CITY STATISTICS.

The Tax Levy and What It Has

Money Raised by Taxes Was Spent For-Comparisons.

Following will be found some very interesting tables. The first is a statement of the tax levy, with comparisons with a number of years. It shows the total rate and the apportionment to the different funds, together with the

| amounts produced | | with the | \$198, | 803412004000 |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| second table shows | the valu | e put upon | 98,556 | \$11,944 14,467 8,695 21,818 17,494 26,506 25,589 12,133 13,469 22,913 10,807 12,716 |
| the city property during a series o | f years. I | t shows a | 20 | 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 55 |
| gradual increase. being larger than t The third table is a | the valuat hat of prev | ion in 1889 ious years. | \$193,196 | 3350 9 8 9 7 1 2 2 3 6 7 |
| the moneys expendence | ded in the | exhibit of e different | 96 56 | 127 08 336 06 893 12 893 12 8974 92 974 92 974 92 193 95 193 95 174 53 |
| the moneys expendepartments by mo each instance were records, and form a | taken fromplete | m the city | (CONSTRUCTION OF THE PARTY OF T | |
| the mancial condi | tion of the | city. | \$64,183 | \$3,394 11,697 4,070 4,959 6,713 3,066 1,951 1,951 1,951 2,428 4,371 |
| THE CITY | TAX LE | VY. | # | 66888868888888 |
| 880-81 883-94 885-86 887-89 888-89 889-90 | | Year | \$55,197 | \$5,887 4,254 4,177 8,645 3,853 5,045 2,266 8,783 |
| 525575736123 | l | Cash | 19 | 8640588674888 111778887788 |
| 39000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | | \$175,329 | 20112 22 22 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 |
| \$\$887888888 111512117623 | l , tuet | Fire Departm | 329 38 | 367 00 017 25 017 25 01 |
| 2080880863 | | Gas | | 225145555555555555555555555555555555555 |
| 11984470055 | Sulls | Street Sprin | \$247,306 | 812 568 879 907 935 518 518 518 518 518 518 518 518 518 |
| 44 22221231 5100000000000000000000000000000000000 | | LAbrary | 97 8 | 9982568248888 |
| 15 00 15 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | ····· | вероој | \$23,671 | 2,869 2,869 3,922 2,386 10,613 2,811 |
| 6.00 | ı | Park | 1 03 | 292: 2954: |
| 111111 com=: co | | New Water | \$27;412 | מרוים מרוים מו |
| 5000 5 | 1 | | 112 30 | \$2,186 32 1,468 55 1,746 11 1,746 11 2,241 99 3,352 83 1,827 99 1,811 79 2,812 79 1,811 79 2,776 93 2,276 93 |
| 111111111111111111111111111111111111111 | | Selary | (| 500000000000000000000000000000000000000 |
| 158468678 | | Bond 1881 | \$8,131 | \$267 295 324 606 455 494 949 949 370 370 371 3713 1,713 |
| 14 20 | Sup | School Bulld | 33 | 013675733354007 |
| 11268 30000 | 16 | General Sew | \$20,316 | \$833 1,641 3,379 1,490 1,112 1,228 1,321 1,827 1,827 2,216 |
| 1 | ····tanent | River Impro | 16 56 | 33 82 90 39 12 96 61 86 61 86 61 86 61 10 67 11 61 10 |
| w: @@@H::::: | - зиошелог | Goneral Imp | No. of Lot | |
| 8: 8888: : : : | | | \$40,651 | 353 360 260 251 251 728 814 |
| 98 75 | | School 1372. | 56 \$3 | 88888 : : 88888 |
| | Leaves Services | R. R. Int. an | \$32,606 | 23,893 23,893 23,893 23,893 23,893 23,893 23,893 23,893 23,893 |
| 1: 188334578 | 028 | I nt. and S. 1 | 20 4 | 85568885586 |
| 0 000 | .4781 .8 .b . | W. & Depot 1 | \$41,534 | \$2,601 2,563 2,664 2,932 3,548 3,636 3,636 4,627 2,489 |
| 2 2577888688 | 7781 .8.31 | Main Sewer | 1 58 | 9 23 174 36 174 3 |
| 357914573 3545868688 3579888888888888888888888888888888888888 | TST | Ir. I. I. and S | \$57,763 | \$4,776 4,877 4,878 4,878 4,878 4,878 8,878 8,878 |
| 10 000 10 000 10 000 10 000 10 000 | 8781 .8 | Gen. I. I.and | 63 88 | 8377777775587768 838888888888888 |
| ## I | 8 bas . | P. S. Imp't I | \$193,564 | \$11 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 |
| œ : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : | | Int'l.S. S. I. | | 000 1475 1475 166 000 089 013 |
| 5600 | | City Hall | 39 * | 888888888888888888888888888888888888888 |
| 00 18 60 | | Bridge | \$ 1,802 00 | 1743 10 |
| | | 9 | The same of the sa | 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 |
| 130 00 100 00 100 00 170 00 170 00 120 00 130 00 110 00 120 00 | | Total | \$1,538 05 | \$32 150 107 802 802 802 |
| | | | 2 | 88 8: 8888888 |
| VALUATIONS- | CITY ASS | ESSOR. | | |
| * * 1 * 7 | V H H | ESSOR. | \$1,134 | \$136 86 112 199 154 103 164 |
| * * 1 * 7 | V H H | ESSOR. | \$1,134 75 | \$136 00 112 00 114 00 164 00 164 00 |
| * * 1 * 7 | | ESSOR. | \$1,134 75 | |
| P P 1 7 7 | V H H | ESSOR. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 | 8: 8883: 888: |
| Personal Property Franchises Total Amount of Assessment after Equalization Amount of Tax Levy | V H H | | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 | \$46 50 1,913 48 00 1,913 98 00 1,913 95 00 1,791 95 00 1,796 95 1,606 50 1,456 48 00 1,146 48 00 1,456 48 |
| Personal Property Franchises Total Amount of Assessment after Equalization Amount of Tax Levy | V H H | 1980-41. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 | \$66 000 1,931 000 1,791 000 1,791 000 1,791 000 1,791 000 1,496 000 1,496 000 1,496 000 1,496 000 1,496 000 1,496 000 1,496 000 1,791 000 1,791 00 |
| Personal Property Franchises Total Amount of Assessment after Equalization Amount of Tax Levy | Real Estate | 1880-91. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 | \$66 50 \$341 94 914 68 399 08 000 1,919 68 1,348 02 000 1,921 95 3,593 88 000 1,791 50 4,234 77 966 95 789 58 000 1,496 48 746 20 000 1,496 48 746 20 000 1,496 48 746 20 000 1,696 50 746 20 000 1,696 50 746 20 000 1,696 48 746 20 |
| Personal Property Franchises Total Amount of Assessment after Equalization Amount of Tax Levy | Real Estate | | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 | \$66 50 \$341 94 \$174 60 1914 68 389 08 177 20 00 1.943 84 1348 02 273 60 00 1.791 95 424 77 223 00 1.496 48 746 20 576 576 576 576 576 576 576 576 576 576 |
| Personal Property 240.681 Franchises 240.681 Total 7,259,688 7,627,632 Amount of Tax Levy 294,370.17 775,749.28 | Real Estate \$1,013,985 \$5,11 Improvements 2,179,985 2,5 Money 38,360 | 1880-91. 1881-92. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 | \$00 1,914 68 389 08 171 20 1,725 00 1,914 68 389 08 177 20 1,725 00 1,914 68 389 08 177 20 1,725 00 1,921 00 1,921 00 1,921 00 1,921 00 1,921 00 1,921 00 1,921 00 1,921 00 1,931 00 1,931 00 1,436 48 746 20 576 58 711 80 83 776 20 1,436 48 746 20 1,332 46 |
| Personal Property Franchises Total Amount of Assessment after Equalization Amount of Tax Levy | Real Estate | 1880-91. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 | \$66 50 \$341 94 \$174 60 1914 68 389 08 177 20 00 1.943 84 1348 02 273 60 00 1.791 95 424 77 223 00 1.496 48 746 20 576 576 576 576 576 576 576 576 576 576 |
| Personal Property. 1,124,643 1,370,221 Franchises 240,691 190,228 240,691 190,228 Total 7,259,698 47,574,928 49,294,074 Amount of Tax Levy 494,370,17 475,749,26 470,626,81 | Real Estate \$1,013,985 \$5,11 Improvements 2,179,985 2,5 Money 38,360 | 1880-41. 1881-82. 1882-83. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 | \$00 1,914 68 389 08 171 20 1,725 00 1,914 68 389 08 177 20 1,725 00 1,914 68 389 08 177 20 1,725 00 1,921 00 1,921 00 1,921 00 1,921 00 1,921 00 1,921 00 1,921 00 1,921 00 1,931 00 1,931 00 1,436 48 746 20 576 58 711 80 83 776 20 1,436 48 746 20 1,332 46 |
| Personal Property. 1,124,643 1,370,221 Franchises 240,691 190,228 240,691 190,228 Total 7,259,698 47,574,928 49,294,074 Amount of Tax Levy 494,370,17 475,749,26 470,626,81 | Real Estate \$1,013,985 \$5,11 Improvements 2,179,985 2,5 Money 38,360 | 1880-91. 1881-92. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 90 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 \$9,565 25 | \$466 50 \$341 94 \$174 60 \$595 40 \$1.330 67 \$101 48 \$39 08 \$171 20 \$1.225 80 \$1.236 55 \$1.90 00 \$1.91 96 \$1.340 92 \$73 60 \$1.570 30 \$723 63 \$1.90 \$1.791 50 \$1.575 50 \$1.272 63 \$1 |
| Personal Property 1,124,643 1,370,221 Franchises 240,681 180,228 Total 1,259,688 17,627,632 19,308,447 112,235,083 Amount of Tax Levy 194,370,17 175,749,26 170,626,81 112,232,883 | Real Estate \$4,013,955 \$5,160,283 \$ Improvements 2,179,985 2,538,013 \$ Money 38,360 59,642 \$ | 1880-41. 1881-82. 1882-83. 1883-84. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 90 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 \$9,565 25 | \$466 50 \$341 94 \$177 60 \$8995 40 \$1,330 67 \$1,892 10 1914 68 389 08 177 20 1,225 80 1,236 51 1,577 10 1,599 80 1,236 51 1,577 80 1,236 51 1,577 80 1,236 51 1,577 80 1,236 51 1,577 80 1,236 51 1,577 80 1,236 51 1,577 80 1,236 51 1,577 80 1,236 51 1,577 80 1,236 50 |
| Personal Property 1,124,643 1,370,221 Franchises 240,681 180,228 Total 1,259,688 17,627,632 19,308,447 112,235,083 Amount of Tax Levy 194,370,17 175,749,26 170,626,81 112,232,883 | Real Estate \$4,013,955 \$5,160,283 \$ Improvements 2,179,985 2,538,013 \$ Money 38,360 59,642 \$ | 1880-41. 1881-82. 1882-83. 1883-84. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 \$9,565 25 \$9,827 01 | \$466 50 \$341 94 \$174 60 \$595 40 \$1.330 67 \$101 48 \$39 08 \$171 20 \$1.225 80 \$1.236 55 \$1.90 00 \$1.91 96 \$1.340 92 \$73 60 \$1.570 30 \$723 63 \$1.90 \$1.791 50 \$1.575 50 \$1.272 63 \$1 |
| Personal Property 1,124,643 1,370,221 2,222,510 Franchises 240,691 180,228 171,150 Total 1,259,688 17,527,632 19,398,447 112,235,053 114,721,215 Amount of Tax Levy 194,370.17 175,749.26 170,626,81 112,232,853 1251,286.13 | Real Estate \$1,013,985 \$5,11 Improvements 2,179,985 2,5 Money 38,360 | 1880-41. 1881-82. 1882-83. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 \$9,565 25 \$9,827 01 | \$466 50 \$341 94 \$174 60 \$895 40 \$1.330 67 \$1.692 35 \$171 650 1.994 68 1.348 62 57 80 1.225 80 1.236 55 1.977 19 650 1.994 68 1.348 62 57 80 1.256 55 1.977 19 650 1.295 63 1.994 69 1.994 69 1.296 50 1.297 50 1.292 60 1.297 50 1.293 69 1.297 50 1.293 69 1.297 50 1.293 69 1.297 50 1.293 69 1.297 50 1.293 69 1.297 50 1.294 69 1.297 50 1.294 69 1.297 50 1.294 69 1.297 50 1.294 69 1.297 50 1.294 69 1.297 50 1.294 69 1.297 50 1.294 69 1.297 50 1.294 69 1.297 50 1.294 69 1.297 50 1.294 69 1.297 50 1.294 69 1.297 50 1.294 69 1.2 |
| Personal Property 1,124,643 1,370,221 2,222,510 Franchises 240,691 180,228 171,150 Total 1,259,688 17,527,632 19,398,447 112,235,053 114,721,215 Amount of Tax Levy 194,370.17 175,749.26 170,626,81 112,232,853 1251,286.13 | Real Estate \$1,013,935 \$5,160,263 \$8,294,475 \$100,000 Improvements 2,179,985 2,538,013 3,977,128 Money 33,360 59,042 65,955 | 1880-91. 1881-82. 1882-83. 1883-84. 1894-85. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 \$9,565 25 \$0,827 01 \$5,181 05 | \$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc |
| Personal Property 1,124,643 1,370,221 2,222,510 2,347,73 Franchises 240,081 180,228 171,150 173,91 Total 7,259,688 47,627,632 49,308,447 412,235,053 414,721,215 417,432,43 Amount of Tax Levy 494,370,17 475,749,96 470,826,81 412,232,853 412,232,853 4251,296,13 4195,282,853 | Real Estate \$4,013,955 \$5,160,283 \$ Improvements 2,179,985 2,538,013 \$ Money 38,360 59,642 \$ | 1880-41. 1881-82. 1882-83. 1883-84. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 \$9,565 25 \$9,827 01 \$3,181 05 \$2,615 | \$466 50 \$341 94 \$174 60 \$4995 40 \$1.330 67 \$1,692 35 \$171 02 \$292 35 \$171 02 \$292 35 \$171 02 \$292 35 \$171 02 \$292 35 \$171 02 \$292 35 \$195 61 \$ |
| Personal Property 1,124,643 1,370,221 2,222,510 2,347,73 Franchises 240,081 180,228 171,150 173,91 Total 7,259,688 47,627,632 49,308,447 412,235,053 414,721,215 417,432,43 Amount of Tax Levy 494,370,17 475,749,96 470,826,81 412,232,853 412,232,853 4251,296,13 4195,282,853 | Real Estate \$4,013,935 \$5,160,283 \$8,294,475 \$9,212,508 Improvements 2,179,985 2,538,013 3,977,128 4,570,185 Money 33,360 59,642 65,985 128,107 | 1880-91. 1881-82. 1882-83. 1883-84. 1894-85. 1883-86. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 \$9,565 25 \$9,827 01 \$3,181 05 \$2,615 | \$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc |
| Personal Property 1,124,643 1,370,221 2,222,510 2,347,73 Franchises 240,081 180,228 171,150 173,91 Total 7,259,688 47,627,632 49,308,447 412,235,053 414,721,215 417,432,43 Amount of Tax Levy 494,370,17 475,749,96 470,826,81 412,232,853 412,232,853 4251,296,13 4195,282,853 | Real Estate \$4,013,935 \$5,160,283 \$8,294,475 \$9,212,508 Improvements 2,179,985 2,538,013 3,977,128 4,570,185 Money 33,360 59,642 65,985 128,107 | 1880-91. 1881-82. 1882-83. 1883-84. 1894-85. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 \$9,565 25 \$9,827 01 \$3,181 05 \$2,615 | \$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc |
| Personal Property 1,124,643 1,370,221 2,222,510 2,347,73 Franchises 240,081 180,228 171,150 173,91 Total 7,259,688 47,627,632 49,308,447 412,235,053 414,721,215 417,432,43 Amount of Tax Levy 494,370,17 475,749,96 470,826,81 412,232,853 412,232,853 4251,296,13 4195,282,853 | Real Estate \$1,013,985 \$5,160,283 \$8,934,475 \$9,212,508 \$11,948,815 \$1 Improvements 2,179,985 2,538,013 3,977,128 4,570,185 4,948,030 Money 33,360 59,642 65,955 128,107 108,058 | 1880-91. 1881-82. 1882-83. 1883-84. 1894-85. 1885-86. 1886-97. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 \$9,565 25 \$9,827 01 \$3,181 05 \$2,615 | \$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc |
| Personal Property 1,124,643 1,370,221 2,222,510 2,347,73 Franchises 240,081 180,228 171,150 173,91 Total 7,259,688 47,627,632 49,308,447 412,235,053 414,721,215 417,432,43 Amount of Tax Levy 494,370,17 475,749,96 470,826,81 412,232,853 412,232,853 4251,296,13 4195,282,853 | Real Estate \$1,013,985 \$5,160,283 \$8,934,475 \$9,212,508 \$11,948,815 \$1 Improvements 2,179,985 2,538,013 3,977,128 4,570,185 4,948,030 Money 33,360 59,642 65,955 128,107 108,058 | 1880-91. 1881-82. 1882-83. 1883-84. 1894-85. 1883-86. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 \$9,565 25 \$9,827 01 \$3,181 05 \$2,615 | \$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc |
| Personal Property 1,124,643 1,370,221 2,222,510 2,347,73 Franchises 240,081 180,228 171,150 173,91 Total 7,259,688 47,627,632 49,308,447 412,235,053 414,721,215 417,432,43 Amount of Tax Levy 494,370,17 475,749,96 470,826,81 412,232,853 412,232,853 4251,296,13 4195,282,853 | Real Estate \$4,013,985 \$5,160,983 \$4,934,475 \$9,212,508 \$11,948,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$11,048, | 1890-91. 1881-92. 1882-83. 1883-84. 1894-85. 1885-86. 1886-97. 1887-88. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 \$9,565 25 \$9,827 01 \$3,181 05 \$2,615 | \$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc |
| Personal Property 1,124,643 1,370,221 2,222,510 2,347,73 Franchises 240,081 180,228 171,150 173,91 Total 7,259,688 47,627,632 49,308,447 412,235,053 414,721,215 417,432,43 Amount of Tax Levy 494,370,17 475,749,96 470,826,81 412,232,853 412,232,853 4251,296,13 4195,282,853 | Real Estate \$4,013,985 \$5,160,983 \$4,934,475 \$9,212,508 \$11,948,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$11,048, | 1890-91. 1881-92. 1882-83. 1883-84. 1894-85. 1885-86. 1886-97. 1887-88. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 \$9,565 25 \$9,827 01 \$3,181 05 \$2,615 | \$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc |
| Personal Property 1,124,643 1,370,221 2,222,510 2,347,720 2,398,122 3,145,711 4,217,061 Franchises 240,661 180,223 110,223 171,150 173,915 60,900 50,200 50,200 7,000 10,0 | Real Estate \$4,013,985 \$5,160,983 \$8,294,475 \$9,212,508 \$11,948,815 \$18,772,999 \$27,832,408 Improvements 2,179,985 2,538,013 3,977,128 4,570,185 4,948,030 6,015,870 6,048,415 Money 38,360 59,642 05,955 128,107 108,058 523,368 1,386,954 | 1880-91. 1881-82. 1882-83. 1883-84. 1894-85. 1885-86. 1880-97. 1887-88. 1888-89. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 \$9,565 25 \$9,827 01 \$3,181 05 \$2,615 | \$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc |
| Personal Property 1,124,643 1,370,221 2,222,510 2,347,720 2,398,122 3,145,711 4,217,061 Franchises 240,661 180,223 110,223 171,150 173,915 60,900 50,200 50,200 7,000 10,0 | Real Estate \$4,013,985 \$5,160,983 \$8,294,475 \$9,212,508 \$11,948,815 \$18,772,999 \$27,832,408 Improvements 2,179,985 2,538,013 3,977,128 4,570,185 4,948,030 6,015,870 6,048,415 Money 38,360 59,642 05,955 128,107 108,058 523,368 1,386,954 | 1880-91. 1881-82. 1882-83. 1883-84. 1894-85. 1885-86. 1880-97. 1887-88. 1888-89. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 \$9,565 25 \$9,827 01 \$3,181 05 \$2,615 | \$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc |
| Personal Property 1,124,643 1,370,221 2,222,510 2,347,73 Franchises 240,081 180,228 171,150 173,91 Total 7,259,688 47,627,632 49,308,447 412,235,053 414,721,215 417,432,43 Amount of Tax Levy 494,370,17 475,749,96 470,826,81 412,232,853 412,232,853 4251,296,13 4195,282,853 | Real Estate \$4,013,985 \$5,160,983 \$4,934,475 \$9,212,508 \$11,948,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$11,048,915 \$18,772,999 \$11,048,915 \$11,048, | 1890-91. 1881-92. 1882-83. 1883-84. 1894-85. 1885-86. 1886-97. 1887-88. | \$1,134 75 \$12,314 99 \$19,108 02 \$6,738 35 \$17,360 80 \$9,565 25 \$0,827 01 \$5,181 05 | \$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc |

4

CITY EXPENSES.

16,406 34,787 24,604 15,604 15,865 14,387 17,365 13,538 13,538 14,094 15,367

8208545295538

\$23,193 20,459 24,9459 24,028 24,028 20,196 20,196 13,298 13,298 13,298 13,298 13,811 12,873 13,444 15,804 65972288927356

| | Estimates Required for the Fis 1890-91. | cal Yes | L. |
|-----|---|---------|------|
| | Interest and sinking fund, 1870\$ Main sewer interest and sinking | 4,707 | 25 |
| | fund, 1887 | 990 | 16 |
| | and sinking fund, 1877 | 1.450 | 19 |
| 373 | sinking fund | 2.466 | 30 |
| | Bon fund of 1881 | 4,060 | |
| | General improvement bond fund. | 2,000 | V |
| | School improvement interest and | 56,671 | 779. |
| М | sinking fund Internal sewer system interest and | 20,000 | 00 |
| | sinking fund | 07 400 | - |
| | Sinking lund | 37,400 | |
| | Cash fund | 257,626 | |
| | Gas fund | 64,249 | 43 |
| | Fire department fund | 67.699 | 24 |
| | Street sprinkling fund | 26,007 | 43 |
| | General sewer fund | 1.359 | |
| | Common school fund | | |
| | Tommon school rund | 78,847 | |
| | Library fund | 28,017 | |
| | Park fund | 62,861 | 22 |
| | | | |

Fund.

22-2: 8: 8: 8

This is the great problem of life which few satisfactorily solve. Some fail because of poor health, others want of luck, but the majority from deficient grit—want of nerve. They are nervous, irresolute, changeble, easily get the blues and "t-ke the spirits down to keep the pirits up." thus wasting money, time, opportunity and nerve ferce. There is nothing like the Restorative Nervine, discovered by the great specialist, Dr. Miles, to cure all nervous fitnesses, as headache, the blues, nervous prostation, sleeplessness, neuralgis, St. Vitus dance, fits, and hysteria. Trial bottles and fine book of testimonials free at R. W. Ellis & Co.

nd a Christmas present to your eastern dos of Pure California Wines. H. J. Illacott, 124 and 128 N. Spring st., will de-two cases. 24 bottles, to any part of the ted States for 59 00.

of a proposed compromise between plaintiff and other property-owners on the street.

Alice Dehail et al. vs. City of Los Angeles—This suit was brought for the same purpose as the last one. The case is at issue, and will be set for trial at the next term of court.

M. H. Bixby et al. vs. City and others—This case is brought to quiet plaintiff's title to certian lands in which the city claimed no interest. A disclaimer was filed per your instructions.

Alfred Solano et al. vs. Len J. Thompson and City of Los Angeles—Is a suit brought to prevent the collection of certain taxes raised by the board of equalization. The suit is still pending.

Jesse Yarnell vs. H. T. Hazard and the City Council—This case was brought to enjoin the loaning of the city money to the City bank. The action was decided in favor of the city in the superior court, was appealed by plaintiff to the supreme court at the October term last, and a decision may be expected at any time.

Depot Railway Company vs. Morford

on poles from twelve to flity feet high, or suspended at street intersections. To convey the electric current necessary to light these lamps, sixty-five miles of main wire is required.

The lamps are lighted on what is known as "moonlight schedule." That is, they are lighted one half hour after sunset, or one hour before moon-set, and are extinguished one hour before sun rise or one hour after moon rise, each night, except the night of full moon and the night before, and the night after full moon, when no lamps are lighted. The price paid by the city is on the basis of \$12 per 2000 candle power lamp per month.

During the past year the Los Angeles Electric company has added an incandescent plant to its system. This plant, complete, cost about \$15,000. Among other improvements it has built a new gasometer, at a cost of \$46,000. It has built a new brick retort house at a cost of \$30,000. It has built a new brick exhauster house, at a cost of \$3000. It has built a new brick exhauster house, at a cost of \$25,000, and it is now contemplating the extension of its pipe system to East Los Angeles and Boyle Heights.

The Herald Job Office is now better prepared to turn out first-class job printing than ever. Give us a call when in need of printing of any description.

| NSES | | 设度到10年的10 0 | DED INDEBTEDNES | | THE CITY'S LAW SUITS. | join the collection of assessment for widening Second etreet. I have recom- mended the making of a new assessment | REAL ESTATE. |
|---------|-----------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| | Months. | Los As ing Fu 1890-18 | ngeles, with Interest and nd, as a Basis of Tax L 91. | Sink- | The Present Status of Municipal Litigation. | mended the making of a new assessment on this street, which will correct the matters complained of. J. M. Davies vs. City of Los Angeles and W. E. Morford—This case is similar | Record of the Transfers for Severa Years. |
| 1889 | | July 1 | August 1, August 1, April 1, 18, April 2, 1, April 3, 18, July 1, 188 January 1, October 1, | Date of | A Full List of all Suits in Which the City Is Interested, and Their Status for the Year Past. | to the last one. It was won by the plaintiff in the lower court and taken to the supreme court on the appeal of the city, to test the validity of the street opening law. The supreme court de- cided that the act under which we were | Over Twelve Thousand—A Resume of the Business for the Past Twelve Months With Comparisons. |
| 1890 | ash Fund. | | 1870 78 77 1877 1878, to 11890 1. 1888 1889 | Bonds. | The litigation in which the city is in- terested, with the condition of the cases, is shown by the following taken from | judgment of the lower court on account of a technical irregularity in the pro- | The real estate transfers during the year amounted to \$19,344,187, or about 40 per cent. less than in 1889. The probabilities are that if the amount representations are that if the amount representation is the second representation of the second representation of the second representation is the second representation of the second representation of the second representation is the second representation of the second represent |
| 90. | 1 | Int. Sewer | Funded D Main Sew Irrigation Gen. Irrig Bonds of Gen. Impt Gen. Impt Gen. Impt Gen. Impt Gen. Impt | | the city attorney's report for the year up to December 6th: The following is a list of the cases, to- gether with a brief statement of the dis- | ceedings of the commissioners, and to remedy this I have recommended that a new assessment be made at once. H. Saunders vs. City and W. E. Mor- ford—This action was brought to pre- | sented by the transfers under the hear nominal considerations were known the difference would not be so great. This is the one division of the transfers that has held its |
| 1889. | Salary I | Int. Sewer System Bonds, not Totals. | ebt, Bonds er Bonds, 1 Imp. Bond antion Bonds 1881 Bonds, 1st Bonds, 1st Bonds, 3d provement | Name of B | position that has been made of them or their present status: H. W. Iatham vs. city of Los Angeles and J. W. Biralaw. This is an action | vent the collection of assessment for opening Third street. The case is now pending on a demurrer to the plaintiff's complaint | or the transfers that has held its own, and it is to be regretted that there are no means of knowing how much the actual amount of the transfers was dur- ing the year. The record shows that there was a brisk business during the |
| 1890. | und. | nds, not iss | s, 1877. s, 1878. s, 1878. teries teries teries Bonds | onds. | affecting the title to the land upon which the Plaza engine house stands. Judgment was rendered against the city in 1883; an appeal was taken to the su- preme court, and this appeal was sub- mitted on briefs at the October term of | poles of the Electric railway company | there was a brisk business during the spring of the year, but that it decreased during the summer, and that toward the close of the year matters looked con- siderably better, with indications of a |
| 1889. | | 1,031 | # 76 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 | An. Outsts | mitted on briefs at the October term of the supreme court, and a decision may be expected any time. City vs. Cromwell Galpin, et al.—This action has been tried and a judgment rendered against the defendant Clemans, | geles—An action brought to recover \$1000 for services rendered under a contract make with the city during the | lively business next spring. A large number of the purchasers during the year were people who bought for the purpose of building homes. There was very little speculation, those who have |
| 1890. | e Fund. | 220,000 00 Ju | 000 00 July 000 000 00 July 000 00 00 July 000 00 00 00 00 00 00 July 000 00 00 00 00 July 000 00 00 July 000 00 00 July 000 000 July 000 00 00 July 000 00 July 000 00 July 000 00 July 000 00 00 July 000 00 Jul | nount . | awarding the city the piece of property in the intersection of Ward and Fig- ueroa streets. Clemans is taking steps to appeal the action | and a verdict rendered against the city, and it is now pending on appeal. O. W. Childs vs. City of Los Angeles. | invested for that purpose preferring to hold for an advance to selling during a dull season. Following is a resume of |
| 18 | | July 1, 1891, | ugust 1. 188 pily 1, 1901 pily 1, 1901 ility years liy 1, 1906 liy 1, 1906 liy 1, 1907 nuary 1, 19 c 1, 1890 t 1, 1890 ly 1, 1891, t | When | H. S. Parcels vs. City of Los Angeles —This action was brought to recover \$5050 alleged to be due Mr. Parcels as fees while acting as tax collector. The case has been tried in the superior court | proceedings be stoned in the opening | comparison with 1887, 1888 and 1889: January February Fe |
| 89. | School Fun | to July 1, 19 | 5 | n.Due. | and judgment rendered in favor of the city. An appeal has been taken and is now pending in the supreme court. L. H. Bugelow vs. City of Los Angeles. | where lines of the street should be. Henry Obee vs. City—Suit brought to quiet title to certain lot and a dis- | 1887. 398 316 382 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 40 |
| 1890. | P | 1911. 5 " | 00000011 111 Per | Rate | oin the building of the First-street via- duct. The injunction was denied in the superior court, and upon an appeal taken to the supreme court the judg- | advice. M. F. Lawson vs. City—Same. People vs. City and L. M. Bigelow— This suit is brought to determine | Nominal. 1888, 1889. 583 500 632 539 719 538 704 561 539 506 621 518 497 5191 502 4323 66 583 500 67 444 445 583 500 |
| 1889- | Park | | ct annually. semi-arry | e of annual Interest. | ment of the lower court, denying an injunction to Mrs. Bigelow, was sustained. H. W. Mills vs. City of Los Angeles.— | whether or not the land upon which the Plaza engine-house stands should not be used for park purposes. The case is now pending on demurrer to the com- plaint. | 1890 1897 77 4455 79 4455 79 100 253 111 253 1 |
| 1890. | Fund. | \$58,240 | \$ 5,320 1,470 1,470 2,800 4,080 9,000 9,000 10,000 7,700 | Amount Annual Ir | lying in Second street between Main and Spring. The city won the case in the superior court, and Mills has appealed, and the case is now pending in the su- | A. J. Cobb vs. City of Los Angeles— This suit was brought to recover \$3000 for alleged wrongful action of policeman in preventing plaintiff from selling fruit | Under \$1000 |
| 1889. | - | 00 \$71,700 | 3 8888888 888 | t of Sinking to Int. pay bo | to open Mozart street, the defendant having closed the street, and claiming | Los Angeles Cemetery Association vs. | D Each. 1889, 1890, 771 333 772 333 773 373 774 375 775 377 877 877 877 877 877 877 877 877 877 |
| 18 | rary Fund. | 8 88 | | fund. Bal | Main Street and Agricultural Park Railroad vs. Morford—This action was | City—This suit is for the purpose of quieting title to certain lands claimed by plaintiff, now in the line of East First street. This case is at issue, and will be set for trial at the next term of | Over \$ 1887. 18 933 1. 1.001 1. 1.002 1. 1.003 1 |
| 90. | | 20,625 95 | 822 64 6 68 | 12 No. 11 | brought to enjoin the removal of a part of plaintiff's buildings, which are claimed to be in the line of washing- ton street. This action is at issue, and | Court. Los Angeles Cemetery Association vs. City, No. 2—This case is brought to recover damages claimed to have been caused by reason of grading First street. | 88, 1889. 1 096 804 4283 763 4283 |
| 1889. | Sprinkling | Interest an | Required for the Fiscal 1890-91. d sinking fund, 1870\$ 4, r interest and sinking | ,707 25 990 16 | will be tried in the coming year. French Benevolent Society vs. City.— This action is brought to enjoin the grading of Yale street, on the ground that a protest of a majority of the prop- | This suit is at issue, and will be set for trial at the next term of court. City of Los Angeles vs. Ella M. Linde et al.—This case is brought against 130 | 1890 1890 1890 1890 1890 1890 1890 1890 |
| 1890. | Fund. | and sinki General is sinking f Bon fund General im School im | rigation interest and und 2 | 450 19 3,466 30 3,060 00 3,671 41 | still pending. Louis Phillips et al. vs. Len J.Thompson and the City.—An action brought by the plaintiff and fifty-five others to | defendants to determine any damages they may claim by reason of the regrad- ing of Temple street. Nearly all of the defendants have been served and the others will be served as rapidly as possi- | 006.970 006.970 500.083 500.083 77 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 |
| 1889. | Ga | sinking f Internal se sinking f Cash fund. Gas fund. Fire depart | of 1881 provement bond fund 56, provement interest and und 20 wer system interest and 21 und 257 ment fund 67, ukling fund 67, ukling fund 78, uc of u | ,000 00 ,400 00 ,626 49 ,249 43 ,699 24 | prevent the collection of certain taxes, on the ground that the action of the board of equalization was illegal and void. This case was decided against the city, and a motion for a new trial is now | ble. St. Paul's School vs. City of Los Angeles—This suit is brought to quiet title to certain land claimed by the city on a part of Ward street. The case is at is- | 1888. 1888. 1888. 1888. 1888. 1889. 1755. 1881. |
| 1890. | s Fund. | Park fund. | | 2,861 22 | pending. Mary A. Mooney vs. City of Los Angeles—This action was brought to quiet title to a piece of land on the corner of | sue and will be set for trial as soon as possible. First National Bank vs. City Council | 1889. 1889. 1889. 1889. 1889. 1889. 1889. 1887.692 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 1.526.5919 |
| 186 | | Former 1 | Los Angeles Notables the Sound Country. eman from the Sound co | Up in | been taken by the city for street pur- poses, and which plaintiff claims be- longs to her. This case is at issue, and will be set for trial at the January term | equalization. This case has been decided in favor of the plaintiff. Farmers and Merchants Bank vs. City Council—Brought for the same purpose | \$1,423,25 1,142,325 1,147,298 1,147,298 1,147,298 1,147,298 1,147,298 1,147,298 1,147,298 1,147,298 1,147,298 1,147,298 1,147,298 1,147,298 1,147,298 1,148,008 |
| 9. 1 | City Hall Fur | last even considera Angeleño | ing told a Herald reble that is of interest be DePuy, whose wife icide in this city, is practically in the control of the cont | about com- | of court. Mary A. Mooney vs. W. E. Morford and the Mayor and Council—This action is brought to recover damages in the amount of \$23,400, alleged to be due for | and same action taken. Los Angeles Savings Bank vs. City Council—Same. Same. M. C. Mareh vs. City and Others— This suit has just been brought, and is | No. J 5077 # 8 6066 3 5586 1 5586 1 5 |
| 890. | F | law at Ser pawnshor acts the g the Soun | attle. Louis Levy is run o at Tacoma. Barney gentleman in different ci d country. Loskay, for | Levy ties in | cutting down certain trees upon the land at the corner of Main and Jefferson streets, and which land the city claims is a part of Jefferson street. This case is at issue, and will be set for trial at | for the purpose of foreclosing a street lien on certain property claimed by the city as a part of Pasadena avenue. City of Los Angeles vs. M. D. Johnson and his bondsmen—Is an action just | Amount. 528,153 528,153 464,453 748,153 748,153 758,153 768 |
| 1889. | Dog Fun | of the St. high-tone Alford, Los Ar | Elmo billiard parlors, d saloon at Port Tow who was fired from | runs a nsend. | Frick Bros. vs. Morford—This action was brought to compel the street super- intendent to make a new assessment for | brought for the purpose of collecting certain interest claimed to be due the city upon the city money from the time it was ordered to be turned over to the City bank until it was actually turned | 183 6522 73 6522 73 6523 1,63 6523 1 |
| 1890. | Ā | Victoria l | til recently an office. He got bounced intoxicated. B. Perry into a big sport, and his headquarters. Stewang lawyer who furnished. | makes | submitted at the last term of court. The following cases have been brought | over, Mr. Johnson in the meantime having been enjoined by the superior court. The following cases have been prose- | 94. 94. 94. 94. 94. 94. 94. 94. 94. 94. |
| 1889. | New Wat | will be re recently of | ng lawyer who furnished sensation for the Los A practicing law at Seatt membered that Stewart lied here under suspicion | de. It | J. M. Davies vs. City of Los Angeles— This action was brought to enjoin the sale of certain lots for the assessment made by the commissioners for widen- | cuted in the police court for the eleven months ending November 1, 1890: Number of drunks | 1885 No. Am. 483 604 503 604 503 902 503 902 503 902 503 902 503 902 503 1944 471 89,956 |
| 1890. | er Fund. | was until Tacoma. afternoon | es. Carlton Kemp, we couple of years for the Exrecently on the Globe s Sackett Cornell has standaily on his own acco | staff at rted an ount at | ing and opening Davies street. A de- murrer was sustained to the complaint, and plaintiff has never amended. Depot Railway company vs. City—A similar suit, and brought to enjoin the | Total number of cases. 1,140 Total amount of fines imposed and col- lected \$2,572 Total number of days' imprisonment im- posed 5,247 In addition to the foregoing the city | 252 00 11 |
| 1889. | Depo | Seattle. In and is not gamblers ished here | Young Morford is at Fairl doing much for himself and adventuresses who in boom days are sos at the northwest. | haven, i. The offour- attered | sale of certain property to pay assesss ment made for the opening of Davie- street. Action still pending. Katie Wells vs. W. E. Morford et al.— | attorney has prepared several hundred ordinances, has drawn all contracts necessary, and has prepared numerous written opinions for the city council and the city officers. | 1886. 1896. Amou Amou Amou Birth 1,01 1,01 1,01 1,01 1,01 1,01 1,01 1,0 |
| 1890. | sit Fund. | The follone hund | The Rates They Pay. owing is the tax rate on red dollars for the currer fferent counties of the | nt year | An action brought to enjoin the street superintendent from removing a bridge from street to sidewalk, used for carriage drive. A permanent injunction was granted the plantiff. | PLENTY OF LIGHT. The Extent of the City's Electric | H18.988 - 2.0 46.2986 - 2.0 46.298 |
| 1889. | Redem | Alameda, \$1.75; Bu | \$1.30; Alpine, \$3; Ar itte, \$1.40; Calaveras, | mador, \$1.80; | -M. M. Bovard et al. vs. W. E. Mor- ford—This suit was brought to enjoin the collection of assessment for opening Sixth street east. The injunction has been dissolved and the street superin- | Light System. Los Angeles is eminently a well- lighted city. The Los Angeles Lighting company controls both the gas and | 1887. Amoun Amoun Also Als |
| 1390. | ption Fund | \$1.33; H Kern, \$1. Los Angel posa, \$2.5 \$1.50; Mo | 1.20; Contra Costa, \$1.3 85; El Dorado, \$2.10; F umboldt, \$1.40; Inyo, 50; Lake, \$1.70; Lassen, 1.8, \$1.50; Marin, \$1.30; 4; Mendocino, \$1.75; M doc, \$1.70; Mono, \$2.60 | \$2.50; \$1.90; Mari- Ierced, | tendent ordered to proceed. | electric light plants, and shows a dispo- sition to keep pace with the progress the city is making in other ways. The city is lighted by 217 electric | nt. No. 3,5270 2,087 3,588 3,5 |
| 1889 | 9 | terey, \$1.7 Orange, \$ \$2.50; Sa \$1.60; Sar | 5; Napa, \$1.50; Nevada \$1.75; Placer, \$1.80; Pl cramento, \$1.30; San F a Bernardino, \$1.60; San an Francisco, \$1.61; Sa 2; San Luis Obispo, \$1.4 | lumas, Benito, Diego, | proceed. J. F. Smith vs. Morford.—Same condition as the last-named case. Charles Gassen vs. Morford.—Same | lamps; 117 of these are located on thirty- four 150-foot masts. The balance are on poles from twelve to fifty feet high, or suspended at street intersections. To | 1888. Amount 45.640.1 7.660.2 7.660. |
| 9. 1890 | ax Funds. | quin, \$1.1 Mateo, \$1 ta Clara, ta, \$2.35; | an Francisco, \$1.61; Sa 2; San Luis Obispo, \$1.4 35; Santa Barbara, \$1.45 \$1.30; Santa Cruz, \$1.85; Sierra, \$2.80; Siskiyou, | 14; San 5; San- ; Shas- , \$1.50; | condition as last case. Antonio Valla vs. City of Los Angeles and W. E. Morford.—This suit is brought to enjoin the selling of plaintiff's property to pay assessment for widening First street. This case is awaiting the result | convey the electric current necessary to light these lamps, sixty-five miles of main wire is required. The lamps are lighted on what is | 16. No. 1175 2.065 5871 1.755 2.065 5917 2.065 5917 1.7 |
| 0. 18 | Gene | \$0lano, \$1 \$1.33; S Trinity, \$ \$1.95; Ver \$1.85 | 3.35; Santa Bardara, \$1.36; \$1.30; Santa Cruz, \$1.85; Sierra, \$2.80; Siskiyou, .37; Sonoma, \$1.25; Stan utter, \$1.10; Tehama, 2.80; Tulare, \$1.40; Tuol ntura, \$1.55; Yolo, \$1.40; ese rates do not include a | \$1.60; lumne, Yuba, | of a proposed compromise between plain- tiff and other property-owners on the street. | known as "moonlight schedule." That is, they are lighted one half hour after sunset, or one hour before moon-set, and are extinguished one hour before sun rise or one hour after moon rise, each | 1889. Amount. \$3,575.6 \$3,575.6 \$3,566.4 \$4,524.5 \$1,638.3 |
| 89. 18 | eral Sewer F | taxes levi taxes or s improven county ta | led in school districts, no uny other taxes for local nents. They are the sta xes. It will be noticed the | public ste and hat the | Alice Dehail et al. vs. City of Los Angeles—This suit was brought for the same purpose as the last one. The case is at issue, and will be set for trial at the next term of court. | night, except the night of full moon and the night before, and the night after full moon, when no lamps are lighted. The price paid by the city is on the basis of \$12 per 2000 candle power lamp per | 1. No. 1.021 |
| 90. | und. Pub | nighest ra | Here to Succeed | ies. | M. H. Bixby et al. vs. City and others—This case is brought to quiet plain- tiff's title to certian lands in which the city claimed no interest. A disclaimer was filed per your instructions. | month. During the past year the Los Angeles Electric company has added an incan- descent plant to its system. This plant, | Amount. Alexandria 11.423.92 11.423.92 11.427.93 1 |
| 1890. | roveme Fund. | from defici nervous, i blues and | e great problem of life whi ly solve. Some fail because ers want of luck, but the n ent grit—want of nerve. Ti resolute, changeble, easily tt-ke the spirits down to k thus wasting money, time | hey are get the teep the | was filed per your instructions. Alfred Solano et al. vs. Len J. Thompson and City of Los Angeles—Is a suit brought to prevent the collection of cer- | complete, cost about \$15,000. Among other improvements it has built a new gasometer, at a cost of \$46,000. It has | INTERNAL REVENUE. |

INTERNAL REVENUE.

A Decided Increase of Collections Over Former Years.

The United States internal revenue office in this city makes the following statement regarding the year's business:

The total collections of 1889 were \$143,000. For the past year they will be over \$150,000, in round figures, showing a healthy increase, and due more particularly to the expiration of the boaded period on large quantities of brandy produced in this district.