er published in THE TIMES in regard to the his family, and to the public-to gather up the case, and to collect all the facts attainsing the perpetrator of the outrage with the view to bringing him to justice in the courts. When sufficient evidence had been secured to warrant the attorneys in the belief that the author of the infamous ment after listening to all the testimony on both sides, that Mr. Miller was the party who had committed the act which the press and people of the State of Kansas had pro-

of adjoining column] a full report of the proceedings in the case, Certain immaterial portions of the testimony are omitted; all else is given verbatim, as taken down by the official reporter of the court:

show that he, too, considered the document

my word as a gentleman that I am inosent

THE MARSHALSHIP.

The Washington correspondent of the Kansas City Times writing under date of the

here next week to explain the crookedness of explains the better it will be for him, for i is absolutely certain that if the subject is ju

nas been standing so long unsettled. Break

THE INDIAN BUREAU. Secretary Schurz in an interview Tues that he has no knowledge whatever of the nature of the investigation by the board of inquiry. He spoke highly of the examiners, and declared false the statement that Galpin was convicted on the testimony of disreputable persons. Galpin was before the board twenty days, defending himself, and was dismissed principally on his own testimony. Mr. Schurz stated that he had foundation for introducing a copy. that he has no knowledge whatever of the testimony. Mr. Schurz stated that he had received a letter from Seelye in August denouncing the investigation, when it had just begun. Schurr announced his deter-mination to fight the Indian ring till the service is purified, and quoted an article supposed to be inspired by ex-Secretary Chandler, stating that the removals made

QUEEN VICTORIA AND THE TEL-

The New York Herald's cable dispatches

day night. They exhibited and careplained the telephone to Her Majesty,
tened with evident curiosity. She
scially interested in the conversarried on petween Osborne and Lonsthampton, Cowes, and the residence
homas Biddulph, Her Majesty's priretary. An ergan in London, a bugle
sampton and a vocal quartet at Cowes
rectly heard. Mise Kate Field also
rough the telephone from London,
seiments continued for three hours,
and mostal.

me of the bills.

Q-Do you recollect what expressions if any
of ill-will or otherwise he made at that time?

A-I say at that time I don't remember
what was said. It may have been at that

olicet?

A—Yea sir, it was before that time,

G—State if Mr. Miller has not been in the habit of traveling a great deal over the statement of the statement of the statement in the statement is a statement of the statement in the statement is set the statement in the statement in the statement is set the statement in the statement is s

ollows:
Q—What business are you engaged in?
A—The printing business.
Q—Look at this printed matter, and state

think.

Q.—Who came to you to get some printing dohe, the substance of which is here?

Defendant objected as immaterial.

Objection overruled.

A.—I can tell you what I know about it is that is what you want to know.

Q.—Can't you answer the question as it stands now?

giale.
Q—Tell what you know about it then.
A—Myself and Mr. Miller had various convenations about general matters; I don't know that I can say he was there in May, or what time he was there; he has been there

D. J. KELLER'S TESTIMONY.

D. J. Keller being duly sworn, testified as follows:
Q—State if you ever saw a paper fh subtance similar to this one? (Showing the did not converse about sending out the bills, and you were opposed to sending them out ances a signature was attached to them?

bills?

A-About a month, I guess.

Q-State whether or not in any conversation you had with Mr. Miller, the question was discussed between you and him in reference to the propriety of sending out those hand-bills to the different editors throughout

was so discussed?

A—Yes sir, it was so discussed between me and Mr. Miner; that is I understood it as being discussed, I can't swear positively it was.

Q—Do you recollect about the time the editorial association met here?

A—Yes sir. Q-State whether or not you'recollect seeing

ark. Q—They were scattered around town on the

A—I don't know that any conversation oc-urred about getting out a small circular. Q—State whether in any of those conversa-ions with Mr. Miller you discussed the pro-riety of circulating those hand-bills? A—I presume we did. Q—Where did you first see this large hand-lil, or one similar to it in substane?. A—I saw it when Gen. Sherry was writing in his office.

it in his office.

Q. What did you do with it?
A—I took it from his hands to Mr. Ketcheson
to have it printed.
Q.—State whether it was circulated here in
this city before the election of 1876.
A—It was.
Q.—How generally was it circulated?
Piaintiff objected as immaterial. Objection
overruled.

a bundle in my memory, my judgment bundle.

Q-When was it?

A-I judge either in May or June, 1877.

Plaintiff here rested his case.

Plaintiff here rested his case. is follows:
Q—You are the under sheriff now.
A—I am now.
Q—You had some posted up in the sheriff's

A—There was one posted there I think.
Q—Others were in the sheriff's office.
A—There were some there—a bundle failows;
Q-Do you know the plaintiff in this case?
A-Yes sir.
Q-How long have you known him?
A-Eight or nine years.
Q-What official position do you occupy and have for several years in this place?
A-Clerk and deputy for the U. gs. Collector to the district.

thus states how Queen Victoria was amused and interested by the latest American
invention—the telephone:

Protessor Bell and Colonel William H.

Reynolds, of Rhode Island, were presented to Queen Victoria at Osborne, Isle of Wight.

Reynolds of Rhode Island, were presented to Queen Victoria at Osborne, Isle of Wight.

GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY,-This action is

THURDAY, JANUARY 24, 1872

THURDAY, JANUARY 24,

Sexual transfer of the property of the propert

any written by fitnessel upon his own such tellows are some such tellows as some such tellows are some such tellows as any some such tellows as a common with other with plaintiff was a common with other was any the plaintiff was a common with other was any the plaintiff was a common with other was any common with other application of the was any common with other application of the courtes treatment and enterprise shows the craft ever single individual shall be considerable to the plaintiff was a common with other application of the courtes treatment and enterprise shows the craft ever single individual shall be considerable to the plaintiff was a common with other and possible to the plaintiff was a common with other was any common with other and the common with other craft ever single many of the courtes the plaintiff was a common with other and the conting the courted to the plaintiff was a common with other and the conting the courted to the plaintiff was a common with other and the conting the court of the courted to the plaintiff was a common with other and the conting the courted to the plaintiff was a common with other with the was any common with other and the conting the courted to the plaintiff was a common with other with the was any common with other and the courted to the plaintiff was a common with other and the courted that the appears produced the plaintiff was a common with other with the was a plaintiff was a common with other with the was a plaintiff was a common with other with the was a plaintiff was a common with other with the was a plaintiff was a common with other with the was a plaintiff was a common with other with the was a plaintiff was a common with other with the was a plaintiff was a common with other with the was a plaintiff was a common with other with the was a plaintiff was a common with other with the was a plaintiff was a common with other with

his scurrilous circular. This is the dirti-

OPINIONS OF THE PRESS ON THE san, Independence. Having shown by the foregoing proceed

ings in court, and the verdict of the jury, opinions of the press of Kansas, of the man who was guilty of the disreputable Topeka.

Topeka.

We heartily hope the one who did it will ment that the man who did the cowardly and venomous thing had received some in act referred to—opinionis which were voluntarily and freely given, at a time when
the identity of the person was unknown,
and when there was no reason why, through
fear, tavor, or hope of patronage, any of
the papers should be prejudiced for or the papers should be prejudiced for or against the guilty party-their unbiased

opinion of the man and the act: It is not only cowardly, but it is actually an assault upon the unsuspecting victim which is hellish in the extreme.-[Lyndon

If by Echo the circular means the re ponse of its readers, Echo answers that some cowardly dog printed and distributed the We are in receipt of one of the low-down circulars referred to in the article which we

append below from the Atchison Champion, and this article so aptly expresses our opinion of the unclean thing that we endorse every word of it.—[Garnett Journal. We received this morning, through the mail, a circular maligning the character of Col. D. R. Anthony, or attempting to do so. It is annoymous, and therefore has no effect and is unworthy of notice. The per-

been publishing a lot of scurrilous reflec-tions on the character of Col. D. R. An-thony and sending them around through the state. What the motive of sending such anonymous blackguard communicascoundrel concerning D. R. Anthony, the

est and most contemptible piece of business we have seen for many a day.—[Walnut

fore this.—[Council Grove Democrat.

We have received a copy of an anonymous circular, charging D. R. Anthony, of

in our opinion, any man who will anony-mously circulate a paper of this kind is ten times meaner than he represents D. R. to be. He is a cowardly, sneaking, midceal their hideousness from the public gaze. We entertain a feeling akin to veneration

the discharge of duty, but persists in the mawed by threats or unapproachable with the semblance of man, concealing his name makes a cowardly stab at his enemy, we and scorn. A men would scorn to stoop to

ident of the State Press Association, in the lowest and most degrading language possi-

containing two unsigned circulars, charg-ing certain offences against D. R. Anthony, and suggesting that he is an unfit person to be President of the Kanses Editorial Association. Now, we have no objection to anybody, who so desires, making charges against Col. Anthony; but if the author is unwilling to put his name to charges, he ought not expect us or anybody to believe person who sends out these anonymous attacks. The man who has not moral courage to stand up and say "Thou art the man," ought to be still,—[Coffeeville Jour-

A few days ago we received a poster containing charges of a very damaging nature, against the public and private character of Col. D. R. Anthony, editor of the Leavenworth TIMES. We know nothing as to the truth or falsity of the charges, but we vensending forth these anonymous circulars, are no better then they would have us believe individuals who would seccretly thrust a

enworth, an anonymous hand bill and cir-cular attacking Col. D. R. Anthony in a scurrilous manner. We have such a of character who adopt that style of assaul his work in Leavenworth, for its only effect on decent people is to disgust them. The law should make it a penal offense to print

R. Anthony about a series of defunct issues, that we buried years ago, and is sending it to the newspapers of Kansas under a disguised hand and without postmark, to defeat a re-election of the Colonel as President of the Editors' and Publishers' Association of Kansas. We know that Col. Anthony has only held the position of president at the repeated solicitations of the Association, and will hardly accept a relection. But if the villainous vender of the vindictive venom insinuated in the hand bill in question desires to raise the issue, let him crawl out from his ambus-

Anthony, editor of the Leavenworth TIMIS. No signature appears to the doucument, and there is not even a post office mailing stamp on the envelope to show from whence it emanated. We have no personal acquaintance with D. R. Anthony, and know nothing of the charges made in the interrogatories propounded in the circular. But in our oninion any man who will appear.

Somewhere upon this earth there lives a

his own guardian angel has passed away in ed by both saint and sinner, it is the man who seeks to injure another man's characboldly charge into the ranks of corruption ter and hide himself behind the mask of an anonymous signature, or no signature at all. We are led to these remarks by a cirand which contains some fearful charges cular bore no signature or imprint. It was whose tastes incline them to pick at such of them is an editor in Topeka, and the other is a painter in Leavenworth. Neither of them are to blame. They but follow their natural instincts. Eees can expend the control of them are to blame. They but follow their natural instincts. Eees can expend the control of these were not below the control of these were not the control of the control of these were not the control of th tract honey from filth. Both of these men are fond of filth, but it is not honey that

the world. We would not be surprised to It is a sad thing to to think that any one learn that the miserable thing originated in Topeka. but every true man on earth must conside aroused by such a terrible mean blow as the stronger on such diet. Such low, dastardly and skulking work will only elevate him in the opinion of every true and brave man. We hope the time may come in Kansas and disgusting means as cowardly anony-mous hand bills circulated secretly through

the mails.—[Thayer Headlight. We have received a scurrilous hand-bill, without date or signature, intended to dam-age the character of Hon. D. R. Anthony, editor of the Leavenworth Times, in a manner that is too mean and sneaking to be given any credit or notice by the reading public. It consists of making accusations in the form of questions, so as to shield the standerer from the penalty of the law, and totally deprive the party accused of any re-course whatever. Inasmuch as there are many parties personally acquainted with Col. Anthony and his character is above rereach in this vicinity, we give place to the reular to show what detestable cowards will do to damage the character of repre-sentative men.—[Arkansas City Traveller. Some time ago a circular was generally distributed through the States, charging Col. D. R. Anthony, of the Leavenworth Times, with a number of terrible crimes. here wvs no signature to the circular; printed name amounted to the signature:
"God-forsaken coward." The object of the sheet was to injure Col. Anthony generally and for the immediate purpose of destroy-ing his chances for re-election to the Presidency of the Editorial Association. A large majority of the editors would have voted for the Calonel's election to another term of office, but he positively refused to accept the nomination; the same majority