

## THE DAILY GLOBE

IS PUBLISHED EVERY DAY  
AT NEWSPAPER ROW,  
COR. FOURTH AND MINNESOTA STS.

OFFICIAL PAPER OF ST. PAUL.

## SUBSCRIPTION RATES.

Payable in Advance.  
Daily and Sunday, per Month \$5.00  
Daily and Sunday, Six Months \$27.50  
Daily and Sunday, One Year \$50.00  
Daily Only, per Month \$1.60  
Daily Only, Six Months \$9.00  
Daily Only, One Year \$16.00  
Sunday Only, One Year \$1.50  
Weekly, One Year \$10.00

Address all letters and telegrams to  
THE GLOBE, ST. PAUL, MINN.  
EASTERN ADVERTISING OFFICE, ROOM  
401, TEMPLE COURT BUILDING, NEW  
YORK.  
WASHINGTON BUREAU, 1405 F ST. N. W.  
Complete files of the Globe always kept  
on hand for reference.

## WEATHER FORECAST.

WASHINGTON, July 15.—Forecast for  
Thursday:  
For Minnesota, North and South Dakota—  
Fair; warmer; variable winds, becoming  
southerly.  
For Wisconsin—Fair and warmer until Fri-  
day night; light northerly winds, becoming  
variable.  
For Montana—Generally fair; southerly  
winds.

## TEMPERATURES.

| Place.        | Ther. | Place.      | Ther. |
|---------------|-------|-------------|-------|
| St. Paul      | 68    | Minneapolis | 68    |
| Duluth        | 60    | Winneapolis | 70    |
| Huron         | 74    |             |       |
| Bismarck      | 78    | Bismarck    | 78-78 |
| Williston     | 78    | Williston   | 78-88 |
| Haver         | 80    | Cheyenne    | 80-80 |
| Helena        | 82    | Chicago     | 80-78 |
| Edmonton      | 80    | Cincinnati  | 72-80 |
| Baltimore     | 84    | Helena      | 80-82 |
| Prince Albert | 84    | Montreal    | 64-78 |
| Calgary       | 84    | New Orleans | 84-90 |
| Medicine Hat  | 80    | New York    | 80-82 |
| Swift Current | 80    | Pittsburg   | 68-82 |
| Q'Appelle     | 80    | Winneapolis | 70-72 |

## DAILY MEANS.

Barometer, 30.00; thermometer, 68; relative  
humidity, 85; wind, north; weather, part  
cloudy; maximum thermometer, 73; minimum  
thermometer, 62; daily range, 11; amount of  
rainfall or melted snow in last twenty-four  
hours, trace.

## RIVER AT S. A. M.

| Gauge     | Danger | Height of |
|-----------|--------|-----------|
| Reading.  | Line.  | Water.    |
| Davenport | 14     | 3.8       |
| St. Louis | 19     | 3.9       |
| Davenport | 15     | 3.3       |
| St. Louis | 30     | 14.5      |

Note—Barometer corrected for temperature  
and elevation.  
—P. F. LYONS, Observer.

## WHY WE CANNOT AGREE.

We publish this morning two con-  
ventions, one of considerable  
length, advocating the Chicago plat-  
form and nominees, and urging all  
Democrats to stand together in their  
support. It is, perhaps, unnecessary  
for the Globe to state that representa-  
tives of both sides of this issue will re-  
ceive fair and equal treatment at our  
hands, and that every statement in the  
interest of either that is written in  
good faith and good temper will find  
space waiting for it in our columns.  
The interest in the question precipitated  
by the rise of the silver issue to  
such prominence is almost unprece-  
dented. Discussion of the advantages  
and dangers of free coinage will be  
continuous from now until the election  
in November. The Globe invites, on  
the part of its readers, the fullest ex-  
pression of their views. Through it  
the arguments for and against the  
proposition which has now absorbed all  
other political issues will be presented  
faithfully and impartially day by day.

Replying to some of the comments  
and criticisms made by our correspon-  
dents this morning, there are always  
two or three facts to be borne in mind.  
The first of these is that the advocates  
of free silver, who stepped themselves  
months ago from claiming that major-  
ity rule must be obeyed. Almost every  
free silverite of prominence in either  
party, including, if we mistake not,  
Mr. Bryan himself, declared publicly  
that free coinage so they would not be  
bound by the decision of the national  
convention, if this should happen to go  
against them. Mr. Teller was no more  
outspoken in this respect than were  
Mr. Tillman and his associates on the  
other side; and, just as the bolting ele-  
ment in the Republican party did ac-  
tually walk out of the St. Louis con-  
vention, so we know, on their own state-  
ment, that the free silver delegates to  
Chicago would have walked out of that  
convention and supported other candi-  
dates if they had been a minority. In-  
stead of a majority. This being not a  
mere assumption on our part, but a  
conclusion drawn from their own  
words, it is not for them now to claim  
that the majority must rule. They  
cannot make for their opponents a law  
which they long ago repudiated as  
binding upon themselves.

As to the other matters involved, it  
is true that you can read into or out  
of almost every political platform ever  
adopted by any party very much what  
meaning you please. The platforms of  
both parties in 1892 were intentionally  
misleading and double-faced, as far as  
the currency question was concerned.  
They were both framed with a view of  
capturing the cheap money, as well as  
the good money vote, but there is prac-  
tically no difference of opinion as to  
what was really meant and intended.  
Neither Democrats nor Republicans, in  
1892, intended to go back to the free  
coinage of silver at the ratio of 16 to  
1, nor did the country so understand  
their declarations. We are not insens-  
ible, no Democrat can be insensible to  
the plea for union and harmony of ac-  
tion in the party this year. It is with  
a sad and heavy heart that any Demo-  
crat finds himself obliged to give a  
negative answer. The necessity for it  
arises from the triumph of extreme  
Populist principles at Chicago. Had  
a reasonable and moderate posi-  
tion, such as bimetallicism could ac-  
cept, been taken, they would have  
been only too glad to do so. But  
just as we understand and admit that  
a large number of the free silver peo-  
ple are earnest and sincere, and be-  
lieve that they have found a panacea  
for our ills, so must they extend to  
others the same credit, and understand  
that those who cannot stand with them  
are as firmly convinced that free silver

means both disaster and dishonor, as  
they are that the sun will rise upon  
another day.

It is this last word that is the stum-  
bling block. Appeal to Democrats to  
sacrifice their opinions, if necessary, in  
order to come together, and no one  
would plead in vain. Any sacrifice  
consistent with honor we would make  
and ought to be made for the sake of  
party harmony. But where it is not  
options but established facts, as we  
regard it, that are at stake, and where  
the honor of the nation is involved, a  
concession becomes impossible. Let us  
illustrate. We consider the proposi-  
tion for an income tax to be, at the  
best, of doubtful expediency, and bet-  
ter fitted to a form of society which  
recognizes superior and inferior classes,  
as does that of Great Britain, than in  
a democratic republic. It does not  
seem to us, therefore, that an income  
tax is good Democratic doctrine. Yet  
no one would dream of refusing to ac-  
cept an income tax plank at the hands  
of the majority of a convention, if it  
declared this to be henceforth a part  
of the Democratic faith. This, although  
a very important matter, yet lies with-  
in the domain of policy wherein a party  
must fix its own position anew from  
time to time. But free silver is a dif-  
ferent matter in that it involves, to our  
mind, the renunciation of solemn prom-  
ises, the repudiation of debts fairly in-  
curred and the scaling down, by an in-  
determinate but heavy percentage, the  
savings of the poor, the deposits in  
savings and other banks, the receipts  
from life insurance and other bene-  
ficial investments, the purchasing  
power of all fixed salaries and the  
wages of all labor.

No party name or obligation would  
make this seem right or wise. It is not  
a question to be decided by poetry or  
rhetoric, but by fact; and all the facts  
in history are against the possibility of  
action by this country alone raising  
the price of silver bullion 100 per cent.  
We are a powerful and a splendid na-  
tion. Nothing too eloquent can be said  
on that score. Yet that does not give  
us power over the elements, or ability  
to change the minds of men. Value  
is a creation of the mind. Commodities,  
including the money metals, are  
worth just what people in general agree  
that they are worth. No possible ac-  
tion that we can take will change the  
world's estimate of the relative val-  
ues of gold and silver. It would be  
possible for a congress of the United  
States to enact that every citizen  
should travel hereafter by stage coach  
and sailboat, and that no one should,  
under heavy penalty, permit himself to  
be conveyed from one point to an-  
other by any vehicle propelled by steam  
power. This would not revolutionize  
the world's transportation system. It  
would not command obedience at home.  
Magnificent as are our resources and  
energetic as are our people, they sim-  
ply would not and could not give up the  
new and more highly developed tool for  
the old and cumbersome one. The  
money question is quite analogous to  
this. Money is an implement. The  
commerce of the world is busied all  
the time improving and developing it.  
And that form of money which best  
serves the world's purpose is absolute-  
ly independent of legislation and can-  
not be governed or revolutionized by it.  
These are some of the reasons why  
Democrats who have hoped and longed  
for the possibility of harmonious party  
action refuse to obey the commands  
sent out from Chicago. If our friends  
on the other side are as earnest as  
they seem to be let them give up the  
habit of abuse and of insinuation that  
has become too common with them,  
and recognize that this financial ques-  
tion is quite as much, at least, a mat-  
ter of conscience with their opponents  
as with themselves. We venture to  
say that in all this broad land there  
is not a Democrat who refuses to ac-  
cept the Chicago platform and the Chi-  
cago ticket who does not do so with  
infinite pain and regret, and the feel-  
ing that he is making one of the great-  
est sacrifices of his life; not through  
pique, not from disappointment, not  
because he wants a minority to rule,  
but because he believes that the higher  
mandate of fidelity to conscience, to  
duty and to honor leaves him no sec-  
ond choice.

## A CONFERENCE CALLED.

A call is issued this morning by Mr.  
D. W. Lawler, member of the Demo-  
cratic national committee for Minne-  
sota, for a conference of sound money  
Democrats of the state. This will be  
held in the Merchants hotel, this city,  
on Thursday, July 23, at 12 o'clock. All  
Democrats who believe in supporting  
the cause of good money are requested  
earnestly to send their names to Mr.  
Lawler, together with a list of all  
others who will sympathize with the  
movement, and who ought, in their  
judgment, to be invited to the confer-  
ence. The significant sentence of the  
call is as follows: "The conference  
when it convenes, will consider what  
action ought to be taken by the sound  
money element of the Democratic party  
in view of the results of the Chicago  
convention."

This action is both wise and neces-  
sary. It is certainly wise for Minne-  
sota Democrats to take counsel to-  
gether. Not only has the party in the  
nation held heretofore to the doctrine  
of a dollar worth one hundred cents,  
but the state convention held but a few  
weeks ago, to choose delegates to Chi-  
cago, adopted one of the strongest and  
most uncompromising sound money  
platforms ever framed. The party oracles  
do not agree, and thousands of Demo-  
crats find themselves in a puzzling sit-  
uation. We are in receipt daily of many  
letters expressing this feeling, a few  
of which find entrance to our columns.  
They all ask for some taking of coun-  
sel and some action by the Democrats  
who do not agree with the financial  
plank of the Chicago platform. These  
men say to us that they are lifelong  
Democrats and propose to remain with  
the party. They say, also, that they  
will never vote for McKinley and pro-  
tectionism, and that they are equally  
unwilling to vote for Bryan and silver  
monometallism. They demand a plat-  
form and a candidate that will give  
them an opportunity to express their

political aspirations without doing vi-  
olence to any conviction.

This is the opportunity for which all  
Democrats who support the sound  
money cause have asked; and by the  
way in which they meet it they will  
be judged. It is the duty of each man,  
earnest in the cause, to lay aside every-  
thing else in order to give his assist-  
ance and advice to his party. It is this  
time of trial and crisis of its fortunes.  
There has been too much apathy in  
the past; too much trusting to things  
to take care of themselves; too much  
confidence that the right will accom-  
plish itself in party conduct and in  
government without human agency  
and individual effort. The sound money  
Democrats of this state have need to  
reason together. What is to be their  
policy in the coming campaign? What  
word are they to speak to those who  
feel as they do in the other states? Will  
they will their standard be raised in  
the great battle that will soon be  
These are practical questions of seri-  
ous import, that must be answered.  
We have already expressed our own  
opinions; now we await the answer of  
Democrats who are stirred as they  
have never been before by the dangers,  
the call to duty, the possibility of pure  
and patriotic service, without hope of  
reward, that lies before them. Make  
this conference a memorable meeting,  
and from it there may spring events  
that will be written large in the future  
history not only of the state and the  
Democratic party, but in that of the  
whole American people.

## THE IRISH LAND BILL.

The English parliament at the present  
moment gives another illustration  
of the ease with which too great a  
majority in a legislative body may  
become a source of weakness. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling the grievances of the peo-  
ple without home rule. It is as-  
serted by many shrewd politicians  
in Great Britain to-day that if the  
Liberals themselves were united, if  
there were not deep and bitter hos-  
tility between the Radicals and the  
more moderate wing, the enormous  
Tory aggregation would be in danger  
of defeat. The Irish land bill was the  
sop put forward to appease the senti-  
ment of the people in favor of doing  
something toward pacifying Ireland  
and settling