

THE EVENING STAR

With Sunday Morning Edition.

WASHINGTON, D. C., SATURDAY, January 2, 1937

THEODORE W. NOYES, Editor

The Evening Star Newspaper Company.

1115 K Street, N. W., Washington, D. C.

Chicago Office: 435 North Michigan Ave.

Rate by Carrier—City and Suburban.

Regular Edition.

The Evening and Sunday Star.

50c per month or 15c per week.

The Evening Star.

45c per month or 10c per week.

The Sunday Star.

Night Final Edition.

Night Final and Sunday Star.

70c per month.

Night Final Star.

Collection made at the end of each month or

each week. Orders may be sent by mail or tele-

phone National 5000.

Rate by Mail—Payable in Advance.

Maryland and Virginia.

Daily and Sunday—1 yr., \$12.00; 1 mo., \$1.00.

Daily only—1 yr., \$8.00; 1 mo., 75c.

Sunday only—1 yr., \$4.00; 1 mo., 40c.

All Other States and Canada.

Daily and Sunday—1 yr., \$12.00; 1 mo., \$1.00.

Daily only—1 yr., \$8.00; 1 mo., 75c.

Sunday only—1 yr., \$4.00; 1 mo., 40c.

Member of the Associated Press.

The Associated Press is exclusively entitled to

the use for republication of all news dispatches

credited to it or not otherwise credited in this

paper and also the local news published herein.

All rights of publication of special dispatches

herein are also reserved.

Industrial Peace.

The New Year begins with Americans clamoring for world peace. They might add another wish—industrial peace at home. Probably many of them do. The country is emerging from a depression that has tried the very heart and stamina of the people. Industrial strife, with threats of force and violence voiced by some of the leaders, is not calculated to aid the process of recovery.

The outlook for industrial peace is not good. The marine workers' strike and the consequent tie-up of the American shipping, the growing strike in the automobile and allied industries and the threat of a coal strike give the lie to rosy estimates of what may happen to the workers during the coming year.

It is natural that labor should demand its share in the measure of recovery and prosperity. It should have its share. On the other hand, the efforts of some of the leaders of organized labor to build for themselves a vast authority over industry, with the power in their hands to halt every wheel and machine in the country, is not for the sole benefit of the rank and file of the workers. The demagogue in the field of labor is no more admirable than the demagogue in the field of politics; the labor dictator is as dangerous to freedom as is the governmental dictator.

The fault is not all on the side of labor and its leaders. There are dictatorial and demagogic employers of labor, no more admirable than the selfish and unintelligent labor leader, perhaps less so. It will be a great loss to the country and to the people as a whole if the workers on the one side and employers on the other are pitted in conflict through efforts of unwise leaders of labor and of industry. The great mass of the workers and employers desire peace.

Those who attack war frequently advance the argument that the peoples of the nations involved do not wish war. Many of those who reason in this way are workers in industry, some of them leaders of unions. It is time that they gave some thought to the fact that the great mass of the American people would suffer terribly through an industrial war, and, further, that the great mass of the American people want no such war.

The demands of organized labor are mainly for better pay, for fewer hours of work, and for the "closed shop." The demands for better pay and for fewer hours of labor are in many cases justified. The "closed shop" is a principle that is dear to the hearts of organized labor leaders. Collective bargaining, with the employees on one side and the employers on the other, has come to be the ordinary and expected manner of dealing between worker and employer. It has its elements of justice. The bargaining, however, should be fair and equitable—and that goes for labor as well as for the employer.

If the signs today are correct, trying days are ahead in the field of industry, with peace threatened, already broken on many fronts. The labor leader who loves his country and the employer who does the same should do a little collective bargaining in the interest of the whole people.

Pictorial imagination again amuses itself by showing the New Year as an infant who, in the short space of twelve months, will grow a flowing abundance of whiskers. Even the hair tonic ad writers refrain from trying to rival the poets.

In a New Year celebration it is urged to turn over a new leaf and also to keep the automobile right side up.

Inadequate Recognition.

Because of his unique and distinguished services to the Government, extending over a period of more than half a century, the obviously inadequate retirement pay of William H. Moran, former chief of the Secret Service, has attracted extraordinary attention. The inadequate amount of his annuity was recognized last year in the introduction, and Senate passage, of a bill which would have given him \$4,000, instead of \$1,500, for life. Failure of the bill to pass the House was perhaps due to fear of expensive precedent. There are hundreds of others in the Government service whose early retirement might bring similar, if not as well sustained, demands for special legislation.

The explanation of Mr. Moran's low retirement pay is the relatively recent date of enactment of the retirement law. The retirement law for the classified civil service was not enacted until 1920 and the intervening interval has not been long enough to accumulate the reserves which would bring the annuities to a higher figure. Though Mr. Moran's retirement pay of \$1,500 is low, in relation to the length and value of his service and to his active salary, it repre-

sents about the maximum under the law at present and is much higher than the average annuity to be received by those retiring in the next few years.

In discussing so frankly what he regards as inadequate financial recognition by the Government of his services, Mr. Moran speaks of the hazardous nature of his work during his more than half a century of service. This recalls the situation confronting the "G" men of the Department of Justice, who were brought within provisions of the retirement act only within the past year by special act of Congress. A relatively new organization and composed for the most part of young men, statutory retirement of any of them in the next few years would be at a much lower figure than that which would apply in some other governmental agencies for the same length of service, unless the annuitant had been able to make up retirement contributions back to 1920. On the other hand, had Mr. Moran served as an officer of the Army or Navy his retirement might have brought more than twice as much as the amount of his present annuity. Without damaging or dangerous precedent, the Government should be able to recognize such service as that performed by Mr. Moran and to make an exception which would recognize it adequately and even generously.

The "Little World War."

Because nationals of Germany, Italy, Russia, France, Great Britain, Czechoslovakia and other countries are fighting in Spain, the bloody conflict there is now commonly referred to as "the little world war." The new year dawns amid gravest misgivings as to whether the title thus lightly applied to the Spanish tragedy may not abruptly be translated into terms denoting a real world war.

The decision rests momentarily with Germany and Italy. On their willingness to suppress the movement of their so-called "volunteers" to the peninsula battlefields now hangs the issue of keeping the desperate struggle localized or letting it develop into a dreaded European conflagration. General Franco is in confident expectation of strong foreign reinforcements by mid-January and making corresponding preparations for a "furious offensive." Thus the insurgents tacitly confess that their campaign has been checked and is doomed to collapse unless outside aid is speedily forthcoming.

Conscious of the danger to peace inherent in such a development, Britain and France are now making urgent representations at Berlin. Chancellor Hitler is said to be withholding his reply for ten days. Recently it was reported that Italy, because of Mussolini's entente with London, had decided to wash her hands of the Spanish imbroglio and advised Hitler to do likewise. The latest information is that the two dictators are still hand in glove and, having jointly recognized the insurgents, will act together on the Anglo-French non-intervention demand. Meantime the evodus of both German and Italian fighting men to the Spanish front continues.

Germany, insisting that her sole interest in Spain is to prevent its communization, is strongly suspected of sparring for time, in order to extort British and French pledges of colonial territory or supplies and credits capable of relieving the Reich's economic plight. Unless the Western powers are ready by such means to induce Hitler to hold back his "volunteers," he is thought to be able and willing to bargain with Franco for rich copper, iron and lead concessions in Spain and the military occupational privileges that would go with them. Thus peace in Europe seems to be on the auction block. Already Britain and France are weighing the contingency that a blockade of Spain by their joint naval forces may be the unavoidable consequence of German-Italian refusal to restrain "volunteers." The obvious way out of the menacing impasse is for "volunteers" from all countries to be withdrawn and no more allowed to reach Spain. But with certain powers unblushingly prepared to fish in troubled waters, the natural solution appears to be the impossible one.

Scrooge has been submitted to his annual course of moral reclamation. A few more days will again reveal whether he can make his good resolution stick.

"Dictionary" Completed.

With the twentieth volume distributed to subscribers, the "Dictionary of American Biography" is declared completed and finished. It was fathered by the American Council of Learned Societies and financed by the late Adolph S. Ochs, publisher of the New York Times until his death, April 8, 1935. The editors were Dr. Allen Johnson, killed in a traffic accident January 18, 1931, and Dr. Dumas Malone, now returned to the faculty of Harvard University with new and well-deserved honors attached to his name. Beginning with the proposition that "the lack of an authoritative dictionary of national biography has been often deplored by American scholars," the enterprise terminates with the declaration: "Those who have been charged with the management . . . have tried to make the Dictionary in the broadest sense a national institution, identified with no one locality and no single group, except the associated scholars who have sponsored it, but comprehending all sects and sections, races, classes and parties. It is hoped not only that this large collection of biographies will contribute to a better understanding of the chief actors on the stage of American history, but also that this vast common undertaking has furthered, and will continue to further, the spirit of scholarly co-operation throughout the land."

The aspiration of the workmen, then, is largely, if not entirely, satisfied by the monument they have raised to the American race. It has been their privilege to demonstrate the fundamental contribution which the people of the United States have made to modern

civilization. Yet, perhaps even more important, they have developed a measuring stick for national achievement. Six hundred and fifty-three writers prepared the text of twenty-nine thousand one hundred and twenty notices and twenty-five substantive articles. In each instance the biographer sat in judgment over his subject. The style of the sketches naturally varies, but the tone is sober throughout—there is nothing hysterical, blatant or vulgar to which a discriminating critic might object; rather, the prevailing characteristic is a curious dignity which may be discerned even in the discussion of personalities of highly provocative temperament.

Possibly, Dr. Malone ought to write something about the discoveries he made during the eight years of his labors. For example, it would be interesting to hear what he thinks about a practical pattern for biographical science. Again, the public might be concerned to know his verdict on the background against which the Dictionary stands; what is his opinion of the human pageant in America as he has seen it passing over his desk? And what suggestions has he for the expansion of the type and the technique of scholarship represented in the Dictionary but still conspicuously absent elsewhere in American letters?

Also, there must be added to any gesture of appreciation offered at this moment the hope that Dr. Malone may be permitted to bring out the supplemental volumes which are indicated by the fact that many eminent men and women are not included in the Dictionary because they happened to be living when the sections in which they would have appeared were issued.

Many actors attempt to come back to legitimate drama after a career in motion pictures. A similar tendency has never been shown by Will Hays, who, after becoming czar of Hollywood, has not shown the slightest inclination to resume heavy legitimate roles in politics.

A traffic in reconditioned airplanes is attracting certain types of brokerage. Aviation is in its infancy and every effort should be made to prevent it from drifting under the influence of reckless company.

Suburban police want more pay and are perfectly willing to submit a record of indispensable and humane service on New Year day alone as a reason for deserving it.

A five-day week will be beneficial in proportion to the ability of workers to utilize the two other days in the pursuit of higher and more unselfish ideals.

Shooting Stars.

BY PHILANDER JOHNSON.

Self-Sacrifice.
There was a philosopher, long, long ago,
Who felt it his duty the public to show
Just how it should manage its various cares
In home life as well as in public affairs.

He told what to eat
And what we should drink;
Just how we should speak
And what we should think;
Just how we should spell
And how we should sing;
Just how we should vote
(That's the principal thing);
Just when we should smile
And when we should frown;
Just what we should read
'Mongst the prints of the town;
Just when we should work
And when we should sleep;
How much we should spend
And how much we should keep.

Such numberless duties before him arose
That he found he had never an hour
for repose.

Nor eating, nor singing, nor thinking,
Nor play,
Nor sleeping, except in the hastiest way.

And we hailed him a great man indeed
When 'twas known
What generous goodness of heart he
had shown
In attending to every one's needs save
his own.

The Purpose.

"You don't remember what was in
your party platform?"
"Not exactly," replied Senator Sorghum.
"A party platform is made to be
listened to, not remembered."

Slight Misapprehension.

"The old year is said to have been
pretty wet around here."
"Must have been," answered Uncle
Bill Bottletop. "I heard a lot of folks
talk about bringing out the old and
wringing in the new."

Never Down and Out.

We have read on history's pages
Of misfortunes in all ages
And the comment would agree:
"Nothing worse than this could be!"
We have seen some repetition
Of a similar dire condition;
But this old Earth, stanch and game,
Comes up smiling just the same.

Jud Tunkins says he never argues
with his wife. He listens respectfully
to everything she says; but "you can't
call that arguing."

"Festival days," said Hi Ho, the sage
of Chinatown, "bring great happiness
until feasting renders us too dull to
enjoy them."

Good Cheer Conservation.

The New Year day has gone its way,
But let us still be wary.
We'll need good cheer throughout the
year
As well as January.

"It's glintin' be a long time befo' an-
other New Year," said Uncle Eben, "but
dar ain' much use o' thinkin' up new
resolutions. De same old specimens
seems always ready for service."

Traffic and Pedestrian Control in Other Cities

To the Editor of The Star:

It is interesting to observe to what extent other cities have gone to make their streets safe for both careful motorist and careful pedestrian, and to note that these cities are making a sincere effort to reduce accidents caused generally by the careless operation of an automobile or the arrogant jaywalking of one or more of its citizens.

For example: Los Angeles has established pedestrian control. Jaywalker and careless pedestrian alike are handed summonses. Upon appearance in City Court they are severely reprimanded and a fine is imposed, with a warning of stiffer penalty for second offenses. The ordinance forbids motorists from running their cars when the traffic light gives pedestrians the signal to walk across the street. The motorist is not permitted to proceed on the green light until the pedestrian caught by the change of light has reached the opposite curb.

In Des Moines the ordinance prohibiting jaywalking has been very successful. As in Los Angeles, summonses are handed to violators, ordering them to appear in Traffic Court, where the procedure is quite similar.

Pontiac, Mich., not only forbids jaywalking and imposes fines for violations, but also forbids right turns on red lights. Both phases provide safety for all concerned.

Evansville, Ill., plays no favorites. City authorities enforce the traffic laws to the letter without regard to political business influences and pressure. Perhaps this is the reason that city has the lowest auto accident rate in the country.

New York City's new traffic code bans right-hand turns on red lights and a new and inclusive offense known as "dangerous driving" is severely dealt with, nuisance drivers being halted to court and fined heavily.

Bloom, Miss., has an ordinance that calls for regular reporting by all drivers of automobiles and trucks to have brakes tested.

Portland, Oreg., has a \$100 bounty on reckless driving. Speeding there is termed "reckless." The ordinance also provides the granting of a \$100 reward to any citizen who furnishes information which leads to the arrest and conviction of a reckless driver. The city also counts a school when the type of driver is taught safe driving habits.

Chicago and Pittsburgh ordinances deal well with drunken or intoxicated drivers. Violations have been so prevalent in those cities that officials found it necessary to invoke stiff penalties. Both drunken or intoxicated drivers and also reckless drivers are sentenced to jail, bail or fine being acceptable to the court.

Detroit is seriously considering invoking an amendment to its present ordinance, patterned after the Los Angeles ordinance, on pedestrian control and control of motorists when pedestrians are crossing the street or are caught half way when the lights change. At the present time about 2,600 Detroit motorists with guilty consciences are listed in 200 million books distributed to police and scout car drivers for special attention. Drivers who fail to appear in court in response to misdemeanors are picked up and taken there for immediate action.

Toledo uses the short-wave radio broadcast in a successful effort to cut down drunken and reckless driving accidents. Upon receipt of calls from persons reporting license plate numbers of automobiles whose drivers are under influence of liquor the Toledo police retail this information over the short-wave system to all scout cars and urge them to be on the lookout for such cars.

As one can judge, favoritism is taboo in most accident cases heard out of town. Authorities in the municipalities know from past experiences that where favoritism has been shown certain motor vehicle violators, the whole of the enforcement structure is endangered, and has in most instances broken down as a result. It is undue influence that has discouraged many policemen from making traffic arrests. A little less favoritism and a little more law enforcement would help the more reluctant-to-deal-with-traffic-violation city to regain its popularity with its citizenry. FREDERICK G. FROST.

Civil Service Weakness Menace to Civil Justice

To the Editor of The Star:

Having read the many discussions about the civil service's apparent ineptness in the investigation, as a mere onlooker, taxpayer and retired business man, to the following:

An acquaintance of mine, for many years an active producer and mine operator, after losing all his holdings, obtained two years ago a position in one of the new Government agencies. In spite of his 67 years of age, he is rated by his chiefs as a highly capable and efficient worker. If his department comes under civil service he will be automatically thrown out next year at 70 years of age. In the rather luxurious local hotel where I stopped are several young girls. They have civil service. By sharing their expenses they live cheaply amid luxurious surroundings on the taxpayers' money because civil service guarantees them good pay, and later a pension, which is security of the highest kind. The kind of work they are doing brings them pay far in excess of what my or any other industry would pay them. Yet these girls, no matter how capable, cannot contribute one iota to our country's economic wealth production. The old gentleman, who has created wealth by his own efforts and work for hundreds of people, will have no chance whatever to use his talents or make a living under civil service. Civil service ought to imply also civil justice.

I have never heard that we, tax and income tax payers, have objected to capable judges, Representatives or Senators because they were 70 years old. Why not protect the more humble United States jobs? I also heard of many striking incongruities of pay to many deserving and capable but older widows supporting children. Cast iron, inelastic rules removing authority from actual chiefs seems to be the great weakness of this civil service system.

FREDERICK W. ARBY.

The Constant Lure.

From the *Glendale (Calif.) News-Press*.
It might even be said that Roosevelt always is willing to go more than half way, especially if there is fishing en route.

Dissenters.

From the *Charleston (W. Va.) Mail*.
Christmas took an awful jolt last November 3, sixteen million people indicated they didn't believe in Santa Claus.

Two Hazards to Avoid.

From the *Waukegan (Ill.) Chronicle*.
A Japanese doctor says any one can live to be 120. Providing, of course, he avoids jaywalking and Spain.

Would Be Appropriate.

From the *Waukegan (Ill.) Chronicle*.
This Mrs. Mary Christmas, in Racine, Wis., ought to move to Santa Claus, Ind.

THIS AND THAT

BY CHARLES E. TRACEWELL.

Suet, as a bird food, is probably the most overrated of the articles put out for the songsters which Winter here. Starlings will eat it, but one does not particularly wish to attract starlings.

A few of our other friends are fond of it, but only by snatches.

We are always reminded of Gilbert and Sullivan's tune, "A Wandering Minstrel, I, a thing of shreds and patches."

Such, indeed, is the suit basket in the garden—those owners like to feed the birds in Winter.

One would not say that suet is not acceptable, at times, but merely that, as a bird food, it scarcely rates with seeds and grains, or even bread and doughnuts.

Most persons know that good beef suet is the thing to use, not hog suet.

Good suet is of a clear white, with a tinge of yellow or cream in it.

Birds which will eat it do so, usually, by pecking off a bit and bearing it away to eat it elsewhere.

Starlings excel at this, of course, owing to their large, stout bills and general vigor, which enables a dozen of them to tear a large lump of suet to bits in literally no time at all.

Other birds which like suet, or at least are reputed to do so, are the titmouse, the chickadee and the various woodpeckers.

Since these are charming additions to any feeding station, any newcomer to this sport might wonder why suet is not so desirable. The secret lies in the word "reputed." Suet has the reputation as an attractor—no doubt of that—but actually it seems often to be a drug on the market, not only during warm, open weather, such as we enjoyed during Christmas week, but even in the bitter cold sometimes.

Timber sample it a great deal more from the chickadees. Various members of the woodpecker family will eat it, but these are, after all, among the rarer of our true Winter visitors. Last year, for instance, we had half a dozen, mostly the red-bellied and the so-called hairy woodpeckers, but this season only one of the former, and then only for a few days.

So one may be forgiven for feeling that suet is not all it is "cracked up to be," which saying, by the way, seems particularly appropriate when speaking of birds and their seed-cracking bills and general food-taking proclivities.

Dogs seem to be the chief "birds" which like suet!

The old meat smell, undoubtedly. A dog can smell a suit basket for blocks, and find his way unerringly to it.

A truly amusing sight in the garden is a big dog trying to make off with a small basket of it.

The suet basket, in case one hasn't seen one, is nothing but a wire soap-dish affair, usually made with a hinge, so that the mass of fat can be pushed in and clamped securely.

It is hung on a fence, or to the limb of a tree.

If on the fence it will be found most readily by Fido on one of his rounds. This is a curious instinct in dogs, to make the same rounds, day after day. If you have ever watched a dog going his rounds in the early morning, say just as light comes on, you will have noted his faith-

fulness to certain yards, and his complete ignoring of others. Watch him ever so often, you will discover that he never varies his program. He carries his faithfulness, you see, into everything he does.

One popular use of suet among bird lovers is to stuff it into hollowed-out coconut shells.

This is accomplished as follows: The shell is cleaned out, and an "X" cut in it about the size of the door of a bluebird house. This is about one and one-quarter inches in diameter.

Now the suet is fried out, as the ladies say, in a skillet, the liquid being poured off, and various nuts, raisins and seeds mixed with it, just as one puts nuts in fudge.

The stuffing is now poured into the hollowed-out shell and permitted to solidify again, which it does readily enough in cool weather outdoors.

This shell is now hung to a tree limb, or at a window, but so secured that it will not bump into the pane.

This is said to be an excellent little feeder for itself, particularly attractive to the smaller gems of the Winter garden. These include the titmouse and the chickadee. Truly there are no finer birds Wintering hereabouts. One's admiration grows with acquaintance. Clean, sleek, beautiful, the titmouse and chickadee win the hearts of all. They are full of "pep," but never tirelessly so; they are sedate, but still have plenty of vigor. Any way you put it, and any way one looks at them, they are mighty little creatures of the feathered world.

Our advice, then, to the anxious newcomer to bird feeding, who invariably wants to make a mystery out of the process, is that suet, all things taken into consideration, is not so "cracked up" as you and the birds can get along very well without it, but if you deem it essential, by all means try it in very cold weather.

Remember that it is a concentrated fat, of a type which, in certain aspects, is utterly strange to bird life. In their natural haunts they eat very little, if any, beef suet.

Use it as merely an attempt to supply them with an equivalent for the fat bugs and other forms of insect life which they eat naturally.

That it is better than nothing cannot be gainsaid. But even at its best it will be eaten by few birds, except those forementioned, and sometimes not even by them.

Rely mostly on grains and seeds for the Winter rations of the birds which honor us with their presence.

The tendency is to put too large a proportion of cracked corn in average bird seed mixtures, or to use such things as rape seed, which few wild birds really like, and Canadian peas, which only quail and similar large birds will eat.

Ever the English sparrows, those eternal feeders, grow tired of a mixture containing too much corn, and will be only too glad to fly to the woods at the least touch of warmer weather.

They will come back again, however, with the return of cold, since they need the carbonaceous foods when snow and ice are on the ground, even more than they need fat.

STARS, MEN AND ATOMS

Notebook of Science Progress in Field, Laboratory and Study.

BY THOMAS R. HENRY.

ATLANTIC CITY, December 29.—The appalling toll of human life, property and crops exacted from the Nation by the elements—winds, storms, hail, floods, drought and other weather vagaries—was described by J. B. Kincer of the United States Weather Bureau, before the American Meteorological Society.

From tornadoes alone recent compilations show that, for a period of 20 years from 1916 to 1925, 5,224 persons lost their lives and an aggregate property loss of \$230,000,000 was incurred. Kincer stated, while windstorms other than tornadoes, for the same period, cost the Nation \$35,070,000, or an annual average of nearly \$20,000,000.

From floods, for a 34-year period, 1903-1935, approximately 3,000 persons in the United States lost their lives and property was damaged to an extent of \$1,685,000,000, or an annual average of nearly \$50,000,000—exclusive of the incalculable loss through soil erosion by flood rains, he said.

Estimates of losses from drought—exclusive of disastrous droughts of the past few years—place the annual loss to wheat at 135 million bushels; oats, 200 million, and corn, 534 million. Frost annually destroys 50 million bushels of wheat, 22 million bushels of oats and 127 million bushels of corn. And last year costs the Nation 14 million bushels of wheat, 15 million bushels of oats and 23 million bushels of corn.

"When we add to these figures the many less imposing losses by weather vagaries, such as that by fire caused by lightning, amounting on the average to some \$12,000,000 a year, and many others, the total national loss from weather vagaries is found to be very great indeed," Kincer stated.

Referring to the tornado disaster at Gainesville, Ga., last Spring, in which, in the space of a few minutes, more than 200 people were killed and property destroyed to the value of some \$13,000,000, Kincer said that results give a good deal of assurance in favor of heavy masonry and buildings with strong steel framework to withstand such forces. "Heretofore it has been generally believed that nothing could withstand the force of a well developed tornado," he declared.

Outstanding tornadoes in the United States include five that killed more than 100 people each. He reported. These were: Erie, Pa., July 26, 1875, 134 deaths; Louisville, Ky., March 27, 1890, 106 deaths; St. Louis, Mo., May 27, 1896, 306 deaths; the tri-State tornado (Missouri, Illinois and Indiana), March 18, 1925, 689 deaths, and Gainesville, Ga., April 6, 1936, 203 deaths. Of these the tri-State and Gainesville, Ga., tornadoes, as well as the Lorain-Sandusky, Ohio, tornado in 1924, caused property loss of more than \$10,000,000 each.