give the money to Hasset."

"I don't want to say all that I said, but I did say that I thought that was crowding the mourners a little bit too hard, inasmuch as I had had to wait so long for my money. He said they wanted it, and since I needed the money and had an idea of the situation up there I finally asked him if he would take \$1,500. He said that he would all right, and I told him I would give him the \$1,500 when I got the check for my work. The check came shortly after that, within a day or two."

"I sent my stenographer to the bank to get the check cashed and she came back with a roll of small bills. I took the small bills to the Merchants Bank, but they didn't have large enough bills there and I went to the First National Bank. There I got a \$1,000 bill and a \$500 bill."

"Bringing," Says Whitman. "Why did you want cash?" asked Mr. Whitman. "I thought that was the better way," I answered. "You mean the better way when you are bringing public officials?"

"Yes; that's what I meant," answered Mr. Aldrich, as if he didn't like the word District Attorney had used.

Q. What did you do then? A. I came to New York and registered at the Hotel Knickerbocker.

Q. What did you do then? A. The next day I went to Thomas Hasset's apartment. I don't recall his address, but I said I had a letter for him and two other men there. I handed Hasset the two bills.

Q. And he took them? A. Yes, sir.

Q. And he took them back to you? A. No, he never did.

Q. Did you contribute to the campaign fund in 1917? A. Yes, I gave the contribution to Consalus.

Q. Do you know that that contribution was never recorded anywhere? A. No, I didn't know that.

Q. How was that contribution arranged for? A. I don't recall it. It was the going thing to contribute.

Q. Did you get a receipt? A. No, I did not.

John E. Consalus was then called. He said he was a contractor with an office at 50 State street, Albany. He said he was treasurer of the J. J. Hurley Construction Company, but he wasn't sure if that was the correct title or not. He said he didn't know who the incorporators were. He said he had five or six high-way contracts every year.

No List of Collections. "Have you made collections from persons or corporations that were turned over to the campaign fund?"

"I have got contributions from persons."

Q. Did you ever get a contribution from the General Construction Company of the

Bridgeport Construction Company? A. I have no list of those I got contributions from.

Q. Do you recall any others from whom you got contributions? A. No, I do not.

Q. Did you ever get a statement of these contributions? A. No.

Q. Did you ever get a contribution from Mr. Aldrich? A. I don't remember.

Q. Don't you recall that he gave you \$100 in 1917? A. I don't recall. To the best of my recollection he did not.

Q. Do you recall meeting him at Keeler's Hotel? A. Not at this particular time. I may recall it later.

Q. Do you remember anything about his contract? A. Yes, I remember talking to him about it. He complained that he could not get his final payment. I told him I hoped he would get it.

Q. To whom did you speak about it? To Hasset, or whom? A. I don't think I spoke to Hasset. I think I spoke to Foley. I did not speak to Hasset or Bensen about it. I remember his being held up at the county engineer's office.

Q. Why should you speak about it at all? A. Because he asked me to.

Q. Who is Neville? A. He's a clerk in Bensen's office.

Q. What is Hasset? A. I think he is a secretary there.

Consalus Doesn't Remember. Q. Do you recall the Keeler meeting now? A. No, I do not.

Q. Do you remember telling Aldrich to see Hasset? A. No.

Q. You remember that Aldrich stayed at your home? A. Yes.

Q. He had never been there before and never has been there since, has he? A. No.

Q. And you don't remember anything special that called him there? A. No.

Mr. Whitman then asked Mr. Consalus if he had come up to the State Engineer's office with Aldrich. Consalus admitted that he had, but could not remember the date.

Q. Do you know Everett Fowler? A. Yes.

Q. Ever talk to him about contractors? A. He asked me to introduce him to contractors. He said he wanted to get contributions and so I introduced him. I presumed he was getting up a list of them.

He showed me a list afterward in Albany.

"Did you get receipts for the contributions you turned in?" asked the Chief Magistrate.

"I think so, but I haven't been able to find them."

"As I understand it, Mr. Aldrich gave you his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.

"No, it isn't true," answered Consalus.

"No, it isn't true," said Mr. Aldrich, who was sitting next to him. "Mr. Consalus gave me his contribution in cash," continued the Chief Magistrate.

"Yes."

"And is that true?" Mr. Whitman interrupted.