

THE EVENING STAR
With Sunday Morning Edition.WASHINGTON, D. C.
FRIDAY, August 26, 1932

THEODORE W. NOYES, Editor

The Evening Star Newspaper Company

Business Office: 11th St. and Pennsylvania Ave.
City Office: 11th St. and Pennsylvania Ave.
Telephone Office: 11th St. and Pennsylvania Ave.
European Office: 11th St. and Pennsylvania Ave.

Rate by Carrier Within the City

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 45c per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 60c per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 75c per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 90c per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 1.00 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 1.10 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 1.20 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 1.30 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 1.40 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 1.50 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 1.60 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 1.70 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 1.80 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 1.90 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 2.00 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 2.10 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 2.20 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 2.30 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 2.40 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 2.50 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 2.60 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 2.70 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 2.80 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 2.90 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 3.00 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 3.10 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 3.20 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 3.30 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 3.40 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 3.50 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 3.60 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 3.70 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 3.80 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 3.90 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 4.00 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 4.10 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 4.20 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 4.30 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 4.40 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 4.50 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 4.60 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 4.70 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 4.80 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 4.90 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 5.00 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 5.10 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 5.20 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 5.30 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 5.40 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 5.50 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 5.60 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 5.70 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 5.80 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 5.90 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 6.00 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 6.10 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 6.20 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 6.30 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 6.40 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 6.50 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 6.60 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 6.70 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 6.80 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 6.90 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 7.00 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 7.10 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 7.20 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 7.30 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 7.40 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 7.50 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 7.60 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 7.70 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 7.80 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 7.90 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 8.00 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 8.10 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 8.20 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 8.30 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 8.40 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 8.50 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 8.60 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 8.70 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 8.80 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 8.90 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 9.00 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 9.10 per month

The Evening Star and Sunday Star, 9.20 per month

sents a considerable portion of his estate. It is not the money, but rather the inspiration of the gift that has significance to the world at large.

Lord Incheape was a great man. Statesman, banker, diplomat and financier, he was known to have accumulated an immense fortune. At the time of his death it was said that he had disposed of his wealth among his relatives. Had the rumor been true there could have been no just complaint. But now it is known that it was false, and instead of private distribution a graceful and widely useful charity is revealed.

It is not difficult to imagine the reaction in the hearts of the four hundred employees thus remembered. They had known Lord Incheape personally. He was their practice to call upon him when in home port. Now they have lost their friend. They mourn him. But they will never forget him. His gift in departing will be the proudest memory of his lives.

Lord Incheape will reassert the traditional British policy of friendship between master and man, employer and employee. It is evidence that the machine age has not extinguished the sense of fellowship which was a characteristic of the guild system, out of which modern industry evolved. It constitutes a monument of love in tribute to a good, just and benevolent man.

The Problem and the Solution.

Two articles in the current issues of two national magazines come pretty close to stating both the problem and the answer in the matter of relief of suffering and distress this winter.

The magazine Fortune concludes, as the result of a Nation-wide survey, that fully 25,000,000 persons will require "charity or other relief this winter." The Federal fund of \$300,000,000 made available as loans to the States by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for relief work, would furnish only \$12 apiece if it could be regarded as needy beneficiaries. The article states that relief work heretofore has been wholly inadequate, wasteful and uneconomical and voices some of the blame in the allegation that the "problem was never attacked as a national problem—the facts were never frankly faced as facts."

But this week's Saturday Evening Post contains an amazing statement of fact in the form of an article written by Priscilla Wayne of Des Moines, Iowa. She describes an organization of volunteer women in that city who, during the past winter, have saved 350,000 persons at the cost of 1 cent a meal. Utilization of food that usually goes to waste in a city, utilization of the unemployed, who were only too willing to give their labor, and utilization of a lot of wholesome common sense solved the problem of feeding the hungry in Des Moines, and another Winter finds the same machinery set up and ready to function again. This work in Des Moines supplemented the regular welfare and relief work of organized charities under the Community Chest. It was an effort in addition to the successfully performed task of filling the local chest and overabundance of the Chest quota. Of course the mere provision of soup and bread is not the only requirement in ministering to distress.

Some of the success of the work in Des Moines was doubtless due to conditions that were peculiar to that city. The location there of meat-packing houses, for instance, furnished the volunteer feeding stations with an abundance of meat products for preparing soup. But the mechanics of the experiment in Des Moines are of minor importance compared with the fundamental principle there demonstrated. That principle is that there need be no unmet distress and suffering anywhere this winter, provided there is the organization and the will to administer relief.

The lack of organization in the communities of the Nation is going to be the real difficulty this winter. When Wisconsin recently asked for \$6,414,865 from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, the sum finally loaned was cut to \$3,000,000. One reason for the cut was that investigation revealed a third of the State's fifty-seven needy counties lacking in any organization whatever for relief administration. Gov. Pinchot's experience with the Reconstruction Finance Corporation emphasized the same general condition. Not only was there inadequate organization, but the State had failed to tap its own sources of revenue for relief. While the larger cities, benefiting from experience, have perfected organizations of long standing, many of the rural communities lack not only the organization to deal with an emergency of this kind, but even the knowledge of how to form them. And once the matter of relief is turned over to State and county and even municipal government, politics and waste and red tape take their toll of available funds.

The problem of organizing the communities for the work next winter is to be discussed at the White House meeting next month of the recently announced National Citizens' Committee for the Welfare and Relief Mobilization of 1932, under the chairmanship of Newton D. Baker. Success in the work of organization will mean success in the extension of relief next winter. Money is only one essential. Leadership in the local communities, proper organization and the will to deal with the realities of an unprecedented situation with characteristic American ingenuity and resourcefulness will prevent any man or woman child from going hungry. Once the communities throw up their hands and ask the Federal Government to take over the job the fight is lost.

It is reported that Al Smith is a constant attendant at the Broadway theaters. If this is the case, the fair and well-versed criticism so often desired in New York may at last be obtained in the pages of the Outlook.

Lord Incheape's Will.

A report from London brings the news that the late Lord Incheape, famous British shipping magnate, who died on May 23 at seventy-nine, has left a total of \$30,000 to the captains, chief engineers and chief officers of the vessels of the Peninsular and Oriental and British India Steam Navigation Companies, the two principal organizations of which he long was head.

By the terms of this generous testament each of two hundred captains receives \$100, and each of two hundred chief engineers and chief officers \$50. But though these sums are large and Lord Incheape's beneficence thus re-

Questioned as to where they had obtained their artillery, they said they had arranged with a woman to pay her one-quarter of the loot obtained by the display and use of her particular variety of hardware. She did not urge the boys to go out foraging; no, she merely equipped them for their depredations.

The incident provides an illuminating insight on the kind of world we live in and the kind of city it is over the government of which the Hon. James J. Walker presides.

Manhattan's Altered Skyline.

Perhaps it is one form or another of impoliteness to say so, but the famed skyline of New York City seems today not quite so lovely as it once was. It has changed. That is conceded by every one. Has the alteration been for good or ill?

In the period of 1925-1931 a large crop of architectural weeds came into existence on the island which the thrifty Dutchmen bought from the Indians for sixty guilders. That many of these additions to the city's complement of skyscrapers are atrocious is the opinion of critics, including eminent members of the profession responsible for their design. They are simply elevated barracks, and their mere bulk and height no more render them beautiful than those characteristics make an elephant graceful.

Of the two most widely advertised and most persistently pre-erected structures—the Empire State Building and the Chrysler Building—the former is like nothing else in the world so much as a monstrous tube of shaving cream, and the latter is a theatrical house whose loveliness is in part a deceptive trick and whose general semblance is that of a gaudy least pencil sharpened to an utterly useless extremity. Neither adds to the charm of the skyline, and their presence may be questioned as a blemish.

The older skyscrapers are the more attractive. The Woolworth Building, derived from the Gothic cathedrals of the Middle Ages, is still the best of all. The Italian Renaissance lines of the Metropolitan tower are permanently interesting.

Somewhat the skyline of the largest city of the Western Hemisphere belongs to all Americans and is not the exclusive property of a few promoters and real estate moguls. But probably there is nothing that the public can do about it if these dictators should choose to disregard an obvious warning. However, that may be, the people can be discriminating in the government of their enthusiasms; they need not admire an ugly thing simply because they are commanded so to do.

Credit is regarded by expert economists as a complicated science. Like litigation or drugs, its habitual use is not considered the best way of attaining a satisfactory working knowledge of the subject.

The curious thing is that neither of these novels saw the light of reader interest until 1811 and 1813, and it was the inferior one of the two which was published first.

Publicity for loans does not involve enough embarrassment to deter any body really needing one from asking for it.

Midwest farmers are offering no remarks on prohibition. The hip flask is of no importance as compared with the milk bottle.

Campaign orators are now depended on to afford serious relief to the comedy programs presented by radio.

SHOOTING STARS.

BY PHILANDER JOHNSON.

Men and Ideas.

How happy we'd be could we view with content

An election as only a sporting event,

Assured when the great competition was done

That suspense was quite through when they told us WHO won.

But they show us a platform and tell us to note

The theories new which economists quote.

"Who wins?" is no longer the question we ask.

"What wins?" is the governing point of the task.

Heritage.

"Do you see signs of unrest in the rising generation?"

"Yes," answered Senator Sorghum.

"And I'm not sure the youngsters' ancestors used to labor to leave the boys and girls large fortunes to be peacefully enjoyed. Now we leave 'em mostly problems to solve and debts to liquidate."

Jud Tunkins says now that it costs three cents to mail a letter, maybe the additional expense will make people try harder to think up something worth sending.

Change Desired.

The Katydids again is loud.

We wish it would be chance

Give up the tune that sounds so proud

And learn to do a dance.

The Significant Side.

"Did you sit on the right hand of the hostess?"

"No," said the social expert, "but I had the place of honor, though no one realized the fact. The lady is left-handed."

"Owing to pride of personality," said Hi Ho, the sage of Chinatown, "even small annoyances seem highly important to the one to whom they attach themselves."

Autocratic Attitude.

The cow beside the wheatfield stood. Her temper was no longer good.

Said she, "however scant the feast, Folks must have bread and milk, at least."

Brief Visit.

Those who don't think much of the stratosphere will notice that the professor didn't stay there long.

Opportunity for Optimists.

One job calling for readiness is that of making gratifying reports daily to presidential candidates.

THIS AND THAT

BY CHARLES E. TRACEWELL.

Can any one be called well read who does not know the novels of Jane Austen?

It is doubtful. Perfection, in any art, makes its demands upon devotees of that art. If some one diamond expert cut a gem of such exquisite beauty that it excelled most other gems, no connoisseur of diamonds could regard his education as complete until he had seen it.

It is the same with paintings, with statues, with buildings, with books. From the large to the small, from the mighty to the minute, the perfection which counts, rather than size. In a book, and especially in the novel, this is attained when the writer intelligently achieves what he sets out to achieve.

He did what he set out to do, and 99 out of a hundred persons competent to judge would agree on that point. This is the case with the stories of the shy Englishwoman who wrote anonymously and well.

"Emma," "Mansfield Park," "Pride and Prejudice." For one reader, at least, they rank in that order. The greater maturity of "Mansfield Park" and "Emma" partly can be attributed to the fact that they were written in 1814 and 1816, respectively.

Miss Austen, too, had known the feeling of futility which the rejection of her work would bring.

The publication of her two earlier books, however, gave her the old urge to write again, and when she began writing, she began to write with a purpose. "Mansfield Park" followed shortly on the publication of "Pride and Prejudice."

"Emma" came two years later. To the Kaiser's court, she wrote so heartily to "keep up" with the flood of modern fiction, but who have not read "Emma," one may recommend a restful evening's reading in a hum-drum fashion, broken now and then by worry, or grief—then, even, without comment, only dull pain and pain.

The novel, therefore, which manages to create an air of real interest out of the commonplace, is the novel lived by the majority of human beings in this and perhaps in any other age, has done something which entitles it to a special place in a reader's esteem.

There will always be, of course, a place reserved for the work of fiction which pleases together, of such stuff as dreams are made of, the world of books is large and readers are many and moods come and go.

In the last analysis, however, the place of esteem, in the recollection of discriminating readers, is given to the work which somehow manages to convey a real sense of life and living, but which does not put into that feeling something of the air of good excitement which belongs so easily to bolder and more ambitious writers.

Perhaps no writer ever succeeded better in this than Jane Austen. She was born in the year the American Revolution ended, she died in 1817, shortly after the War of 1812. During 1796 and 1797 Miss Austen wrote "Pride and Prejudice," one of the greatest of the English novels (and she was literally penned in those days).

The next year she wrote "Sense and Sensibility," and the year following, "Emma." She was not considered the best way of attaining a satisfactory working knowledge of the subject.

The curious thing is that neither of these novels saw the light of reader interest until 1811 and 1813, and it was the inferior one of the two which was published first.

Publicity for loans does not involve enough embarrassment to deter any body really needing one from asking for it.

Midwest farmers are offering no remarks on prohibition. The hip flask is of no importance as compared with the milk bottle.

Campaign orators are now depended on to afford serious relief to the comedy programs presented by radio.

SHOOTING STARS.

BY PHILANDER JOHNSON.

Men and Ideas.

How happy we'd be could we view with content

An election as only a sporting event,

Assured when the great competition was done

That suspense was quite through when they told us WHO won.

But they show us a platform and tell us to note

The theories new which economists quote.

"Who wins?" is no longer the question we ask.

"What wins?" is the governing point of the task.

Heritage.

"Do you see signs of unrest in the rising generation?"

"Yes," answered Senator Sorghum.

"And I'm not sure the youngsters' ancestors used to labor to leave the boys and girls large fortunes to be peacefully enjoyed. Now we leave 'em mostly problems to solve and debts to liquidate."

Jud Tunkins says now that it costs three cents to mail a letter, maybe the additional expense will make people try harder to think up something worth sending.

Change Desired.

The Katydids again is loud.

We wish it would be chance

Give up the tune that sounds so proud

And learn to do a dance.

The Significant Side.

"Did you sit on the right hand of the hostess?"

"No," said the social expert, "but I had the place of honor, though no one realized the fact. The lady is left-handed."

"Owing to pride of personality," said Hi Ho, the sage of Chinatown, "even small annoyances seem highly important to the one to whom they attach themselves."

Autocratic Attitude.

The cow beside the wheatfield stood. Her temper was no longer good.

Said she, "however scant the feast, Folks must have bread and milk, at least."

Brief Visit.

Those who don't think much of the stratosphere will notice that the professor didn't stay there long.

Opportunity for Optimists.

One job calling for readiness is that of making gratifying reports daily to presidential candidates.

Hitler's Success Faces Germany With Paradox

BY WILLIAM BIRD.

PARIS, August 25.—In the view of observers here, Germany has reached a paradoxical situation. Only a few months ago the question was anxiously asked whether Adolf Hitler would attempt to seize power by unconstitutional means. Today it is realized that a constitutional government cannot continue in Germany without placing the power in Hitler's hands.

If President Paul von Hindenburg and Chancellor Franz von Papen attempt to govern without Hitler, they can do so only by arbitrary dictatorial methods.

Such a dictatorship, however, is declared to be in accordance with the Weimar Constitution, which empowers the cabinet to govern without Parliament's approval in case of emergency. Moreover, Von Papen's partisans say it does not violate democratic principles, since it is done by presidential authority and the President was elected by a clear majority of the voters—and thus he has an equal standing, from a democratic viewpoint, with Parliament itself.

A rapid comparison of the German situation today with that which existed immediately after the signing of the treaty of Versailles in 1919 shows that the situation is almost identical, and that still greater changes may be expected in the next few years.

Germany at that time was broken and disorganized. There was an internal struggle against a military dictatorship, and a Socialist was elected President. Today nearly half of Germany is under the control of Hitler, and a good share of what is left is praying for the return of the Hohenzollerns. The President, elected by Socialist votes, is a man of the people, and the army is a military dictatorship.

The allied army of occupation, which was to hold the bridgeheads of the Rhine for 15 years, or until Germany had reached the peace conditions, has been withdrawn.

After paying \$5,000,000 of a reparations bill fixed at \$33 billion, Germany has paid about \$100,000,000 and a sponge is to be passed over the slate. France has received in reparations, over a period of 12 years, about \$100,000,000. Germany has paid to Germany in cash after the war, about \$100,000,000. France has spent in repairing material damages caused by the fighting in French territory about twice as much as she has received in indemnities.

It is considered practically certain that before another year elapses there will be around the world a new German military state. The treaty allows Germany 100,000 professional soldiers, and the period of training is likely to be shortened to about two years. The importance of such a change is that it would provide trained reserves.

And, if Hitler should win, Germany will regain, in the form of mandates, some of the colonies wrested from her in 1918. Most of which now are in British hands.

But by far the most sensational possibility is in the direction of boundary changes in Europe. Germany is the Polish Corridor and wants to reunite East Prussia and Danzig to the Reich. Germany wants the coal fields of Upper Silesia, and the industrial region of the Ruhr. She wants the villages of Eupen and Malmédy, now Belgian, restored, not to mention the Saar. Alsace-Lorraine is not openly claimed, but is dreamed about.

There is every reason to expect also that the Anschluss, the annexation of Austria to Germany, will be pushed with increasing vigor. France has proposed it. Italy, alarmed at the thought of having Germany instead of Austria as a neighbor, has proposed it. The German people are pushing it. The German people are pushing it.

The so-called "confidence pact," signed at Lausanne by England and France and since adhered to by Germany, Italy and Japan, is being believed by Germany, and feared by France, to open the door to diplomatic negotiations on all these points. Germany is pushing it. France is pushing it. Italy is pushing it. Japan is pushing it.

There is every reason to expect also that the Anschluss, the annexation of Austria to Germany, will be pushed with increasing vigor. France has proposed it. Italy, alarmed at the thought of having Germany instead of Austria as a neighbor, has proposed it. The German people are pushing it. The German people are pushing it.